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CODEX ALIMENTARIUS, THE GREEN RELIGION AND THE INTERFAITH AGENDA

The pharmaceutical industry is a billion dollar business yet there is growing ongoing evidence that many of the illnesses that impact us can be cured by the ingredients founds in plants. In Revelation 24 the bible mentions about the leaves of the tree of life are for the healing of the nations. It is clear that the billion dollar pharmaceutical cartels would go bankrupt immediately if the world ever reached a stage of knowing the truth. It is for this reason why Codex Alimentarius was formed, specifically to implement the control of all nutrition supplements and the initiation of a major war against the alternative nutritional market. This section also provides detailed insight into the progressive development of the environmental movement and the interfaith agenda including the individuals and corporations involved.
1. THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS AGENDA

And I heard a voice in the midst of the four beasts say, A measure of wheat for a penny, and three measures of barley for a penny; and see thou hurt not the oil and the wine. Revelation 6:6
A BRIEF HISTORY OF CODEX ALIMENTARIUS

The Codex Alimentarius Commission is a very misunderstood organization. Most people have never heard of it, and those who have heard of it may not understand the true reality of this extremely powerful trade organization.

According to the official Codex website (http://www.codexalimentarius.net), the altruistic purpose of this commission is in “protecting health of the consumers and ensuring fair trade practices in the food trade, and promoting coordination of all food standards work undertaken by international governmental and non-governmental organizations”.

The Codex Alimentarius (Latin for “Food Code”) is regulated under a joint venture between the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO).

The history of Codex began in 1893 when the Austro-Hungarian Empire decided it needed a specific set of guidelines by which the courts could rule on cases dealing with food. This set of regulatory mandates became known as Codex Alimentarius. It was effectively implemented until the fall of the empire in 1918.

At a meeting in 1962, the United Nations (UN) decided that Codex should be re-implemented worldwide in order to “protect” the health of consumers. Two-thirds of funding for Codex emanates from the FAO, and the other third comes from the WHO.

In 2002, FAO and WHO had serious concerns about the direction of Codex and hired an external consultant to determine its performance since 1962 and to designate which direction to take the trade organization. The consultant concluded that Codex should be scrapped immediately. It was at this time that big industry stepped in and exerted its powerful influence. The updated outcome was a toned-down report asking Codex to address 20 concerns within the organization.

Since 2002, the Codex Alimentarius Commission has covertly surrendered its role as an international public health and consumer protection organization. Under the helm of big industry, the surreptitious purpose of the new Codex is to increase profits for the global corporate juggernauts while controlling the world through food.

CODEX INEQUALITIES

The most dominant country behind the agenda of Codex is the United States, whose primary purpose is to benefit the large multinational interests of Big Pharma, Big Agribusiness, Big Chema and the like. At the latest meeting in Geneva, Switzerland (30 June to 4 July 2008), the US became the chair of Codex Alimentarius and now will exacerbate the distortion of health freedom and continue to promulgate
misinformation and lies about nutrients and genetically modified organisms (GMOs) while fulfilling its tacit population-control agenda.

One reason why the US continues to dominate Codex is because other countries falsely believe that it possesses the latest and greatest food safety technology; hence, whatever the US asks for, its allies (Australia, Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, Singapore and the European Union) follow suit nearly every time.

The fact that Codex meetings are held all over the world is also no accident and allows the US to maintain its tight grip on the Codex agenda because the less economically viable countries are not able to attend. The governments of many of these countries (such as Cameroon, Egypt, Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, South Africa, Sudan and Swaziland) realise that Codex has been altered from a benevolent food organisation to one that is fraudulent, lethal and illegitimate.

**HEALTH FREEDOM THREATS**

While the mainstream media are busy with their esoteric agenda of driving fear into the hearts of the world’s populace by focusing on terrorism, global warming, salmonella outbreaks and food shortages, the real threats are surreptitiously becoming a reality. Soon, every single thing you put into your mouth, including water (with the exception of pharmaceuticals, of course), will be highly regulated by the Codex Alimentarius Commission.

The Codex standards are a complete affront to people’s freedom to access clean, healthy food and beneficial nutrients, yet these regulations have no legal international standing. Why should we be worried? These soon-to-be mandatory standards will apply to every country that’s a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO) (presently there are 153 members). If countries do not follow these standards, then crippling economic and trade sanctions may be imposed on them, although countries may be able to avoid the standards of Codex through the implementation of their own international standards.

Some government-run agencies, like the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) in Australia, are informing the public that the vitamin and mineral guideline of Codex will not affect their country. For example, the TGA had this to say:

“The proposed Codex Guidelines for Vitamin and Mineral Food Supplements will not apply in Australia and will have no impact on the way these types of products are regulated in Australia.”

The bottom line is that no one knows what types of laws will be passed before Codex harmonisation occurs, and no country is safe from these international guidelines, regardless of what government agencies are saying in order to quell pre-emptively any potential public uprising. Many alternative health activists believe this may be a method to confuse and obfuscate the Codex issue until it is too late.

Some Codex standards which are proposed to take effect in the near future, and which will be completely irrevocable once initiated, include:

- All nutrients (e.g., vitamins and minerals) are to be considered toxins/poisons and are to be removed from all food because Codex prohibits the use of nutrients to “prevent, treat or cure any condition or disease”.
- All food (including organic) is to be irradiated, thus removing all “toxic” nutrients from food (unless consumers can source their food locally). The precursor to Codex harmonisation in this area began in the USA in August 2008 with the clandestine decision to mandate the mass irradiation of all lettuce and spinach in the name of public health and safety. If the safety of the public was the main concern...
of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), then why were people not alerted to this new practice?

• Nutrients allowed will be limited to a Positive List developed by Codex; it will include such “beneficial” nutrients as fluoride (3.8 mg daily), sourced from industrial waste.

• …no country is safe from these mandatory international guidelines, regardless of what government agencies are saying in order to quell pre-emptively any potential public uprising.

• All nutrients (e.g., vitamins A, B, C, D, zinc and magnesium) that have any positive health impact on the body will be deemed illegal in therapeutic doses under Codex and are to be reduced to amounts negligible to health, with maximum limits set at 15 per cent of the current Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA).6

You will not be able to obtain these nutrients in therapeutic doses anywhere in the world, even with a prescription.

Potentially permissible safe levels of nutrients under the Codex are not yet set in stone. Some probable examples based on the European Union (EU) system may include:

– Niacin: upper limit of 34 µg (micrograms) daily (effective daily doses range from 2,000 to 3,000 µg).

– Vitamin C: upper limits of 65 to 225 µg daily (effective daily doses range from 6,000 to 10,000 µg).

– Vitamin D: upper limit of 5 µg daily (effective daily doses range from 6,000 to 10,000 µg).

– Vitamin E: upper limit of 15 IU (international units) of alpha tocopherol per day, even though alpha tocopherol by itself has been implicated in cell damage and is toxic to the body (effective daily doses of mixed tocopherols range from 10,000 to 12,000 IU).

• It will most likely be illegal to give any advice on nutrition (including in written articles posted online and in journals as well as oral advice to a friend, a family member or anyone). This directive applies to any and all reports on vitamins and minerals and all nutritionists’ consultations. This type of information may be considered a hidden barrier to trade and may result in economic trade sanctions for the involved country.

• All dairy cows on the planet are to be treated with Monsanto’s genetically engineered recombinant bovine growth hormone (rBGH).

• All animals used for food are to be treated with potent antibiotics and exogenous growth hormones.

• Deadly and carcinogenic organic pesticides, including seven of the 12 worst (e.g., hexachlorobenzene, toxaphene and aldrin), which were banned by 176 countries (including the US) in 1991 at the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants,7 will be allowed back into food at elevated levels.

• The Codex will allow dangerous and toxic levels of aflatoxin (0.5 ppb) in milk. Aflatoxin, produced in animal feed that’s gone mouldy in storage, is the second-most- potent (non-radiation-related) carcinogenic compound known.

• Use of growth hormones and antibiotics will be mandatory on all livestock, birds and aquacultured species meant for human consumption.

• The worldwide introduction of unlabelled and deadly GMOs into crops, animals, fish and plants will be mandated.

• Elevated levels of residue from pesticides and insecticides that are toxic to humans and animals will be allowed.
THE POPULATION CONTROL AGENDA

In 1995, the FDA adopted an illegal policy which stated that international standards (i.e., Codex) would supersede US laws governing all food, even if these standards were incomplete. Furthermore, in 2004, the US passed the Central America Free Trade Agreement (illegal under US law, but legal under international law) that requires the US to conform to Codex. Once these standards are adopted, there is no way to return to the standards of old, but countries can adopt ones that are considered higher than those of Codex.

An example of this would be the European Supplements Directive. Once Codex compliance begins in any area, as long as any country remains a member of the WTO, it is totally irrevocable: the standards cannot be repealed, changed or altered in any way, shape or form. Population control for money is the easiest way to describe the new Codex Alimentarius, which in effect is being run by the US and primarily controlled by Big Pharma with the aim of reducing the world's population from its current estimate of 6.692 billion to a sustainable 500 million—an approximate 93 per cent reduction. Interestingly enough, before the arrival of Europeans in America, the Native American population in the US was around 60 million; today it hovers around 500,000, or an approximate 92 per cent reduction as a result of government policies of genocide, starvation and poisoning.

Codex is similar to other population control measures undertaken clandestinely by governments of the western world; for example, the introduction of DNA-damaging and latent immunosuppressive agents in vaccines (e.g., weaponised avian flu and AIDS), aspartame, chemtrails, chemotherapy for cancer treatment and RU486 (the abortion pill funded by the Rockefeller dynasty).

FAO and WHO have estimated that by the introduction of just the vitamin and mineral guideline alone, within 10 years a minimum three billion deaths will result. One billion of these deaths will be due to starvation, and two billion as a result of preventable and degenerative diseases of under-nutrition, e.g., cancer, cardiovascular disease and diabetes.

The foisting of degraded, demineralised, pesticide-filled and irradiated foods on consumers is the fastest and most efficient way to cause a profitable surge in malnutrition and preventable and degenerative diseases, for which the most appropriate course of action is toxic pharmaceutical treatment. Death for profit is the new name of the game.

Big Pharma has been waiting for Codex harmonization for years. An incognisant world population physically degenerating at an accelerated pace, providing a spike in revenue, is the ultimate goal for the furtive and egregious controllers of this corrupt trade organisation purportedly looking out for the health of consumers.

FIGHTING BACK WITH PRIVATE STANDARDS

Rima Laibow, MD, medical director for the Natural Solutions Foundation, has brought legal action against the US government and continues to attend every Codex meeting as a public observer while fighting for our health freedom. She has also been meeting with delegates from various countries to make them aware of Private Standards, which allow countries to draft food standards which are safer and higher than those mandated by Codex. Obviously, drafting safer standards is not a difficult task, and many countries can seemingly circumvent the flawed and irrevocable guidelines that Codex is attempting to implement.
BATTLE OVER GM LABELLING

The latest Codex meeting in Geneva concluded with some interesting outcomes. Some long-simmering acrimony began to surface as the US continued to force forward the biased agendas of Big Pharma, Big Chema, Big Agribusiness and the like without considering the input of many other countries. Typically, if the US does not want a country’s input, the host country of the meeting simply denies visas to official delegates. Several countries object to this practice and stated that because of this and other reasons, decisions made by Codex in their absence do not have international legitimacy.

One major point of contention is the US and the Codex Alimentarius Commission’s staunch refusal to allow labelling of GMOs. Japan, Norway, Russia, Switzerland and virtually all the African countries and 26 European Union countries have fought the US for nearly 18 years to introduce mandatory labelling of GMOs. The US fallaciously considers GMOs to be equivalent to non-GMOs, based solely on a 1992 Executive Order from then-President George H. W. Bush. Consequently, no pre-market safety testing is conducted on any GMOs before they are released into the food chain in the US. The FDA refuses to review any safety data except to conduct a single preliminary review early in the organism’s development.

Opponents of the US policy prohibiting labelling of genetically modified food conclude that the US does not want GMOs labelled because of the potential legal ramifications for and liability of manufacturers and the US government if these foods can be traced. If millions of people are harmed or killed due to the instability of the inserted DNA promoter viruses and marker bacteria when interacting with the dynamic and fluid structure of the human body, then millions of lawsuits may result. But if these GMOs are totally untraceable, then corporate or government liability cannot be assessed and the health of the entire population suffers.

Some FDA scientists have repeatedly warned about releasing GMOs into the general food supply because of the dangers, but they have been ignored or routinely overruled. Prior to the Geneva meeting, the Codex Committee on Food Labelling met in Ottawa, Canada (28 April to 2 May 2008). The meeting concluded with several pro–mandatory GMO labelling nations angry that the committee had not objectively analysed the empirical research, prepared by the South Africa delegation, detailing the dangers of GMOs. This document delineated the need for mandatory labelling of GMOs, but was ignored and subsequently withdrawn due to US pressure.

As a result, several countries planned to scrap the requirements of Codex and adopt their own labelling system for GMOs in an effort to curtail the spread of “lethal” food. This became a real quandary for FAO and WHO. According to Dr Laibow, at the recent Geneva meeting FAO and WHO finally stepped in and decided to undertake a program to identify low-level contamination of GMOs in food.

The definition of low-level contamination will still depend on each country’s standards. For example, the US currently allows for up to 10 per cent (the highest of any country under Codex) of GMO contamination of organic foods and, amazingly, still allows them to be labelled as “USDA Certified Organic”. Some governments, such as the European Union, allow only 0.9 per cent contamination, while others permit merely 0.1 per cent.

However, the FAO and WHO’s use of the term “contamination” simply does not describe the GMOs as being mixed in with normal food. This term is also very noteworthy, as most research on the dangers of GMOs can no longer be denied. The US, of course, vehemently objected to such a designation, but this time to no avail. Although FAO and WHO have not gone so far as to require mandatory labelling of GMOs, their recognition that GMOs can contaminate food is a huge win for health freedom.

Expanding that requirement to mandatory labelling is the next logical step, but this is still a work in progress.
2. THE AGENDA OF THE ONE WORLD GREEN RELIGION

"The Earth has cancer and the cancer is Man." - Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point, 1974
THE AGENDA OF THE CLUB OF ROME

In 2009, the organization known as the Club of Rome established a three-year program on "A New Path for World Development". In a flyer describing the project, it declared "The global issues which were the focus of the 1972 Report, "Limits to Growth" are even more severe and urgent today." The project has five issue areas: Environment and Resources, Globalization, International Development, Social Transformation, and Peace and Security

"The common enemy of humanity is man, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself."  

The environmental movement has been described as the largest and most influential social phenomenon in modern history. From relative obscurity just a few decades ago it has spawned thousands of organisations and claims millions of committed activists. Reading the newspaper today it is hard to imagine a time when global warming, resource depletion, environmental catastrophes and 'saving the planet' were barely mentioned. They now rank among the top priorities on the social, political and economic global agenda.

Environmental awareness is considered to be the mark of any good honest decent citizen. Multi-national companies compete fiercely to promote their environmental credentials and 'out-green' each other. The threat of impending ecological disasters is uniting the world through a plethora of international treaties and conventions. But where did this phenomenon come from, how did it rise to such prominence, and more importantly, where is it going?

The Club of Rome (CoR) claims that

"we are facing an imminent catastrophic ecological collapse" and "our only hope is to transform humanity into a global interdependent sustainable society, based on respect and reverence for the Earth"  

In the end there are two conclusions – either the CoR wrote all these reports and setup a vast network of supporting organisations just for fun or they actually believe what they have written and are working hard to fulfill their role as the self-appointed saviours of Gaia.

So, what exactly is the Club of Rome and who are its members? Founded in 1968 at David Rockefeller's estate in Bellagio, Italy, the CoR describes itself as "a group of world citizens, sharing a common concern for the future of humanity." It consists of current and former Heads of State, UN beaureacrats, high-level
politicians and government officials, diplomats, scientists, economists, and business leaders from around the globe.

The Club of Rome subsequently founded two sibling organizations, the Club of Budapest and the Club of Madrid. The former is focused on social and cultural aspects of their agenda, while the latter concentrates on the political aspects. All three of these ‘Clubs’ share many common members and hold joint meetings and conferences. As explained in other articles on this website it is abundantly clear that these are three heads of the same beast. The CoR has also established a network of 33 National Associations. Membership of the ‘main Club’ is limited to 100 individuals at any one time. Some members, like Al Gore and Maurice Strong, are affiliated through their respective National Associations (e.g. USACOR, CACOR etc).

We will start this analysis of the Club of Rome by listing some prominent members of the CoR and its two sub-groups, the Clubs of Budapest and Madrid. Personally it isn’t what the CoR is which is so astonishing; it is WHO the CoR is! This isn’t some quirky little group of green activists or obscure politicians. They are the most senior officials in the United Nations, current and ex-world leaders, and the founders of some of the most influential environmental organisations. When you read their reports in the context of who they are – its gives an entirely new, and frightening, context to their extreme claims.

Some current members of the Club of Rome or its two siblings:

- Al Gore – former VP of the USA, leading climate change campaigner, Nobel Peace Prize winner, Academy Award winner, Emmy winner. Gore lead the US delegations to the Rio Earth Summit and Kyoto Climate Change conference. He chaired a meeting of the full Club of Rome held in Washington DC in 1997.
- Javier Solana – Secretary General of the Council of the European Union, High Representative for EU Foreign Policy.
- Maurice Strong – former Head of the UN Environment Programme, Chief Policy Advisor to Kofi Annan, Secretary General of the Rio Earth Summit, co-author (with Gorbachev) of the Earth Charter, co-author of the Kyoto Protocol, founder of the Earth Council, devout Baha’i.
• Mikhail Gorbachev – CoR executive member, former President of the Soviet Union, founder of Green Cross International and the Gorbachev Foundation, Nobel Peace Prize winner, co-founder (with Hidalgo) of the Club of Madrid, co-author (with Strong) of the Earth Charter.

• Diego Hidalgo – CoR executive member, co-founder (with Gorbachev) of the Club of Madrid, founder and President of the European Council on Foreign Relations in association with George Soros.

• Ervin Laszlo – founding member of the CoR, founder and President of the Club of Budapest, founder and Chairman of the World Wisdom Council.

• Anne Ehrlich – Population Biologist. Married to Paul Ehrlich with whom she has authored many books on human overpopulation. Also a former director of Friends of the Earth and the Sierra Club, and a member of the UN's Global Roll of Honor.

• Hassan bin Talal – President of the CoR, President of the Arab Thought Forum, founder of the World Future Council, recently named as the United Nations 'Champion of the Earth'.

• Sir Crispin Tickell – former British Permanent Representative to the United Nations and Permanent Representative on the Security Council, Chairman of the ‘Gaia Society’, Chairman of the Board of the Climate Institute, leading British climate change campaigner.


• Javier Perez de Cuellar – former Secretary General of the United Nations.

• Gro Harlem Bruntland – United Nations Special Envoy for Climate Change, former President of Norway

• Robert Muller – former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, founder and Chancellor of the UN University of Peace.

• The Dalai Lama – The ‘Spiritual Leader’ of Tibet. Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

• Father Berry Thomas – Catholic Priest who is one of the leading proponents of deep ecology, ecospirituality and global consciousness.

• David Rockefeller – CoR executive member, former Chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank, founder of the Trilateral Commission, executive member of the World Economic Forum, donated land on which the United Nations stands.

• Stephen Schneider – Stanford Professor of Biology and Global Change. Professor Schneider was among the earliest and most vocal proponents of man-made global warming and a lead author of many IPCC reports.

• Bill Clinton – former President of the United States, founder of the Clinton Global Initiative.

• Jimmy Carter – former President of the United States, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

• Bill Gates – founder of Microsoft, philanthropist

• Garret Hardin – Professor of Human Ecology. Originator of the ‘Global Commons’ concept. Has authored many controversial papers on human overpopulation and eugenics.

• Other current influential members:
  • (these can be found on the membership lists of the COR (here, here, and here), Club of Budapest, Club of Madrid and/or CoR National Association membership pages)
  • Ted Turner – media mogul, philanthropist, founder of CNN
  • George Soros – multibillionaire, major donor to the UN
  • Tony Blair – former Prime Minister of the United Kingdom
  • Deepak Chopra – New Age Guru
  • Desmond Tutu – South African Bishop and activist, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate
  • Timothy Wirth – President of the United Nations Foundation
  • Henry Kissinger – former US Secretary of State
  • George Matthews – Chairman of the Gorbachev Foundation
  • Harlan Cleveland – former Assistant US Secretary of State and NATO Ambassador
  • Barbara Marx Hubbard – President of the Foundation for Conscious Evolution
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Betty Williams – Nobel Peace Prize Laureate
Marianne Williamson – New Age 'Spiritual Activist'
Robert Thurman – assistant to the Dalai Lama
Jane Goodall – Primatologist and Evolutionary Biologist
Juan Carlos I – King of Spain
Prince Philippe of Belgium
Queen Beatrix of the Netherlands
Dona Sophia – Queen of Spain
José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero – current Prime Minister of Spain
Karan Singh – Former Prime Minister of India, Chairman of the Temple of Understanding
Daisaku Ikeda – founder of the Soka Gakkai cult
Martin Lees – CoR Secretary General, Rector of the UN University of Peace
Ernesto Zedillo – Director of The Yale Center for the Study of Globalization
Frithjof Finkbeiner – Coordinator of the Global Marshall Plan
Franz Josef Radermacher – Founder of the Global Marshall Plan
Eduard Shevardnadze – former Soviet foreign minister and President of Georgia
Richard von Weizsacker – former President of Germany
Carl Bildt – former President of Sweden
Kim Campbell – former Prime Minister of Canada and Senior Fellow of the Gorbachev Foundation
Vincente Fox – former President of Mexico
Helmut Kohl – former Chancellor of Germany
Romano Prodi – former Prime Minister of Italy and President of the European Commission
Vaclav Havel – former President of the Czech Republic
Hans Kung – Founder of the Global Ethic Foundation
Ruud Lubbers – United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
Mary Robinson – United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
Jerome Binde – Director of Foresight, UNESCO
Koichiro Matsuura – Current Director General of UNESCO
Federico Mayor – Former Director General of UNESCO
Tapio Kanninen – Director of Policy and Planning, United Nations
Konrad Osterwalder – Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations
Peter Johnston – Director General of European Commission
Jacques Delors – Former President of the European Commission
Domingo Jimenez-Beltran – Executive Director of the European Environment Agency
Thomas Homer-Dixon – Director of Peace and Conflict Studies, University of Toronto
Hazel Henderson – Futurist and 'evolutionary economist'
Emeka Anyaoku – former Commonwealth Secretary General, current President of the World Wildlife Fund
Wangari Maathai – Nobel Peace Prize Laureate, founder of the Green Belt Movement

and many more....

The concept of 'environmental sustainability' was first brought to widespread public attention in 1972 by the Club of Rome in their book entitled The Limits to Growth. The official summary can be read here. The report basically concluded that the growth of the human population, and an increase in prosperity, would cause an ecological collapse within the next hundred years:

“If the present growth trends in world population, industrialization, pollution, food production, and resource depletion continue unchanged, the limits to growth on this planet will be reached sometime within the next
one hundred years. The most probable result will be a rather sudden and uncontrollable decline in both population and industrial capacity."

"It is possible to alter these growth trends and to establish a condition of ecological and economic stability that is sustainable far into the future. The state of global equilibrium could be designed so that the basic material needs of each person on earth are satisfied and each person has an equal opportunity to realize his individual human potential."

"The overwhelming growth in world population caused by the positive birth-rate loop is a recent phenomenon, a result of mankind's very successful reduction of worldwide mortality. The controlling negative feedback loop has been weakened, allowing the positive loop to operate virtually without constraint. There are only two ways to restore the resulting imbalance. Either the birth rate must be brought down to equal the new, lower death rate, or the death rate must rise again."

"The result of stopping population growth in 1975 and industrial capital growth in 1985 with no other changes is that population and capital reach constant values at a relatively high level of food, industrial output and services per person. Eventually, however, resource shortages reduce industrial output and the temporarily stable state degenerates."

"Man possesses, for a small moment in his history, the most powerful combination of knowledge, tools, and resources the world has ever known. He has all that is physically necessary to create a totally new form of human society - one that would be built to last for generations. The two missing ingredients are a realistic, long-term goal that can guide mankind to the equilibrium society and the Human Will to achieve that goal."

"Without such a goal and a commitment to it, short-term concerns will generate the exponential growth that drives the world system toward the limits of the earth and ultimate collapse. With that goal and that commitment, mankind would be ready now to begin a controlled, orderly transition from growth to global equilibrium."

So as you can see the even back in 1972 the Club considered modern industrial society to be completely unsustainable. They state that even if population was frozen at 1975 levels, and industrial activity at 1985 levels, then the earth's ecosystems would still ultimately collapse. The CoR has not changed these views in the slightest, in fact, in the last three decades their warnings have become increasingly more urgent and alarmist. They call this imminent collapse the 'World Problematique' and their proposed solution the 'World Resolutique.'

The Limits to Growth is considered to be the most successful environmental publication ever produced and propelled the Club of Rome to its current position of an environmental thought-leader and a major consultant to the United Nations. It has been translated into more than forty languages and sold more than 30 million copies. Throughout the 1970s and 80s the concept that humanity was irreparably damaging the earth gained popularity and facilitated the formation of mainstream and activist environmental groups.

All meetings of the CoR are held 'behind closed doors' and no public records are kept. However the Club does produce many 'discussion reports' that can be found on its website. The United Nations contracts the Club of Rome to prepare 'Policy Guidance Documents' which it uses in formulating its policies and programmes. A quick search for Club of Rome on the UNESCO publications site reveals 250 such documents. There are many other documents there authored by CoR members acting in other capacities. As many high ranking UN officials are actually CoR members, this is like a man asking himself for advice, and then agreeing with that advice. Not very objective! Various UN organisations also hold joint conferences with the CoR.
The below is a summary report from the Club of Rome’s ‘strategy planning retreat’ with 150 senior UNESCO officials. The joint CoR/UNESCO communique states:

“We are at the end of an era – a turning point in history. We are approaching the threshold of runaway climate change. We underline the urgency of radical action to reduce emissions, by both immediate action and longer-term measures; to stress to political leaders the non-linear nature of the processes at work which will generate sudden change; and to assert that the overriding priority must be to avert the impending risk of catastrophic climate change.” - CoR/UNESCO communiqué\(^ {21}\)

Twenty years after the Limits to Growth the CoR published another major report that became an instant best-seller. In The First Global Revolution the Club of Rome claimed that the time to act had run out. It was now or never. Delay in beginning corrective measures will increase the damage to the world ecological system and ultimately reduce the human population that will eventually be supportable. They also stated that democratic governments are far too short-sighted to deal with the ‘problematique’ and new forms of governance are urgently required.

As you read the following quote (from page 75, first ed.), please remember the names of the leaders listed above. This is not some quirky little cult. This is the stated agenda of the leaders of the environmental movement:

“This is the way we are setting the scene for mankind’s encounter with the planet. The opposition between the two ideologies that have dominated the 20th century has collapsed, forming their own vacuum and leaving nothing but crass materialism.

It is a law of Nature that any vacuum will be filled and therefore eliminated unless this is physically prevented. “Nature,” as the saying goes, “abhors a vacuum.” And people, as children of Nature, can only feel uncomfortable, even though they may not recognize that they are living in a vacuum. How then is the vacuum to be eliminated?

It would seem that humans need a common motivation, namely a common adversary, to organize and act together in the vacuum; such a motivation must be found to bring the divided nations together to face an outside enemy, either a real one or else one invented for the purpose.

New enemies therefore have to be identified.

New strategies imagined, new weapons devised.

The common enemy of humanity is man.

In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself.

The old democracies have functioned reasonably well over the last 200 years, but they appear now to be in a phase of complacent stagnation with little evidence of real leadership and innovation

Democracy is not a panacea. It cannot organize everything and it is unaware of its own limits. These facts must be faced squarely. Sacrilegious though this may sound, democracy is no longer well suited for the tasks ahead. The complexity and the technical nature of many of today’s problems do not always allow elected representatives to make competent decisions at the right time.”\(^ {22}\)

So, long before Global Warming became a well known issue Al Gore and his Club of Rome colleagues stated that they would use the threat of global warming to unite humanity and “set the scene for mankind’s
encounter with the planet.” In the same way that shamans and sooth-sayers in medieval times used their advance knowledge of when eclipses would occur to control and terrify their followers, they would use a natural phenomenon as their ‘enemy’ to achieve their objectives. But then they state that although Global Warming would be presented as the initial enemy, the real enemy of humanity would be portrayed as man himself. You will also notice how frequently the terms climate change and overpopulation are being uttered in the same breath.

Having discovered that all these influential environmental leaders were associated with the Club of Rome you will be amazed to find that they lay out their entire agenda for anyone who has eyes to see. Exactly the same themes, concepts and phrases are repeated continuously throughout their publications. They are full of references to ‘imminent collapse’, ‘dying planet’, ‘our mother Gaia’, ‘wrenching transformation’, ‘united global society’, ‘global consciousness’, ‘new forms of governance’ etc. They truly intend to bring about the world’s First Global Revolution.

The Kosmos Journal provides perhaps the best insight into their worldview. This Journal was founded by the Club of Rome in partnership with several of its sibling organizations. As described in my article, The Green Web, the CoR has established a network of supporting organizations, each focusing on a different aspect of their agenda. The Kosmos Journal contains many articles written by CoR members. The basic premise of their worldview is:

“Modern industrial civilisation is fast outstripping the Earth’s natural regenerative and life-supporting capacity...”

“At current rates of resource depletion and environmental degradation a near complete collapse of ecological integrity will occur within the next 100 years...”

“Gaia, our Mother, who nurtured humanity for countless millenia within her womb of evolution, is dying...”

“A small window of opportunity now exists to transform humanity into a sustainable global interdependent society based on respect and reverence for Earth...”

“A radical change from the current trajectory is required, a complete reordering of global society...”

“Humans only truly unite when faced with a powerful external enemy...”

“At this time a new enemy must be found, one either real or invented for the purpose...”

“Democracy has failed us, a new system of global governance, based on environmental imperatives, must be implemented quickly...”

Now that Obama is firmly ensconced in the White House the Club of Rome and its affiliates are swinging into high gear. The CoR recently unveiled a new 3-year programme entitled A New Path for World Development. The Club of Madrid also launched the Road to Copenhagen, a joint programme with the UN Environment Programme had intended to facilitate a binding global climate change treaty in 2009 which was unsuccessful. Perhaps most interesting is the State of Global Emergency declared by the Club of Budapest in October 2008. The declaration states that we only have four or five years to prevent a total collapse of the Earth's ecosystems. To quote from the document:

“If we continue on our present unsustainable path, by mid-century the Earth may become largely uninhabitable for human and most other forms of life. Such a total systems collapse could occur much sooner, however, due to runaway global warming or other ecocatastrophes, and/or by nuclear wars triggered by religious, ethnic or geopolitical conflicts or access to diminishing natural resources. The macro-trends driving these global threats and challenges have been apparent for decades and are now...”
building toward a threshold of irreversibility. The scientific modeling of complex systems shows that when systems reach a state of critical instability, they either break down to their components or break through to a higher order of integral functioning. At these “points of no return” maintaining the status quo, or returning to a previous mode of organization and functioning, are not a feasible option.

The acceleration of critical trends and cross-impacts among them indicates that the ‘window of opportunity’ for pulling out of the present global crisis and breaking through to a more peaceful and sustainable world is likely to be no more than four to five years from the end of 2008. This is close in time to the Mayan 2012 prophecy for the end of the current world. The period around the end of 2012 is likely to be a turbulent one for this and other reasons. Predictions coming from the physical sciences foresee disturbances in the geomagnetic, electromagnetic and related fields that embed the planet causing significant damage to telecommunications and impacting many aspects of human activity and health. For the esoteric traditions the end of 2012 will be the end of the known world, although the more optimistic interpretations speak of a new world taking the place of the old.”

This may seem very strange – a group of prominent world leaders talking about ancient Mayan prophecies, but many leading global warming activists openly advocate earth-reverence and other New Age philosophies. Gaia, Global Warming, and Global Governance are intricately entwined, if one truly believes in Gaia, and that she is being fatally harmed by the current system, then a new system of global governance and control would appear to be the only answer. Global Warming provides the ideal ‘enemy’ to bring about this objective. It is easy for these global elitists to talk about sacrifice, wrenching transformation, population reduction and eliminating the use of fossil fuels but the implications are truly horrendous.

THE RISE OF THE GREEN RELIGION

Blending the world’s religions together and bringing them under the umbrella of the United Nations has been high on the Global Green Agenda for many years. Dr Robert Muller, until recently the Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, and its #2 ranked official, appears to be the driving force behind the plan to create a new United Religion. His passion for this spiritual agenda is readily apparent, “My great personal dream is to forge a tremendous alliance between all religions and spiritual groups, and the UN. We desperately need a United Religions Organisation to bring reconciliation, unity and peace to all the peoples of our world.”

Dr Muller famously described himself as "A divine motivator ... the wise man of the UN ... the shaman of the UN ... the man through whom God speaks ... the spokesman of Christ ..." Now this ‘divine motivator’ was the co-founder of UNESCO and a key architect behind many of the UN's most important environmental policies and plans. Dr Muller is also one of the key figures behind the drive to establish a universal global consciousness. He is the co-Chairman of the World Commission for Global Consciousness and Spirituality and the co-Chairman, alongside Mikhail Gorbachev, of the World Wisdom Council. Dr Muller is also the Chancellor of the UN's University of Peace, which he founded on a mountain in Costa Rica. Why did he choose this location? Because of this ancient prophecy:

"The Great Spirit is in every animal, in every bird, butterfly, flower, insect, leaf and grass you see. The Great Spirit is also in you, the Creator's children. Please take care of the wonderful nature created by God and some day, from this mountain, you will see the birth of a civilization of peace spread to the entire world."
Dr Muller’s clearly outlines his vision for a New Green Religion on his websites

http://www.paradiseearth.us
http://www.robertmuller.org
http://www.goodmorning-world.blogspot.com
http://www.goodmorningworld.org

In one article he recounts a conversation between himself and God, as equals no less. These are quotes from that article 26

God: "Dear Robert, congratulations for having finished your 4000 ideas. May I ask you: which one do you consider the most important?"

I: Well, my most important idea and conclusion after all my adult life as a world civil servant is this: The United Nations must be vastly strengthened to resolve the major global problems henceforth increasingly confronting humanity and the earth. It must be empowered to adopt and enforce world laws and regulations. Let us perform this miracle in the House of Mica, on the shores of the River of the Rising Sun, wherefrom our indigenous brethren prophesized that a civilization of peace will extend to the entire world.

God: "Thank you, dear Robert, for what you are recommending. Perhaps after all, the greatest jewel of my Creation, the Earth, can be saved."

The first attempt at global interfaith dialogue occurred at the first ‘Parliament of World Religions’ held in Chicago in 1893. This conference involved representatives from most established and emerging religious groups and also marked the introduction of the Baha’i faith into the United States. In the early 1990s a group of interfaith dialogue proponents decided to organise a centenary conference to be held in 1993, also in Chicago.

Dr Muller was one of the primary organisers, along with Hans Kung and Dr. Gerald Barney, and was a keynote speaker. Kung is a Catholic priest who previously held the powerful position of ‘Expositor of Theology’ at the Vatican and is the founder of the Global Ethic Foundation, another organization strongly pushing the concept of human global consciousness. The conference included more than 8,000 representatives from 150 different religious and spiritual groups. Dr Muller’s speech was entitled ‘A Proposal to establish a United Nations of Religion’. It received a prolonged standing ovation and his proposal was endorsed in the conferences joint communique.

“Our earth cannot be changed unless in the not too distant future an alteration in the consciousness of individuals is achieved. This has already been seen in areas such as war and peace or economy and ecology. And it is precisely for this alteration in inner orientation, in the entire mentality, in the "heart," that religion bear responsibility in a special way. Religion must be a unifier and peacemaker, not a cause for violence and separation.” 27

The centenary parliament led to the formation of interfaith dialogue initiatives by many religious groups, most notably the Vatican, and secular organisations such as the United Nations, the Gorbachev Foundation, the Clinton Global Initiative, and many UN associated NGOs. However, it was the United Religions Initiative (URI), proposed by Robert Muller during the conference that has made the most dramatic progress.
The URI’s stated aims are “unity among religions” and "manifesting love for the Earth." Working closely with Muller on this daring initiative is the Anglican Bishop William Swing of Grace Episcopal Cathedral in San Francisco. Although Muller is the visionary behind United Religions and the catalyst between the major parties involved, Swing has become the initiative’s figurehead and spokesperson.

However, the direct involvement of the United Nations in this project has been evident from the beginning. Swing relates how Muller first contacted him in 1993 about heading up a worship celebration on its behalf: "Three and a half years ago, a telephone call arrived in San Francisco from the United Nations asking if we, at Grace Cathedral, would host a great interfaith worship service honoring the 50th Anniversary of the signing of the UN Charter in our city." This request, and subsequent conversation with Muller, is allegedly what prompted Swing to become involved in the United Religions Initiative. He continues, "I got out of bed the next day determined to commit the rest of my life to an initiative that would create a United Religions which would, in appropriately spiritual ways, parallel the United Nations."

The URI has attracted a diverse group of followers, and seems to be remarkably skilful in appealing to there different worldviews. Reverend James Davis, an Anglican minister from New York stated "We've never seen any organization build coalitions as quickly or as successfully as the United Religions Initiative." Huston Smith, a scholar of comparative religions and author of The World's Religions, a standard reference in religious studies, describes the URI as "by far the most significant global interfaith effort."

The URI claims that more than 1,000 religious groups, representing 600 million people, have endorsed the URI charter. These include the Dalai Lama, state churches in China, various Jewish Rabbis, Sufi's (a Muslin sect), the Rev. Sun Myung Moon, radical feminists, deep ecologists, creation spiritualists, kaballahists and various neopagan groups. It has also received favourable comments from the United Nations and the Vatican. The preamble to the URI Charter is as follows:

- We, people of diverse religions, spiritual expressions and indigenous traditions throughout the world, hereby establish the United Religions Initiative to promote enduring, daily interfaith cooperation, to end religiously motivated violence and to create cultures of peace, justice and healing for the Earth and all living beings.
- We respect the uniqueness of each tradition, and differences of practice or belief.
- We value voices that respect others, and believe that sharing our values and wisdom can lead us to act for the good of all.
- We believe that our religious, spiritual lives, rather than dividing us, guide us to build community and respect for one another.
- Therefore, as interdependent people rooted in our traditions, we now unite for the benefit of our Earth community.
- We unite to build cultures of peace and justice.
- We unite to heal and protect the Earth.
- We unite to build safe places for conflict resolution, healing and reconciliation.
- We unite to support freedom of religion and spiritual expression, and the rights of all individuals and peoples as set forth in international law.
- We unite in responsible cooperative action to bring the wisdom and values of our religions, spiritual expressions and indigenous traditions to bear on the economic, environmental, political and social challenges facing our Earth community.
- We unite to provide a global opportunity for participation by all people, especially by those whose voices are not often heard.
- We unite to celebrate the joy of blessings and the light of wisdom in both movement and stillness.
• We unite to use our combined resources only for nonviolent, compassionate action, to awaken to our deepest truths, and to manifest love and justice among all life in our Earth community.

It goes on to say: The root of this ecological crisis is a spiritual crisis. Just as the religions and spiritual traditions of the world teach respectful interaction with a sacred whole, so must spiritual values and moral imperatives help humanity to rediscover a reverence for all life and respect for the sacredness of the whole of Planet Earth. Therefore, we call for interfaith cooperation in furthering this vision for love and protection of the Earth, reverence for life, and harmony with all living beings.

As suggested by Swing, the United Religions Initiative is intended to be to religion what the United Nations has become to global politics, unifying the world’s religions as the UN is unifying the world’s nations. Bishop Swing confirmed these plans, “The URI will be a spiritual United Nations. And what better place to give it birth than the Bay Area, which gave birth to the present UN.”

Bishop Swing also states,

“The URI will offer the world a powerful new vision of hope - the vision that the deepest stories we know can now cease to be causes of separation between people, and become instead the foundation for a reunited humanity. Religions need the URI. Bombs are exploding in the name of God in cities throughout the world, religious persecution is more prevalent now than ever before, religious extremists are demanding and obtaining nuclear weapons, and still there is no neutral arena where all of the religions can engage each other. The URI, in time, aspires to have the visibility and stature of the United Nations. It will have global visibility and will be a vital presence in local communities all over the world.”

The United Nations has granted the URI official ‘liaison status’, which means that in return for UN financial support the URI will “co-operate closely with the U.N. and its organisations to complement the U.N.'s political, diplomatic and social mandates, influence U.N. policy and support its programs.” At a recent UN-sponsored Interfaith Conference, Bishop Swing issued a challenge for a new global civilisation, “We stand on the threshold of a new world order that may be defined either by an increasing polarisation that fuels a spiral of escalating conflict and violence, or by growing global cooperation that calls the human race to work across national, ethnic and religious boundaries to serve a larger global good.”

Bishop Swing recently apologised for two millennia of Christian evangelism. His apology not limited to ‘religious violence’ committed by Christians, it also includes regret for “proclaiming that Jesus Christ is Lord and Savior of all” and for seeking “to make the whole world Christian”. The URI strongly condemns all forms of religious fundamentalism; in fact the need for a new spirituality is often based on the intolerant attitude of evangelical Christians and radical Muslims’. Swing states “Because some day, the ascendancy of militant fundamentalist voices of politically aspiring religions might be so pervasive that a United Religions will need to be created in order to save religions from these fundamentalists.”

The URI arose out of, and is the culmination of, the interfaith movement that began with the 1893 Parliament of World Religions. It is inextricably tied to the quest for a new spirituality that would unify the planet in a ‘transformed global society’. The URI is the fullest expression of this movement now. The current model of unity in diversity will characterise the United Religions Initiative for a while. However, the final phase of the Global Green Spiritual Agenda will be the “full end to the great heresy of separateness.”

The final green religion will be the blending of all religions into one unified expression of spirituality based on reverence for our shared planet and human interconnectedness with all living beings. No doubt anyone one who refuses to accept this new spirituality will be labelled an intolerant radical fundamentalist. In the wake of an earth-shattering crisis, such as the one described in A United World, ‘fundamentalists’ are likely to be blamed for all the world’s problems. A new world religion, in addition to a new world order, will be gratefully received by most given a crisis of sufficient magnitude.
THE APOSTLES OF THE GREEN RELIGION

One thing that immediately strikes you when researching these men is how often they refer to the Earth as 'Gaia', and speak of 'her' as a real living sentient earth-spirit. They even call themselves Gaians.

From a Gaian activist's perspective the theory of Global Warming presents a dream scenario. It strikes at the very heart of 'Gaia's greatest threat' - capitalism and modern industrial society. According to them without fossil fuels the world will be transformed into the Gaian's ecotopian vision of small sustainable human settlements, surrounded by protected wild-lands, and governed by some sort of United Earth Council. Global Warming provides a clarion call to which the 'environmentally aware' masses can rally. Skeptics are now commonly labelled as climate change-deniers, insinuating that they should be treated with the same contempt that holocaust-deniers deserve.

While those who embrace Gaia-worship may represent a small minority of the Green Movement they are often the most driven, active and influential members. I have been astounded to find that many of the most vocal politicians and scientists currently raising alarms about Global Warming, are also actively involved in the Gaia Cult and Deep Ecology. I had assumed that professional politicians and scientists would give little credence to the extreme views of these neopagan philosophies but, as I will demonstrate shortly, many of them actively and vocally espouse them.

This begs the question, is the real threat of Global Warming being used by Gaians to further their stated agenda of global transformation in which 'nature is sacred', or more deviously, has the theory of man-made Global Warming been fabricated as a tool to implement their earth-worship agenda? Based on my many hours of research I have concluded that the second scenario is the most likely. Once you had read enough eco-religious texts it becomes easy to spot Gaian terms and references in the speeches and statements of many prominent Global Warming activists. I am continually amazed at the language that these devout Gaians use to describe themselves and their 'mission'. And remember these are not the members of some fringe green New Age cult. These are people in positions of significant power and leadership. So let's take a close look at these leaders of the Global Green Agenda:

Dr Robert Muller spent 53 years working within the United Nations and Assistant Secretary-General for more than 12 years (#2 in charge). He is the Founder and Chancellor of the United Nations University of Peace. Here is how he describes himself (remember this is not some fruitcake standing on a streetcorner, this guy was responsible for formulating many UN policies):

"A divine motivator ... the wise man of the UN ... the shaman of the UN ... the man through whom God speaks ... the spokesman of Christ ... a magic being ..." 30
Robert Muller, a Cosmic Being, a Man of Peace, whose mastery of compassion created these exhortations. At rare intervals in the evolution of the planet Cosmos flares its magic wand and graces us with a Master of Compassion such as Robert Muller." 31

Now this 'magic being' was a key architect behind many of the UN's most important environmental policies and plans. If you read Agenda 21 and the Earth Charter you will be shocked. Dr Muller founded the UN's University of Peace on a mountain in Costa Rica. Why did he choose this location? Because of this ancient prophecy:

"The Prophecy of Rasur - One day, a long time ago, in the village of Quisar, all the children suddenly disappeared underground. The parents could not understand what was happening and became extremely worried. Faintly rising up from the earth below, they heard laughter and singing and knew that their children were safe. The children began to move and the parents followed their voices until they were stopped by a strong magnetic force at the base of Mt. Rasur. The Earth abruptly opened up and the children as well as a being of light, Rasur (the god of the indigenous children), appeared to the surprised parents. Rasur then spoke to the children, never once looking at the parents, and said, Dear children, the Great Spirit is in every animal, in every bird, butterfly, flower, insect, leaf and grass you see. The Great Spirit is also in you, the Creator's children. Please take care of the wonderful nature created by God and some day, from this mountain, you will see the birth of a civilization of peace spread to the entire world." 32

"Hindus call our earth Brahma, or God, for they rightly see no difference between our earth and the divine. This ancient simple truth is slowly dawning again upon humanity, as we are about to enter our cosmic age and become what we were always meant to be: the planet of god." 33

"What an incredible planet in the universe this will be when we will be one human family living in justice, peace, love and harmony with our divine Earth, with each other and with the heavens." 33

So, Dr Muller, who was the right-hand man to three consecutive UN Secretary Generals, and was responsible for formulating many UN policies and programmes clearly believes that the Earth is Divine. On one of his websites he describes conversations between himself and God, and between himself and the Earth (halfway down the page). He has a number of websites that make very interesting reading and clearly demonstrate the link between Gaia, Global Warming and Global Governance.

- www.goodmorning-world.blogspot.com
- www.robertmuller.org
- www.goodmorningworld.org
- www.centerforlivingethics.org
- www.paradiseearth.us

Those websites provide enough information for a year of study, and I strongly urge the reader to have a look at them. But it's time to move on to our next CoR Gaian:

Maurice Strong, founder and Secretary General of the United Nations Environment Programme and Senior Advisor to Kofi Annan. Founder of the Earth Council and the Earth Charter Initiative, and former President of the United Nations University of Peace. You will find many references to Maurice Strong on this site. He, more than anyone else, has been the architect of the Global Green Agenda. Strong is a devout Baha'i and from his lofty positions within the UN has permeated the organisation with Gaian theology.

He is the author of most of the key UN environmental policies and plans including Agenda 21, the Earth Charter, the Kyoto Protocol and the UN report on Global Governance. While he chaired the Rio Earth Summit, outside his wife Hanne and 300 followers called the Wisdom-Keeper, continuously beat drums, chanted prayers to Gaia, and trended scared flames in order to "establish and hold the energy field" for...
the duration of the summit. You can view actual footage of these ceremonies on YouTube. He founded the Manitou Institute where various Hindu, Boddhist, and New Age groups perform rituals to heal Gaia. The Institute's Mission is "to perpetuate the ancient tradition of peoples of many tribes journeying here for a sacred connection to the Earth." Very Gaian!!

The Strongs have located their spiritual centre in the Colorado mountains because

"The Strongs learned that since antiquity indigenous peoples had revered this pristine wilderness as a place for conducting their vision quests and receiving shamanic trainings. It is prophesied that the world's religious traditions would gather here and help move the world toward globally conscious co-existence and co-creation." 34

"It is the responsibility of each human being today to choose between the force of darkness and the force of light. We must therefore transform our attitudes, and adopt a renewed respect for the superior laws of DIVINE NATURE." - Maurice Strong 35

"This interlocking is the new reality of the century, with profound implications for the shape of our institutions of governance, national and international. By the year 2012, these changes must be fully integrated into our economic and political life." 36

He also recently authored a book called 'Where on Earth are We Going?' in which he outlines a doomsday scenario of what will soon happen to Gaia unless there is "an immediate and total global transformation." His predictions make Al Gore seem like an optimist. He claims that humanity will not survive the next 30 years on its current course.

Strong has served, or is currently on the Board of Directors of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN); the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF); and the World Resources Institute (WRI); the three international NGOs that have developed and advanced the global agenda since the early 1970s. Maurice Strong, alongside Al Gore, is perhaps the most well known, and certainly the most influential, CoR Gaian. He is still deeply involved in the modern green movement and has founded a number of organisations including the Earth Council Alliance.

James Lovelock, guru of the Gaia Hypothesis and father of the modern green movement. There is no need to establish Dr Lovelock's Gaian credentials; he conceived the whole thing after all. He has been one of the most vocal and extreme prophets of the coming climate apocalypse. According to him "By the end of this century the human population will be reduced to a few breeding pairs subsisting near the North Pole." Interestingly the good doctor has saved the world once before. In 1975 Sir James dramatically discovered that CFC's were destroying the ozone layer which would shortly lead to our imminent demise. No doubt controlling and eliminating CFC's was a test case for the big prize, controlling and eliminating fossil fuels. His most recent publication is entitled Homage to Gaia.

"This new interrelationship of Gaia with man is by no means fully established; we are not yet a truly collective species, corralled and tamed as an integral part of the biosphere, as we are as individual creatures. It may be that the destiny of mankind is to become tamed, so that the fierce, destructive, and greedy forces of tribalism and nationalism are fused into a compulsive urge to belong to the commonwealth of all creatures which constitutes Gaia." 37

"What if Mary is another name for Gaia? Then her capacity for virgin birth is no miracle . . . it is a role of Gaia since life began . . . She is of this Universe and, conceivably, a part of God. On Earth, she is the source of life everlasting and is alive now; she gave birth to humankind and we are part of her." 38

Al Gore, 'inventor of the internet and Global Warming' has become the public face of the crusade to unite the world in the fight against global warming. His devout Gaian views are scattered liberally through the
various books he has written the environment and human spirituality. Al has formed an Alliance for Climate Protection, using the funds from Live Earth, to push forward his 'call to action.' He recently announced a 300 million dollar advertising campaign to raise public awareness and recruit an “army of ten million climate activists.”

In his book Earth in the Balance, Gore devotes no less than three chapters to the 'Earth Goddess.' He states that

"in prehistoric Europe and much of the world was based on the worship of a single earth goddess, who was assumed to be the fount of all life and who radiated harmony among all living things. Much of the evidence for the existence of this primitive religion comes from the many thousands of artifacts uncovered in ceremonial sites. These sites are so widespread that they seem to confirm the notion that a goddess religion was ubiquitous through much of the world until the antecedents of today's religions, most of which still have a distinctly masculine orientation...swept out of India and the Near East, almost obliterating belief in the goddess. The last vestige of organized goddess worship was eliminated by Christianity as late as the fifteenth century." 39

"The fate of mankind, as well as of religion, depends upon the emergence of a new faith in the future.' Armed with such a faith, we might find it possible to resanctify the Earth." - Earth in the Balance

"This we know: the Earth does not belong to man, man belongs to the Earth. All things are connected like the blood that unites us all." 39

On the back cover of Earth in the Balance the well-known New Ager M. Scott Peck states:

“Earth in Balance is a brilliantly written, prophetic, even holy book, clearly pointing the way we need to change to assure the survival of our children. I pray it will have the dramatic impact it deserves – and must have for our collective salvation.” 39

In the United States the only operating carbon emissions trading market is the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX). Coincidentally, or not, Al Gore’s hedge fund, Generation Investment Management, is the largest shareholder in CCX. Now that’s what I call a conflict of interest! The most vocal Global Warming alarmist is the largest shareholder in the USA’s only operating ‘carbon market.’ On the board of CCX we find our old friend Maurice Strong.

Mikhail Gorbachev, formerly the President of the Soviet Union and now the founder and chairman of Green Cross International, and the Gorbachev Foundation. Attendees at his 1996 State of the World Forum included New Age gurus Shirley MacLaine, Dennis Weaver, John Denver, John Naisbitt, Carl Sagan, Ted Turner and Jane Fonda, Barbara Marx Hubbard, Maurice Strong, Robert Muller, Dr. Deepak Chopra, and Matthew Fox.

Gorbachev presided over the sudden collapse of the Soviet empire. Perhaps he was removing one of the major obstacles facing the Global Green Agenda. Since then he has been intimately involved in politics of the environmental movement and is considered to be one of its greatest champions. Gorbachev, in association with Maurice Strong, was responsible for the production of the Earth Charter.

"Nature is my god. To me, nature is sacred; trees are my temples and forests are my cathedrals” 41

“We need a new paradigm of development in which the environment will be a priority... World civilization as we know it will soon end... We have very little time and we must act... If we can address the environment problem, we have hope... but it will have to be done within a new system, a new paradigm... We have to change our mindset - the way humankind views the world“ 42
Sir Crispin Tickell, is considered to one of Britain's most influential diplomats. He was the British Ambassador to Mexico (1981-1983), Permanent Secretary of the Official Development Assistance (1984-1987), British Ambassador to the United Nations and Permanent Representative on the UN Security Council (1987-1990). He chaired the British Government's 1994 Panel on Sustainable Development. Tickell has been one of the most outspoken Global Warming alarmists even though, like Al Gore, he has no scientific training. His devout Gaian views are extremely obvious with just a cursory glance at his website http://www.crispintickell.com/

Sir Crispin has been or still is the chairman of: the Advisory Committee of the Darwin Initiative for Survival of the Species, the UK Marine Biologists Association, the International Institute for Environment and Development, Earth Watch Europe, The Climate Institute of Washington, DC; and he was or is the president of: the Royal Geographical Society, the National Society for Clean Air, the Gaia Foundation, and the Gaia Society for Research and Education in Earth System Science. This Gaia Society is Sir Crispin's personal contribution to the Earth Goddess, as it is dedicated to creating a cult around the ideas and personages of eco-spiritualists James Lovelock and Lynn Margulis.

"Gaia has no particular tenderness for humans. We are no more than a small, albeit immodest, part of her." – Sir Crispin Tickell

Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, co-founder, former President and current Patron of the World Wildlife Fund for Nature (WWF). The Prince has been deeply involved in the green movement since its inception. In 1971 he founded an organisation called 'The 1001 Club: A Nature Trust' to fund the activities of the WWF. This secretive Club contains quite a few Club of Rome members. The Prince appears to adhere strongly to the Deep Ecology philosophy that considers humans to be nothing more than a feral pest species that must be strictly controlled.

"In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation." 

"It is now apparent that the ecological pragmatism of the so-called pagan religions, such as that of the American Indians, the Polynesians, and the Australian Aborigines, was a great deal more realistic in terms of conservation ethics than the more intellectual monotheistic philosophies of the revealed religions." 

"I don't claim to have any special interest in natural history, but as a boy I was made aware of the annual fluctuations in the number of game animals and the need to adjust the cull to the size of the surplus population."

"We talk about over- and underdeveloped countries; I think a more exact division might be between underdeveloped and overpopulated. The more people there are, the more industry and more waste and the more sewage there is, and therefore the more pollution."

"If the world pollution situation is not critical at the moment, it is as certain as anything can be that the situation will become increasingly intolerable within a very short time. The situation can be controlled, and even reversed; but it demands cooperation on a scale and intensity beyond anything achieved so far."

"Human population growth is probably the single most serious long-term threat to survival. We're in for a major disaster if it isn't curbed--not just for the natural world, but for the human world. The more people there are, the more resources they'll consume, the more pollution they'll create."

"The object of the WWF is to 'conserve' the system as a whole; not to prevent the killing of individual animals. Those who are concerned about their conservation of nature accept that all species are prey to some other species. They accept that most species produce a surplus that is capable of being culled without in any way threatening the survival of the species as a whole."
There is even a tribe in Vanuatu who consider Prince Philip to be a living god. When told about this the Prince remarked that it was a splendid idea and sent the tribe several portraits they could worship, and has since visited them. Very strange!!

**Steven Schneider**, Stanford Professor of Biology and Global Change. Professor Schneider was among the earliest and most vocal proponents of man-made global warming. He is most famous for stating that climatologists should only present the most dramatic and frightening scenarios and find their own balance between truth and lies. He has been a lead author of many IPCC reports, and was the editor of "Scientists on Gaia" in which he states "the Gaia Hypothesis has now become established in mainstream science." In 1988 also organised the first international conference to discuss "Gaia and Science".

**Sir Richard Branson**, multibillionaire and founder of the Virgin group of companies. Sir Richard has certainly jumped on board the Global Warming bandwagon in a big way. According to Branson during a brief discussion over breakfast with Al Gore, "my views on global warming were changed 180 degrees." That Al guy sure must be persuasive! Branson has since pledged to donate three billion dollars (!!!) to "fund the fight against climate change." Branson has also launched his 'Climate Challenge' which offers a prize of $25 million to anyone who can remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. The panel of judges for this challenge are Al Gore, James Lovelock, Sir Crispin Tickell, Tim Flannery and James Hansen.

"It will have to be a mix of the best solutions from all these areas that will win the battle to keep CO2 levels below those at which Gaia will strike back at some stage, and kill the problem - in this case us ." – Richard Branson interview

**Dr Tim Flannery**, Evolutionary Biologist and very well known environmental activist. He is the Australian version of Jane Goodall. Although Dr Flannery has no training in anything remotely related to climate science he is one of the worlds most vocal alarmists. He travels continuously around world giving lectures on ‘the Climate Catastrophe’ and often refers to Gaia as a sentient super-organism. His website is [http://www.groveatlantic.com/timflannery.html](http://www.groveatlantic.com/timflannery.html)

**Ted Turner**, multibillionaire and founder of CNN. Turner is deeply involved in the Global Green Agenda. He donated more than a billion dollars to the UN which was specifically tagged to fund the IPCC. Turner personally conceived and produced 'Captain Planet' an edu-tainment cartoon designed to brainwash our children.

"Gaia, the spirit of Earth, awakens from a century long sleep to find the Earth in peril. She summons five teenagers, the Planeteers, from around the globe, and gives each of them a ring which controls an element of nature - Earth, Fire, Wind, Water - and a special power, Heart, which allows them to use their new powers wisely and compassionately." 

One could go on forever, listing literally dozens of other Club of Rome members who refer to the Earth as a sentient divine being called Gaia and are also very actively involved in the Climate Campaign. The Cult of Gaia and Global Warming are intimately entwined.

"We are close to a time when all of humankind will envision a global agenda that encompasses a kind of Global Marshall Plan, if you will, to address the causes of poverty and suffering and environmental destruction all over the earth." 

Many of the leaders of the modern green movement frequently refer to the earth as a sentient super-organism called Gaia. While researching the biographies of these environmental leaders I was very surprised to discover that many of them are members of an organization called the Club of Rome (CoR). I was even more surprised to discover that the entire manifesto of the Global Green Agenda is laid out in the reports and books published by this Club. They believe that modern industrial society is “crucifying Gaia” and “our only hope is to transform humanity into an interdependent global sustainable Earth
Community, based on reverence and respect for Gaia.” They refer to this transformation as the First Global Revolution.

In order to achieve this global transformation the members of the CoR have established a network of interlinked organizations focused on various aspects of their agenda. If you examine the biographies of prominent CoR members and then look at the organizations they have founded you will find they are stacked full of other CoR members. Dozens of foundations, councils, societies, and think-tanks state that their ‘mission' is to consider new forms of governance, sustainability and global consciousness, but when you check their list of members they all contain the same core group of people!

As discussed previously the CoR directly spawned two affiliated ‘Clubs’ – the Club of Budapest (CoB) and the Club of Madrid (CoM). The purpose of these siblings is to “provide spiritual, cultural and political context to the Club of Rome's technical research.”

The Club of Budapest is an offshoot of the CoR designed to promote the Global Green Agenda though 'Art and Culture' - “The idea of the Club of Budapest stems from discussions between its founder and president Ervin Laszlo, and The Club of Rome founder Aurelia Peccei in the late seventies. Peccei suggested that Laszlo, a fellow founding member of the Club of Rome, should bring together writers, artists and people of high spiritual qualifications to complement abstract theoretical information about current and coming global problems with the insight and creativity inherent in art, literature, and various domains of the human spirit.”

However the Club of Budapest seems to have little to do with the Arts and much more to do with the usual themes. The Mission of its WorldShift Network is to address:

- The Governance of Nations
- Education for Wisdom
- Public Health Policy
- The Ethics of Planetary Freedom
- Reverence for Nature
- Approaching a modern Subsistence Economy

The Club of Budapest has also established the World Wisdom Council. It is jointly chaired by Mikhail Gorbachev and Robert Muller and consists of almost exactly the same people as the World Commission on Global Consciousness and Spirituality described below. In 1996 the Club of Budapest released THE MANIFESTO ON THE SPIRIT OF PLANETARY CONSCIOUSNESS which was signed by 16 global environmental leaders, 12 of which are also CoR members!

The Club of Madrid consists of 70 former Heads of State handpicked by the CoR to consider “appropriate forms of governance” and methods of “democratic transition to a new global order.” The Club was officially founded by Mikhail Gorbachev and Diego Hidalgo. They are both given as “executive members of the Governing Council of the Club of Rome.” The CoM is funded by the Gorbachev Foundation and Hidalgo's organization FRIDE (the European Council on Foreign Relations).

A quick perusal of their membership list reveals that more than half are also members of CoR National Associations and contain the usual suspects such as Bill Clinton, Mikhail Gorbachev, Jimmy Carter, Tony
Blair, Mary Robinson, Vaclav Havel, Romano Prodi, Gro Harlem Brundtland, Javier Perez de Cuellar, and Carl Bildt. They state that their top priority is “facilitating a global post-Kyoto climate treaty.” The Club of Madrid, in a similar fashion to the CoR, is an official consultant body to the UN and is contracted by them to produce reports and technical advice.

Perhaps the most interesting of all these organizations is the World Commission on Global Consciousness and Spirituality. The purpose of this ‘Commission’ appears to be to perpetuate the spiritual aspects of the Global Green Agenda. It is basically just old-fashioned paganism dressed up as a new eco-theology. I challenge the reader to make sense of this page! The commission is composed mostly of CoR members including Gore and Gorbachev.

All the text below is taken from their website:

VISION

The Commission seeks to inspire consciousness of the wholeness of the human family and the sacred tapestry of all life. This spirituality is the foundation of a global consciousness that honors the wisdom found in the world's traditions, cultures and disciplines.

AWAKENING GLOBAL CONSCIOUSNESS

Perhaps the single most powerful event facing humanity today is a great awakening on a planetary scale that has been millennia in the making. We humans are in the midst of a profound advance as a species to a higher form of global consciousness that has been emerging across cultures, religions and worldviews through the centuries. This awakening of global consciousness is nothing less than a shift, a maturation, from more egocentric patterns of life to a higher form of integral and dialogic patterns of life. In this drama it is seen that egocentric patterns of minding and living directly lead to fragmentation, alienation and human pathologies at the individual and collective level.

The awakening of global consciousness is a new and monumental event in human evolution, even though it has been emerging throughout our diverse spiritual traditions through the ages. But this great event in the human drama is not readily apparent because people tend to process reality from their personalized perspectives, worldviews, narratives or cultural lens. Whereas access to global consciousness comes only if and when we are able to stand back and gain critical distance from our particular localized perspectives and enter the more expansive space of a global perspective in the awakening of the global mind.


MEMBERS

- The Dalai Lama
- Former President Abdurrahman Wahid (Indonesia)
- Archbishop Desmond Tutu
- Seyyed H. Nasr (Distinguished Professor of Islamic thought and culture)
- Tu Weiming (Harvard Professor and eminent voice for Chinese culture)
- Hazel Henderson (Eminent Author, Futurist, Ecology of Global Economics)
- Wangari Maathai (Nobel Peace Prize Laureate)
- Robert Thurman (Buddhist Tibetan Scholar, Columbia University, Advisor to The Dalai Lama)
- Sir Sigmund Sternberg (Winner of the Templeton Prize, Inter-religious relations) Rodrigo Carazo (Elder Statesman, Leader, The University for Peace)
- His Royal Highness El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan (President, Club of Rome)
- Barbara Marx Hubbard (Futurist, President-Foundation for Conscious Evolution)
GLOBAL COUNCILS

The Commission is now actively developing the following Global Councils as a powerful way to carry forth our mission on a planetary scale. These Councils may be seen as “Sub-Commissions” - as direct extensions and outreach of the Commission into diverse primary aspects of planetary cultural life. As such each Council will have direct participation of Commissioners who will report directly to the World Commission and keep the Commission fully informed about developments.

Global Council on Personal & Planetary Security: Jonathan Granoff, Mikhail Gorbachev, Douglas Roche, James George

Global Council on Spiritual Politics & Global Citizenship: Al Gore, Dennis Kucinich, Marianne Williamson, Deepak Chopra, Cornel West, Robert Thurman, Tu Weiming, Barbara Bernstein

Global Council on Planetary Ethics and Values: Steven Rockefeller, Ervin Laszlo, Vaclav Havel, Mary Robinson, Bette Williams, Oscar Arias Sanchez, Hafsat Abiola, Hans Kung, Diane Williams

Global Council on Peace & Conflict Resolution: Desmond Tutu, Arun Gandhi, John & Susan Marks, Rabbi Michael Lerner, Elie Wiesel, Rama Vernon

Global Council on Awakening Arts & Entertainment: Bono, Peter Gabriel, Steven Speilberg, Kit Thomas, Michael Douglas, Susan Sarandon, Stephen Simon, Robert Redford

Global Council on Islam & The West: Dr. Seyyed Nasr, Dr. Riffat Hassan, Dr. Akbar S. Ahmed, Dr. Michael Sells, Prince Hassan of Jordan, Queen Noor of Jordan, Dr. Omid Safi, Dr. Asghar Ali Engineer

The Earth Day Network is responsible for organizing and promoting Earth Day, an event it describes as “the largest environmental celebration on the planet, impacting practically every community in the Western World.” The event is held annually on the Spring Equinox, an extremely important date on the pagan calendar when the Goddess supposedly “brings forth new life.” The Earth Day Network recently launched their Green Generation campaign, which seeks to engage students, churches, and communities in pressuring the world to adopt a new global climate treaty. Moreover, this campaign is slated to continue until 2010 when they state that the world will witness a massive Earth celebration: the 40th anniversary of Earth Day.

The International Council of the Earth Day Network is packed full of CoR members including: Maurice Strong, Gro Harlem Bruntland, Jonathon Lash, Wangari Maathai, Queen Noor, Jane Goodall and other prominent green leaders such as Lester Brown, David Suzuki, Robert Kennedy Jr, and Gus Sepeth.

The United Nations Foundation was created by prominent CoR member Ted Turner. He donated one billion dollars to support the environmental activities of the UN. A significant proportion of this money was designated for “programmes specifically addressing climate change” and funding the IPCC.
Foundation has also recently created the Global Security Institute to “propose far-reaching reforms of the international system.” As would be expected the Foundation’s Board is full of the same old names including: Ted Turner, Timothy Wirth, Kofi Annan, Gro Harlem Brundtland, Emma Rothschild, and Muhammad Yunus.

**Global Leadership for Climate** Action is a joint initiative of the United Nations Foundation and the Club of Madrid which “aims to design a framework for a new enforceable international agreement on climate change.” The GLCA has editorial input into reports and assessments produced by the IPCC and provides “technical expertise on the implications and communication of climate change science.” By my count more than two-thirds of the GLCA members are also members of the CoR including: George Soros, Ted Turner, Timothy Wirth, Gro Harlem Brundtland, Mary Robinson, Sir Crispin Tickell, Kim Campbell, Wangari Maathai, Petre Roman and Richard Lagos. Now I have to wonder what qualifies George Soros and Ted Turner to provide technical advice on climate change science!!

**The Gorbachev Foundation** was created by Mikhail Gorbachev immediately after the collapse of the Soviet Union. He described the Foundation “as a think-tank whose purpose is to explore the path that global governance should take as mankind progresses into an interdependent global society.” The overall motto of the Gorbachev Foundation is “Toward a New Civilization”. The Foundation is deeply involved in many aspects of the modern green movement. They provide advice and funding to many non-governmental organizations. The Board of the Foundation includes CoR members: Mikhail Gorbachev, Robert Muller, Ted Turner, Ruud Lubbers, Wangari Maathai, Sri Chinmoy, Robert Redford, Vaclav Havel and Javier Peres de Cuellar. Who would have thought Robert Redford was involved in all of this! In a similar fashion to the CoR, the Gorbachev Foundation now has several ‘Gorbachev Foundation National Associations’ each with their own management structure.

The Foundation works very closely with the CoR and the Club of Madrid and regularly holds joint conferences. These conferences must be fairly easy to organise since they have so many members in common. In order to carry out their ‘mission’ the Foundation has created a number of subsidiary organizations. Chief among these is Green Cross International.

From the Green Cross Charter:

> “Life is sacred. All forms of life have their own intrinsic value and share our planetary home in an interdependent community. All parts of this community are essential to the functioning of the whole. The beauty of the Earth and its life is food for the human spirit, inspiring human consciousness with wonder, joy and creativity. Human beings are not outside or above the community of life. We have not woven the web of life, we are but a strand in it. We depend on the whole for our very existence. For the first time in history, human beings have the capacity to damage, knowingly or unknowingly, the ecological balances on which all life depends. The crisis is urgent”54

The GCI Board contains the same familiar names: Mikhail Gorbachev, Ted Turner, Basma Bin Talal, Jean Michel Cousteau, Ruud Lubbers, Wangari Maathai, Javier Perez de Cuellar, Robert Redford, Karan Singh, David Suzuki

Another Gorbachev Foundation creation is Global Green USA which promotes sustainability and ‘climate action’. Its motto is “fostering a global shift towards a sustainable future.”

We have previously made reference to the **United Nations University of Peace**. The Chancellor (Robert Muller), Rector (Konrad Osterwalder) and CEO (Martin Lees) are all prominent CoR members.

The ‘Board of Honor’ of the University includes: Betty Williams, Desmond Tutu, Elie Wiesel, the Dalai Lama Nelson Mandela, F. W. de Klerk and David Trimble. The University is also home to Mikhail Gorbachev and Maurice Strong’s infamous Earth Charter Initiative.
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An excellent source of information is the Kosmos Journal, a publication dedicated to the 'Global Awakening' and fostering a 'New Human Civilisation'. Many of the articles are written by CoR members. The founding partners of this journal include many of the organisations listed above and show how truly interconnected they are:

Founding Partners:

- Club of Rome
- Club of Budapest
- World Wisdom Council
- Gorbachev Foundation
- World Commission for Global Consciousness and Spirituality
- Goi Peace Foundation
- Global Youth Network
- The Future 500
- Institute of Noetic Studies

These are just a few of the influential 'environmental' organizations that were either founded by, or are dominated by, CoR members. There are many more but it would take forever to describe them all here. The leaders of the Global Green Agenda are deadly serious about their intention to “transform humanity into an interdependent global sustainable Earth Community, based on reverence and respect for Gaia” and they have been spreading their tentacles into every area of global politics. Some other CoR initiated organizations you may wish to research are:

- Awakening Mind
- Alliance for a New Humanity
- Association for Global New Thought
- The Ethical Globalization Initiative
- Foundation for Conscious Evolution
- Great Transition Initiative
- The Earth Council Alliance
- The World Future Council
- The Alliance of Civilizations
- The Global Marshall Plan

THE AGENDA 21 OBJECTIVE

“Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. This shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action be integrated into individual and collective decision-making at every level.”

57
Agenda 21 was the main outcome of the United Nation’s Earth Summit held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. Agenda 21 outlines, in detail, the UN’s vision for a centrally managed global society. This contract binds governments around the world to the United Nation’s plan for controlling the way we live, eat, learn, move and communicate - all under the noble banner of saving the earth. If fully implemented, Agenda 21 would have the government involved in every aspect of life of every human on earth.

Agenda 21 spreads it tentacles from Governments, to federal and local authorities, and right down to community groups. Chapter 28 of Agenda 21 specifically calls for each community to formulate its own Local Agenda 21: “Each local authority should enter into a dialogue with its citizens, local organizations, and private enterprises and formulate ‘a Local Agenda 21.’ Through consultation and consensus-building, local authorities would learn from citizens and from local, civic, community, business and industrial organizations and acquire the information needed for formulating the best strategies.” - Agenda 21, Chapter 28, sec 1.3

Interestingly, in April 1991, fourteen months before Earth Summit, Prince Charles held a private two day international conference aboard the royal yacht Britannia, moored off the coast of Brazil. His goal was to bring together key international figures in an attempt to achieve a degree of harmony between the various countries that would gather at the Summit. Al Gore was present, along with senior officials from the United Nations and the World Bank.

At the summit 179 nations officially signed Agenda 21 and many more have followed since. Nearly 12,000 local and federal authorities have legally committed themselves to the Agenda. In practice this means that all their plans and policies must begin with an assessment of how the plan or policy meets the requirements of Agenda 21, and no plans or policies are allowed to contradict any part of the Agenda. Local authorities are audited by UN inspectors and the results of the audits are placed on the UN website. You can see how many local authorities in your country were bound by Agenda 21 in 2001 here. The number has increased significantly since then.

The official opening ceremony was conducted by the Dalai Lama and centered around a Viking long-ship that was constructed to celebrate the summit and sailed to Rio from Norway. The ship was appropriately named Gaia. A huge mural of a beautiful woman holding the earth within her hands adorned the entrance to the summit. Al Gore lead the US delegation where he was joined by 110 Heads of State, and representatives of more than 800 NGO’s.

Maurice Strong, Club of Rome member, devout Bahai, founder and first Secretary General of UNEP, has been the driving force behind the birth and imposition of Agenda 21. While he chaired the Earth Summit, outside his wife Hanne and 300 followers called the Wisdom-Keepers, continuously beat drums, chanted prayers to Gaia, and trended scared flames in order to “establish and hold the energy field” for the duration of the summit. You can view actual footage of these ceremonies on YouTube. During the opening speech Maurice Strong made the following statements:

“The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation states, however powerful. The global community must be assured of environmental security.”

“Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable. A shift is necessary which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations.”
Among other things, the agenda called for a Global Biodiversity Assessment of the State of the Earth. Prepared by the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP), this 1140 page document armed UN leaders with the “ecological basis, and moral authority” they needed to validate their global management system. The GBA concludes on page 863 that

“the root causes of the loss of biodiversity are embedded in the way societies use resources. This world view is characteristic of large scale societies, heavily dependent on resources brought from considerable distances. It is a world view that is characterized by the denial of sacred attributes in nature, a characteristic that became firmly established about 2000 years ago with the Judeo-Christian-Islamic religious traditions. Eastern cultures with religious traditions such as Buddhism, Jainism and Hinduism did not depart as drastically from the perspective of humans as members of a community of beings including other living and non-living elements.”

In other words Christians and Moslems are to blame for the sorry state of the world because their religions do not involve worshipping “sacred nature.”

Following the Earth Summit Maurice Strong was named Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, and was appointed to the position of Chief Policy Advisor by Kofi Annan. He was also a member of the UN’s Commission on Global Governance, and the key architect of the Kyoto Protocol. Strong and his wife have also established the Manitou Foundation, providing land in the Colorado to an eclectic mix of religious groups, including the Crestone Mountain Zen Center, the Spiritual Life Institute (a Catholic Carmelite monastery), the Haidakhandi Universal Ashram, the Sri Aurobindo Learning Center, Mangala Shri Bhuti (Tibetan Buddhists), and Karma Thegsum Tashi Gomang (Indian mystics). The Strongs have located their spiritual centre in the Colorado mountains because:” The Strong learned that since antiquity indigenous peoples had revered this pristine wilderness as a place for conducting their vision quests and receiving shamanic trainings. It is prophesied that the world’s religious traditions would gather here and help move the world toward globally conscious co-existence and co-creation.”

So what exactly does Agenda 21 contain? It consists of 115 different and very specific programs designed to facilitate, or to force, the transition to Sustainable Development. The objective, clearly enunciated by the leaders of the Earth Summit, is to bring about a change in the present system of independent nations. The agenda is broken up into 8 ‘programme areas for action’:

- Agriculture
- Biodiversity and Ecosystem Management
- Education
- Energy and Housing
- Population
- Public Health
- Resources and recycling
- Transportation, Sustainable Economic Development

As you can see Agenda 21 addresses nearly every aspect of modern life. Below are the first six paragraphs so that you can understand the true intent of Agenda 21:

1.1. Humanity stands at a defining moment in history. We are confronted with a perpetuation of disparities between and within nations, a worsening of poverty, hunger, ill health and illiteracy, and the continuing deterioration of the ecosystems on which we depend for our well-being. However, integration of environment and development concerns and greater attention to them will lead to the fulfilment of basic needs, improved living standards for all, better protected and managed ecosystems and a safer, more prosperous future. No nation can achieve this on its own; but together we can - in a global partnership for sustainable development.
1.2. This global partnership must build on the premises of General Assembly resolution 44/228 of 22 December 1989, which was adopted when the nations of the world called for the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, and on the acceptance of the need to take a balanced and integrated approach to environment and development questions.

1.3. Agenda 21 addresses the pressing problems of today and also aims at preparing the world for the challenges of the next century. It reflects a global consensus and political commitment at the highest level on development and environment cooperation. Its successful implementation is first and foremost the responsibility of Governments. National strategies, plans, policies and processes are crucial in achieving this. International cooperation should support and supplement such national efforts. In this context, the United Nations system has a key role to play. Other international, regional and subregional organizations are also called upon to contribute to this effort. The broadest public participation and the active involvement of the non-governmental organizations and other groups should also be encouraged.

1.4. The developmental and environmental objectives of Agenda 21 will require a substantial flow of new and additional financial resources to developing countries, in order to cover the incremental costs for the actions they have to undertake to deal with global environmental problems and to accelerate sustainable development. Financial resources are also required for strengthening the capacity of international institutions for the implementation of Agenda 21.

1.5. In the implementation of the relevant programme areas identified in Agenda 21, special attention should be given to the particular circumstances facing the economies in transition. It must also be recognized that these countries are facing unprecedented challenges in transforming their economies, in some cases in the midst of considerable social and political tension.

1.6. The programme areas that constitute Agenda 21 are described in terms of the basis for action, objectives, activities and means of implementation. Agenda 21 is a dynamic programme. It will be carried out by the various actors according to the different situations, capacities and priorities of countries and regions in full respect of all the principles contained in the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development. It could evolve over time in the light of changing needs and circumstances. This process marks the beginning of a new global partnership for sustainable development.

Like many ‘green movement initiatives’ Agenda 21 is a ‘wolf in sheep’s clothing’. As explained in my brief biography I have been actively involved in preparing Agenda 21 action plans and monitoring compliance with environmental permits. All the policies and plans we developed were required to begin with a description of how they met the objectives of Agenda 21 and various other UN agreements, and were audited too determine how they complied with UN requirements. It was these experiences that lead to my research into what was ‘behind it all’ and the subsequent publication of this website.
Agenda 21 is not an environmental management policy, but an attempt to impose a global centrally planned quasi-government administered by the United Nations. Under Agenda 21 all central government and local authority signatories are required to conform strictly to a common prescribed standard and hence this is just communism resurrected in a new guise. Now that Agenda 21 has gained a stranglehold on global regulatory and planning processes Maurice Strong and his Club of Rome colleagues have moved on to the next phase of the Global Green Agenda.

In association with fellow CoR member Mikhail Gorbachev, Strong co-chaired the committee responsible for drafting the Earth Charter. Compared to the 2500 pages that make up Agenda 21 and the BGA it is a tiny document – only 4 pages long. But it is of far more significance to the Global Green Agenda. The Earth Charter is a “declaration of fundamental principles for building a just, sustainable, and peaceful global society in the 21st century”. It is the constitution for a New Green Order. You can read about it here.


“The UN’s Agenda 21 is definitely comprehensive and global — breathtakingly so. Agenda 21 proposes a global regime that will monitor, oversee, and strictly regulate our planet’s oceans, lakes, streams, rivers, aquifers, sea beds, coastlands, wetlands, forests, jungles, grasslands, farmland, deserts, tundra, and mountains. It even has a whole section on regulating and “protecting” the atmosphere. It proposes plans for cities, towns, suburbs, villages, and rural areas. It envisions a global scheme for healthcare, education, nutrition, agriculture, labor, production, and consumption — in short, everything; there is nothing on, in, over, or under the Earth that doesn’t fall within the purview of some part of Agenda 21.”

And things have not improved since. In case the American people do not have enough with which to concern themselves, The Blaze further draws our attention to Agenda 21, a Soros-sponsored plan for world government. Already two decades old, Agenda 21 is a United Nations plan for “sustainable development” that was backed by George H.W. Bush and 177 other world leaders. Despite its seemingly innocuous intentions, The Blaze notes that several items are at risk under the plan: private property ownership, single-family homes, private car ownership and individual travel choices, and privately-owned farms.

The American Policy Center says of Agenda 21:

“According to its authors, the objective of sustainable development is to integrate economic, social and environmental policies in order to achieve reduced consumption, social equity, and the preservation and restoration of biodiversity. Sustainablists insist that every societal decision be based on environmental impact, focusing on three components; global land use, global education, and global population control and reduction.”

In 1987, Vice President of the World Socialist Party Gro Harlem Brundtland wrote a report for the United Nations entitled "Our Common Future," which explained that environmentalism could be used as a tool to control all the people of the world and establish a one-world government. The Blaze contends that the growth of ICLEI [the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives] and the creation of Agenda 21 is a step toward bringing Brundtland’s ideas to life. After all, the term “sustainable development” was first introduced by Brundtland.

During the Earth Summit Strategy to Save Our Planet, one of Agenda 21’s planners declared:

Agenda 21 proposes an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by every person on earth. … [I]t calls for specific changes in the activities of all people. … Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all humans, unlike anything the world has ever experienced.
Agenda 21 is supported by ICLEI, which has been funded by George Soros. In fact, in 1997, George Soros’ Open Society provided $2,147,415 to ICLEI in order to support its Local Agenda 21 Project. According to The Blaze, “This type of global plan could not be implemented without a large and well-funded group pushing through its priorities. For that, Agenda 21 has the International Council of Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). And ICLEI is deeply entrenched in America.”

ICLEI’s website reads:

ICLEI USA was launched in 1995 and has grown from a handful of local governments participating in a pilot project to a solid network of more than 600 cities, towns and counties actively striving to achieve tangible reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and create more sustainable communities. ICLEI USA is the domestic leader on climate protection and adaptation, and sustainable development at the local government level.

More than 600 cities in the United States are members of ICLEI, though most residents of those cities are unaware that their local governments are agreeing to rules and regulations dictated by a UN-based organization regarding property rights.

As observed by The Blaze, “sustainable development” is a more pleasant term for “social justice/socialism,” described by Agenda 21 as the right of the people “to benefit equally from the resources afforded us by society and the environment.” In layman’s terms, "social justice" is the justification for wealth redistribution.

The American Policy Center explains that Agenda 21’s support of wealth redistribution justifies private property restrictions, because to the proponents, private property “is a social injustice since not everyone can build wealth from it.”

This aspect of Agenda 21 should come as no surprise to anyone familiar with the United Nations. UN officials have never been fond of individual ownership of land, asserting the following during a UN Conference on Human Settlements:

Land cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice; if unchecked, it may become a major obstacle in the planning and implementation of development schemes. The provision of decent dwellings and healthy conditions for the people can only be achieved if land is used in the interest of society as a whole.

The American Policy Center indicates that Agenda 21 has manifested itself in a variety of local projects, including Smart Growth, the Wildlands Project, Resilient Cities, Regional Visioning Projects, STAR Sustainable Communities, and most "green" initiatives including green building codes.

Cities pay dues to ICLEI so that the organization may provide community plans, software, and training. The relationship between local cities and ICLEI is funded primarily by government and foundation grants.

In California, Agenda 21 is already working on plans for sustainable management of open spaces. Debate over what open space entails has highlighted divisions between those who are directing the planning meetings and American citizens, including liberal Democrats, who are still interested in protecting private property rights.

In Austin, Texas, the city council approved an “Energy Conservation Ordinance” in 2008. The ordinance adds a new chapter to the city code relating to energy conservation audit and disclosure requirements, and creates an offense and imposes penalties.
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Angered by the city council’s consideration of the ordinance, a group called Texans for Accountable Government began to scrutinize the council’s adoption of Agenda 21-friendly initiatives. TAG Member John Bush delivered a brief but concise presentation on Agenda 21 and ICLEI just prior to the vote that ultimately approved the ordinance.

In addition to private property concerns, Agenda 21 is opposed to the free market system. The Blaze reports:

In the world of business Agenda 21 is not a free market friend, preferring PPPs or Private Public Partnerships where the government decides which companies will receive tax breaks and are allowed to stay in business. In light of this realization, the cozy relationship between the current administration and GE (a company that paid no federal tax in 2010) should raise eyebrows. And the WH efforts to tell Boeing in which state they can operate seems to further bolster the belief that Agenda 21 ideals are already making headway in America.

Fortunately, a number of Americans are waking up and demanding that their towns and cities retract their membership from ICLEI. In fact, the Roanoke, Virginia, Tea Party is holding a rally this week in order to draw attention from their local government and ask that it remove itself from ICLEI.

THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The influential leaders who have been actively guiding the emergence of the environmental movement described their true beliefs and agenda in their own words. But how can they possibly bring about the global political, economic, social and religious transformation they desire? The tool employed must be so potent and pervasive that it reaches into every area of society, from local community groups to sovereign governments and multinational corporations. It must have the power to enforce binding international agreements, exert stringent controls over human activities and yet still be acceptable to the general population. It must become so entrenched in legislation and business practice that its necessity is barely questioned.

Such a tool exists. They have been carefully shaping and nurturing its progress for decades. It is known as the doctrine of Sustainable Development. We are all aware of need to address environmental problems such as water and air pollution, and dwindling natural resources, but Sustainable Development is exerting draconian controls and influence far beyond those required for effective environmental management.

The concept of ‘environmental sustainability’ was first brought to widespread public attention in 1972 by the Club of Rome in their book entitled The Limits to Growth. The official summary can be read here. The report basically concluded that the growth of the human population, and an increase in prosperity, would cause an ecological collapse within the fifty years. The book is considered to be the most successful environmental publication ever produced and propelled the Club of Rome to its current position of an environmental thought-leader and a major consultant to the United Nations.

It has been translated into more than forty languages and sold more than 15 million copies. Throughout the 1970s and 80s the concept that humanity was irreparably damaging the earth gained credence and facilitated the formation of mainstream and activist environmental groups. As discussed in a previous article the Club of Rome has been calling for “a Masterplan to guide world development” since its very inception.

In Nature organic growth proceeds according to a Master Plan, a Blueprint. According to this master plan diversification among cells is determined by the requirements of the various organs; the size and shape of the organs and, therefore, their growth processes are determined by their function, which in turn depends on the needs of the whole organism. Such a ‘master plan’ is missing from the process of growth and development of the world system. Now is the time to draw up a master plan for organic sustainable growth.
and world development based on global allocation of all finite resources and a new global economic system. " - Mankind at the Turning Point, CoR, 1974

Interestingly, just prior to the birth of “Sustainable Development” a well-dressed, articulate man visited a small construction company in Georgia, USA, and announced that he wanted to build an edifice to transmit a message to mankind. He said that he represented a group of men who wanted to offer direction to humanity, but to date, more than two decades later, no one knows who he really was, or who he represented. The stranger gave the company very detailed design documents and stated the money was not an issue.

The “Georgia Guidestones” were completed six months later in 1980. As noted in the Wikipedia entry “The content of the message bears a remarkable resemblance to the so called Earth Charter, a statement of vision of the Earth Charter Initiative of Mikhail Gorbachev (Green Cross International) and Maurice Strong (Earth Summit).”

The monument stands high on a hilltop, and is almost twenty feet tall. It is made from five granite slabs that weigh more than 100 tons, with a capstone connecting the slabs. A message consisting of a set of ten guidelines or principles is engraved on the Georgia Guidestones in eight different languages, one language on each face of the four large upright stones. Moving clockwise around the monument from due north, these languages are: English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Hebrew, Arabic, Chinese and Russian. The message in English reads:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely - improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion - faith - tradition - and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth - beauty - love - seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth - Leave room for nature.

A shorter message appears on the four vertical surfaces of the capstone, again in a different language and script on each face. The explanatory tablet near the Guidestones identifies these languages/scripts as Babylonian Cuneiform (north), Classical Greek (east), Sanskrit (south), and Egyptian Hieroglyphs (west), and provides what is presumably an English translation: "Let these be guidestones to an age of reason."

The Guidestones have become famous as 'America's Stonehenge'. The origin of the Stones remains a mystery but the implications of these guidelines, especially the first two, are disturbing to say the least.

Sustainable Development is a doctrine devised by the former Prime Minister of Norway Gro Harlem Brundtland. The UN Secretary-General, Javier Perez de Cuellar, asked Mrs. Brundtland to chair a World Commission focusing on "long-term environmental strategies for achieving sustainable development by the year 2000 and beyond." She was asked "to help formulate a compelling call for political action on behalf of the environment". Members of the 'Brundtland Commission' came from 21 nations, more than half in the developing world. After three years, including public hearings in the capitals of 15 countries,
what now is often called simply the ‘Brundtland Commission’ published a report titled Our Common Future.

"Over the course of this century, the relationship between the human world and the planet that sustains it has undergone a profound change," said the report. "When the century began, neither human numbers or technology had the power radically to alter planetary systems. As the century closes, not only do vastly increased human numbers and their activities have that power, but major, unintended changes are occurring in the atmosphere, in soils, in water, among plants and animals, and in the relationships among all of these. The rate of change is outstripping the ability of scientific disciplines and our current capabilities to assess and advise."

This sentiment strongly echoes the Limits to Growth published by the Club of Rome nearly twenty years previously. It also surmised that "major, unintended changes are occurring in the atmosphere, in soils, in waters, among plants and animals. Nature is bountiful but it is also fragile and finely balanced. There are thresholds that cannot be crossed without endangering the basic integrity of the system. Today we are close to many of those thresholds."

In issuing a call for various actions, the report offered a now-famous definition of what it referred to as sustainable development: "A form of development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." The Brundtland Commission called for an international conference to be convened "within an appropriate period" after the presentation of its report to review progress and create a follow-up structure.

That conference, the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, or Earth Summit, was held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992. At the Rio 'Earth Summit', representatives of more than 170 nations, including the United States, agreed to work toward sustainable development of the planet. More specific agreements, most not legally binding, focused on topics of global significance such as climate change, loss of biodiversity, management of the earth's forests and the responsibilities and rights of nations. A global plan of action developed in Rio was titled Agenda 21, referring to the 21st century.

At the opening session of the Rio Earth Summit Maurice Strong, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) Secretary-General, bemoaned the world's "explosive increase in Population" and warned "we have been the most successful species ever; we are now a species out of control. Population must be
stabilized and rapidly." His speech also stated that "current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work-place air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable. A shift is necessary which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations."

Mr Strong has since stated that “The United States is the greatest threat to the global environment. It is guilty of environmental aggression against the planet” and “Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

Sustainable Development, as outlined in Agenda 21 and the subsequent Earth Charter, is the driving force behind what Al Gore calls a "wrenching transformation" that society must endure to repair what he perceives as the damage of the 20th century's Industrial Revolution. It is the same Industrial Revolution that gave us modern transportation, medicine, indoor plumbing, healthy drinking water, central heating, air conditioning, and electric light. Sustainable Development is not about environmental clean up of rivers, air and litter. It is an all-encompassing socialist scheme to combine social welfare programs with government control of private business, socialized medicine, national zoning controls of private property and restructuring of school curriculum which serves to indoctrinate children into politically correct group think.

Immediately following the publication of Brundtland Commission report and the Earth Summit many governments swiftly enacted draconian legislation to empower the Sustainable Development doctrine. This followed a common formula of establishing regional or federal authorities that were given sweeping powers to control activities on private property. In Europe nearly every imaginable activity, no matter how benign, now requires an environmental impact assessment to be submitted to a committee which then imposes its own controls on the proposed activity. The UN regularly audits member countries and reports on their progress in implementing Agenda 21.

The primary tools used by the UN to force governments to implement its Sustainable Development agenda have been The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). The World Bank states that Sustainable Development is its “global strategic priority” and all government loans are tagged with the requirement to introduce approved environmental legislation and strict monitoring. Even if repayments are met these loans can be foreclosed if the environmental targets are not met within the required timeframe.

In his book, Earth in the Balance, Al Gore insists

"We must all become partners in a bold effort to change the very foundation of our civilization. We must make the rescue of the environment the central organizing principle for civilization."\(^{53}\)

Sustainable Development advocates seek oppressive taxes to control and punish behavior of which they don't approve and there is much these advocates disapprove, including air conditioning, fast foods, suburban housing and automobiles. Every aspect of our lives is affected by Sustainable Development policies. It is top-down control from an all-powerful central government, specifically the United Nations which seeks to assert such control.

The philosophy behind Sustainable Development is to foster a mentality of guilt in people over the use of natural resources. Every time one starts their car... every time one turns on the tap... remember, be sustainable! Don't exceed your allotment of resources.... We all must learn to live the same, think the same and most importantly... be sustainable! We are encouraged to calculate our 'ecological footprint', or more recently, our 'carbon footprint'. Using a humble incandescent light bulb is now considered a crime against the planet by some. During the recent Earth Hour there were people in my city banging on their neighbours door telling them to switch off their lights. This collective guilt trip is being used to develop the global consciousness that I discussed in my previous article. Even back in 1974 the Club of Rome stated in Mankind at the Turning Point:
“A world consciousness must be developed through which every individual realizes his role as a member of the world community... If the human species is to survive, man must develop a sense of identification with future generations and be ready to trade benefits to the next generations for the benefits to himself. If each generation aims at maximum good for itself, Homo sapiens are as good as doomed” 66

The next revolution in the Sustainable Development saga appears to be the use of Global Warming hysteria to implement a global carbon tax or carbon credit trading system. This will give the United Nations, or whatever hierarchy oversees the system, complete control of the world's economy. Fossil fuels are the lifeblood of any economy. One barrel of oil contains 23,000 hours of human work output. Controlling the amount of oil that can be consumed, and taxing its consumption, will complete the Sustainable Development agenda of controlling and reducing human activity in order to protect Mother Earth from her greatest enemy – humans!

“Humans on the Earth behave in some ways like a pathogenic micro-organism, or like the cells of a tumor.” 67

THE MEANING OF DEEP ECOLOGY

“Human population growth is probably the single most serious long-term threat to survival. We're in for a major disaster if it isn't curbed--not just for the natural world, but for the human world. The more people there are, the more resources they'll consume, the more pollution they'll create” 68

The almost simultaneous emergence of the Environmental and New Age movements in the 1970s has spawned a plethora of hybrid ecospiritual philosophies. These include the Gaia hypothesis, Deep Ecology, Sacred Ecology, Ecosophy, and Creation Spirituality. These philosophies all overlap with each other to some extent but tend to focus on different aspects of ‘protecting the earth from humanity’. Perhaps the most dangerous and radical is the philosophy known as Deep Ecology.

Deep Ecology is a semi-religious movement that believes modern civilisation’s anthropocentric (human-centered) worldview is the root cause of an imminent complete ecological collapse. Deep ecologists blame humans for this fast-approaching apocalypse and believe that humanity’s destructive activities must be halted immediately, by any means necessary, and at whatever cost.

Deep ecologists argue for a radical reduction in human population, in human “interference” in nature, and in the human standard of living. They argue that primitive peoples lived in spiritual harmony with the natural world, but European industrial culture has severed this harmony and we have become a feral untamed plague on the earth. According to them industrial society is like a cancer spreading through a global host.
In some ways, Deep Ecology has similar roots to Gaia hypothesis, in that humans are part of a sentient super-organism known as Earth. However, Deep ecologists go further than the Gaians in arguing that humanity is genuinely of no more importance than an amoeba or the smallpox virus. Deep Ecology argues that humanity has no hierarchical dominance or any sense of uniqueness. We are just another animal, and a crazed, destructive one at that. While Gaians tend to focus on spiritual aspects of communing with Mother Earth, Deep ecologists focus on the negative aspects of human activity on the earth.

Deep Ecology has greatly influenced grassroots environmentalism, especially in Europe, North America, and Australia. It has spread through “road shows” and ritual processes led by touring movement advocates, through the writings of its architects (often reaching college students in environmental studies courses) and perhaps especially by the dramatic activism of its radical environmental vanguards e.g. Earth First!, Greenpeace, the Earth Liberation Front, and PETA.

The Deep Ecology philosophy was no doubt strongly influenced by The Limits to Growth published by the Club of Rome in 1972. This book claimed that human society was far outstripping the earth’s regenerative capacity and the world was on the brink of an environmental catastrophe. The term ‘Deep Ecology’ was first used by the famous Norwegian philosopher, and ‘mystical Buddhist’, Arne Naess in 1973. He claimed that the science of ecology was shallow and meaningless as it looked at the world from a human perspective, while Naess argued that the human species has the same ‘intrinsic value’ as a bacterium or an earthworm. He stated the eight core principles of Deep Ecology were:

1. The well-being and flourishing of human and nonhuman life on Earth have value in themselves. These values are independent of the usefulness of the nonhuman world for human purposes.

2. Richness and diversity of life-forms contribute to the realisation of these values and are also values in themselves.

3. Humans have no right to reduce this richness and diversity except to satisfy vital needs.

4. Present human interference with the nonhuman world is excessive, and the situation is rapidly worsening.

5. The flourishing of human life and cultures is compatible with a substantial decrease of the human population. The flourishing of nonhuman life requires such a decrease.

6. Policies must therefore be changed. The changes in policies affect basic economic, technological, and ideological structures. The resulting state of affairs will be deeply different from the present.
7. The ideological change is mainly that of appreciating life quality rather than adhering to an increasingly higher standard of living. There will be a profound awareness of the difference between big and great.

8. Those who subscribe to the foregoing points have an obligation directly or indirectly to participate in the attempt to implement the necessary changes.

Naess soon gained a large following in the emerging green movement, especially among the youngest and most radical factions. Deep ecology’s uncompromising rhetoric provided a welcome, radical alternative to the New Age earth-loving, tree-hugging Gaia hypothesis. They saw this new anti-human philosophy as a call to arms against western capitalism. Radical environmental activism flourished during the late 1970s, fuelled by recruits from the anti-Vietnam war and Civil Rights movements. News reports were replete with stories about “hippies” chained to trees and activists burning down animal research facilities.

Radical environmental activism seems to have died a slow death since the 1990s as the wider green movement considered their actions to be counter-productive and harmful to the cause. However, the philosophy behind Deep Ecology has continued to spread and infiltrate the movement. Few people realize that ‘respectable’ environmental organizations such as the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), the Sierra Club and the Nature Conservancy were originally formed by radical activists who recognised that their ultimate goals could only be realized through political and social tools.

Many of these organisations now act as consultants to the United Nations. Anti-human sentiment and subtle calls for ‘human reproductive control’ are sprinkled throughout Agenda 21 and the Earth Charter. Many prominent environmentalists are now touting population control as the only answer to the world’s problems. Even the original Gaian, Sir James Lovelock, has taken to calling humans “an out of control cancer that Gaia will soon eradicate.”

It appears that the Global Green Agenda includes controlling every aspect of human activity, especially our reproduction. Humans, as a destructive pest species, must have their population tightly controlled, and even significantly reduced according to some:

“The first task is population control at home. How do we go about it? Many of my colleagues feel that some sort of compulsory birth regulation would be necessary to achieve such control. One plan often mentioned involves the addition of temporary sterilants to water supplies or staple food. Doses of the antidote would be carefully rationed by the government to produce the desired population size.” ⁶⁹

“If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels.” ⁷⁰

“If the world pollution situation is not critical at the moment, it is as certain as anything can be that the situation will become increasingly intolerable within a very short time. The situation can be controlled, and even reversed; but it demands cooperation on a scale and intensity beyond anything achieved so far.” ⁷¹

“I don’t claim to have any special interest in natural history, but as a boy I was made aware of the annual fluctuations in the number of game animals and the need to adjust the cull to the size of the surplus population.” ⁷²

“A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal.” ⁷³

“Childbearing should be a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license. All potential parents should be required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens chosen for childbearing.” ⁷⁴
“In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill ... All these dangers are caused by human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behaviour that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself.”

AVATAR PANTHEISM: GOD IS ALL AND ALL IS GOD

Avatar is a 2009 American epic science fiction film written and directed by James Cameron, and starring Sam Worthington, Zoe Saldana, Stephen Lang, Michelle Rodriguez, Joel David Moore, Giovanni Ribisi and Sigourney Weaver. The film is set in the mid-22nd century, when humans are mining a precious mineral called unobtanium on Pandora, a lush habitable moon of a gas giant in the Alpha Centauri star system. The expansion of the mining colony threatens the continued existence of a local tribe of Na'vi—a humanoid species indigenous to Pandora. The film's title refers to the genetically engineered Na'vi-human hybrid bodies used by a team of researchers to interact with the natives of Pandora.

Development on Avatar began in 1994, when Cameron wrote an 80-page scriptment for the film. Filming was supposed to take place after the completion of Cameron's 1997 film Titanic, for a planned release in 1999, but according to Cameron, the necessary technology was not yet available to achieve his vision of the film. Work on the language for the film's extraterrestrial beings began in Summer 2005, and Cameron began developing the screenplay and fictional universe in early 2006. Avatar was officially budgeted at $237 million. Other estimates put the cost between $280 million and $310 million for production and at $150 million for promotion. The film was released for traditional viewing, 3-D viewing (using the RealD 3D, Dolby 3D, XpanD 3D, and IMAX 3D formats), and "4-D" viewing. The stereoscopic filmmaking was touted as a breakthrough in cinematic technology.

Avatar premiered in London on December 10, 2009, and was internationally released on December 16 and in the United States and Canada on December 18, to critical acclaim and commercial success. The film broke several box office records during its release and became the highest-grossing film of all time in the U.S. and Canada and also worldwide, surpassing Titanic, which had held the records for the previous twelve years.[28] It also became the first film to gross more than $2 billion. Avatar was nominated for nine Academy Awards, including Best Picture and Best Director,[30] and won three, for Best Cinematography, Best Visual Effects, and Best Art Direction. The film's home release went on to break opening sales records and became the top-selling Blu-ray of all time. Following the film's success, Cameron signed with 20th Century Fox to produce two sequels, making Avatar the first of a planned trilogy.

The blockbuster has provoked vigorous discussion of a wide variety of cultural, social, political, and religious themes identified by critics and commentators, and the film's writer and director James Cameron has responded that he hoped to create an emotional reaction and to provoke public conversation about these topics.
David Quinn of the Irish Independent wrote that the spirituality depicted "goes some way towards explaining the film's gigantic popularity, and that is the fact that Avatar is essentially a religious film, even if Cameron might not have intended it as such." At the same time, Jonah Goldberg of National Review Online objected to what he saw in the film reviews as "the norm to speak glowingly of spirituality but derisively of traditional religion."

James Cameron has said that he "tried to make a film that would touch people's spirituality across the broad spectrum." He also stated that one of the film's philosophical underpinnings is that "the Na'vi represent that sort of aspirational part of ourselves that wants to be better, that wants to respect nature, while the humans in the film represent the more venal versions of ourselves, the banality of evil that comes with corporate decisions that are made out of remove of the consequences." Film director John Boorman saw a similar dichotomy as a key factor contributing to its success: "Perhaps the key is the marine in the wheelchair. He is disabled, but Mr Cameron and technology can transport him into the body of a beautiful, athletic, sexual, being. After all, we are all disabled in one way or another; inadequate, old, broken, earthbound. Pandora is a kind of heaven where we can be resurrected and connected instead of disconnected and alone."

Critics compared the Na'vi with Hindu gods such as Krishna and Rama, traditionally depicted with blue skin and tilak marks on their foreheads.

The Times of India suggested Avatar was a treatise on Indianism “for Indophiles and Indian philosophy enthusiasts”, starting from the very word Avatar itself. A Houston Chronicle piece critiqued the film in terms of the ancient Hindu epics Ramayana and Mahabharata, commenting on the Na'vi visual similarity with Rama and Krishna—avatars central to the respective epics and traditionally depicted with blue skin, black hair, and a tilak mark on the forehead. Another critic found that elements of the film's plot resembled such teachings and concepts of Hinduism as reincarnation of the soul, ecological consciousness, and incarnations of deities on Earth, commending Avatar and its director for "raising the global stature of Hinduism ... in months", while criticizing them for substantiating the western reluctance to accept anything oriental in its pristine form.

Cameron calls the connection a "subconscious" reference: "I have just loved ... the mythology, the entire Hindu pantheon, seems so rich and vivid." He continued, "I didn't want to reference the Hindu religion so closely, but the subconscious association was interesting, and I hope I haven't offended anyone in doing so." He has stated that he was familiar with a lot of beliefs of the Hindu religion and found it "quite fascinating".

Answering a question from Time magazine in 2007, "What is an Avatar anyway?" James Cameron replied, "It's an incarnation of one of the Hindu gods taking a flesh form. In this film what that means is that the human technology in the future is capable of injecting a human's intelligence into a remotely located body, a biological body." In 2010, Cameron confirmed the meaning of the title to the Times of India: "Of course, that was the significance in the film, although the characters are not divine beings. But the idea was that they take flesh in another body."

Following the film's release, reviewers focused on Cameron's choice of the religious Sanskrit term for the film's title. A reviewer in the Irish Times traced the term to the ten incarnations of Vishnu.[59] Another writer for The Hindu concluded that by using the "loaded Sanskrit word" Cameron indicated the possibility that an encounter with an emotionally superior—but technologically inferior—form of alien may in the future become a next step in human evolution—provided we will learn to integrate and change, rather than conquer and destroy.

Maxim Osipov of ISKCON argued in The Sydney Morning Herald that "Avatar" is a "downright misnomer" for the film because "the movie reverses the very concept [that] the term 'avatar'—literally, in Sanskrit,
"descent"—is based on. So much for a descending 'avatar', Jake becomes a refugee among the aborigines." Vern Barnet in Charlotte Observer likewise thought that the title insults traditional Hindu usage of the term since it is a human, not a god, who descends in the film. However, Rishi Bhutada, Houston coordinator of the Hindu American Foundation, stated that while there are certain sacred terms that would offend Hindus if used improperly, 'avatar' is not one of them. Texas-based filmmaker Ashok Rao added that 'avatar' does not always mean a representative of God on Earth, but simply one being in another form—especially in literature, moviemaking, poetry and other forms of art.

Explaining the choice of the color blue for the Na'vi, Cameron said "I just like blue. It's a good color ... plus, there's a connection to the Hindu deities, which I like conceptually." Commentators agreed that the blue skin of the Na'vi, described in a New Yorker article as "Vishnu-blue", "instantly and metaphorically" relates the film's protagonist to such avatars of Vishnu as Rama and Krishna. An article in the San Francisco Examiner described an 18th-century Indian painting of Vishnu and his consort Laksmi riding the great mythical bird Garuda as "Avatar prequel" due to its resemblance with the film's scene in which the hero's blue-skinned avatar flies a gigantic raptor. Asra Q. Nomani of The Daily Beast likened the hero and his Na'vi mate Neytiri to images of Shiva and Durga.

Discussing explicit or implicit similarities between the film and the philosophy of Hinduism, reviewers suggested that, just as Hindu gods, particularly Vishnu, become avatars to save the order of the universe, the film's avatar must descend to avert impending ultimate doom, effected by a rapacious greed that leads to destroying the world of nature and other civilizations. Maxim Osipov observed that the film's philosophical message was consistent overall with the Bhagavad Gita, a key scripture of Hinduism, in defining what constitutes real culture and civilization.

Critics saw an "undeniably" Hindu connection between the film's story and the Vedic teaching of reverence for the whole universe, as well as the yogic practice of inhabiting a distant body by one's consciousness[59] and compared the film's love scene to tantric practices. Another linked the Na'vi earth goddess Eywa to the concept of Brahman as the ground of being described in Vedanta and Upanishads and likened the Na'vi ability to connect to Eywa with the realization of Atman. One commentator noted the parallel between the Na'vi greeting "I see you" and the ancient Hindu greeting "Namaste", which signifies perceiving and adoring the divinity within others. Others commented on Avatar's adaptation of the Hindu teaching of reincarnation, a concept, which another author felt was more accurately applicable to ordinary human beings that are "a step or two away from exotic animals" than to deities.

Writing for the Ukrainian Day newspaper, Maxim Chaikovsky drew detailed analogies between Avatar's plot and elements of the ancient Bhagavata Purana narrative of Krishna, including the heroine Radha, the Vraja tribe and their habitat the Vrindavana forest, the hovering Govardhan mountain, and the mystical rock chintamani. He also opined that this resemblance may account for "Avatar blues"—a sense of loss experienced by members of the audience at the conclusion of the film.
Some Christian writers worried that Avatar promotes pantheism and nature worship. A critic for L'Osservatore Romano of the Holy See wrote that the film "shows a spiritualism linked to the worship of nature, a fashionable pantheism in which creator and creation are mixed up." Likewise, Vatican Radio argued that the film

"cleverly winks at all those pseudo-doctrines that turn ecology into the religion of the millennium. Nature is no longer a creation to defend, but a divinity to worship." 65

According to Vatican spokesman Federico Lombardi, these reviews reflect the Pope's views on neopaganism, or confusing nature and spirituality. On the other hand, disagreeing with the Vatican's characterization of Avatar as pagan, a writer in the National Catholic Reporter urged Christian critics to see the film in the historical context of "Christianity's complicity in the conquest of the Americas" instead.

Critics compared Avatar's Pandora with the Garden of Eden.

Ross Douthat, a conservative columnist of The New York Times, called Avatar "the Gospel According to James" and "Cameron's long apologia for pantheism [which] has been Hollywood's religion of choice for a generation now." In The Weekly Standard, John Podhoretz criticized the film's "mindless worship of a nature-loving tribe and the tribe's adorable pagan rituals." Christian critic David Outten disputed that "the danger to moviegoers is that Avatar presents the Na'vi culture on Pandora as morally superior to life on Earth. If you love the philosophy and culture of the Na'vi too much, you will be led into evil rather than away from it." Outten further added: "Cameron has done a masterful job in manipulating the emotions of his audience in Avatar. He created a world where it looks good and noble to live in a tree and hunt for your food daily with a bow and arrow. ... Cameron said, 'Avatar asks us to see that everything is connected, all human beings to each other, and us to the Earth.' This is a clear statement of religious belief. This is pantheism. It is not Christianity."

Other Christian critics wrote that Avatar has

"an abhorrent New Age, pagan, anti-capitalist worldview that promotes goddess worship and the destruction of the human race" 40

and suggested that Christian viewers interpret the film as a reminder of Jesus Christ as "the True Avatar". Conversely, other commentators concluded that the film promotes theism or panentheism rather than pantheism, arguing that the hero "does not pray to a tree, but through a tree to the deity whom he addresses personally" and, unlike in pantheism, "the film's deity does indeed—contrary to the native wisdom of the Na'vi—interfere in human affairs." Ann Marlowe of Forbes agreed, saying that "though Avatar has been charged with "pantheism", its mythos is just as deeply Christian."
Avatar teaches a gospel but not the Christian Gospel. Instead, “Avatar” is Cameron’s long apologia for pantheism — a faith that equates God with Nature, and calls humanity into religious communion with the natural world.

In Cameron’s sci-fi universe, this communion is embodied by the blue-skinned, enviably slender Na’Vi, an alien race whose idyllic existence on the planet Pandora is threatened by rapacious human invaders. The Na’Vi are saved by the movie’s hero, a turncoat Marine, but they’re also saved by their faith in Eywa, the “All Mother,” described variously as a network of energy and the sum total of every living thing.

If this narrative arc sounds familiar, that’s because pantheism has been Hollywood’s religion of choice for a generation now. It’s the truth that Kevin Costner discovered when he went dancing with wolves. It’s the metaphysic woven through Disney cartoons like “The Lion King” and “Pocahontas.” And it’s the dogma of George Lucas’s Jedi, whose mystical Force “surrounds us, penetrates us, and binds the galaxy together.”

Hollywood keeps returning to these themes because millions of Americans respond favorably to them. From Deepak Chopra to Eckhart Tolle, the “religion and inspiration” section in your local bookstore is crowded with titles pushing a pantheistic message. A recent Pew Forum report on how Americans mix and match theology found that many self-professed Christians hold beliefs about the “spiritual energy” of trees and mountains that would fit right in among the indigo-tinted Na’Vi.

As usual, Alexis de Tocqueville saw it coming. The American belief in the essential unity of all mankind, Tocqueville wrote in the 1830s, leads us to collapse distinctions at every level of creation. “Not content with the discovery that there is nothing in the world but a creation and a Creator,” he suggested, democratic man “seeks to expand and simplify his conception by including God and the universe in one great whole.”

Today there are other forces that expand pantheism’s American appeal. We pine for what we’ve left behind, and divinizing the natural world is an obvious way to express unease about our hyper-technological society. The threat of global warming, meanwhile, has lent the cult of Nature qualities that every successful religion needs — a crusading spirit, a rigorous set of ‘thou shalt nots,’ and a piping-hot apocalypse.

At the same time, pantheism opens a path to numinous experience for people uncomfortable with the literal-mindedness of the monotheistic religions — with their miracle-working deities and holy books, their virgin births and resurrected bodies. As the Polish philosopher Leszek Kolakowski noted, attributing divinity to the natural world helps “bring God closer to human experience,” while “depriving him of recognizable personal traits.” For anyone who pines for transcendence but recoils at the idea of a demanding Almighty who interferes in human affairs, this is an ideal combination.

Indeed, it represents a form of religion that even atheists can support. Richard Dawkins has called pantheism “a sexed-up atheism.” (He means that as a compliment.) Sam Harris concluded his polemic “The End of Faith” by rhapsodizing about the mystical experiences available from immersion in “the roiling mystery of the world.” Citing Albert Einstein’s expression of religious awe at the “beauty and sublimity” of the universe, Dawkins allows, “In this sense I too am religious.”

The question is whether Nature actually deserves a religious response. Traditional theism has to wrestle with the problem of evil: if God is good, why does he allow suffering and death? But Nature is suffering and death. Its harmonies require violence. Its “circle of life” is really a cycle of mortality. And the human societies that hew closest to the natural order aren’t the shining Edens of James Cameron’s fond imaginings. They’re places where existence tends to be nasty, brutish and short.
Religion exists, in part, precisely because humans aren’t at home amid these cruel rhythms. We stand half inside the natural world and half outside it. We’re beasts with self-consciousness, predators with ethics, mortal creatures who yearn for immortality.

This is an agonized position, and if there’s no escape upward — or no God to take on flesh and come among us, as the Christmas story has it — a deeply tragic one. Pantheism offers a different sort of solution: a downward exit, an abandonment of our tragic self-consciousness, a re-merger with the natural world our ancestors half-escaped millennia ago.

**THE END GOAL**

"The concept of national sovereignty has been immutable, indeed a sacred principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation,"\(^77\)

Over the last decade, the United Nations has brazenly been reinventing itself into a global government, striving to obtain the legal framework, financial resources and grassroots support to implement its policies. As outlined in my preceding articles it has effectively seized legal and regulatory control in many countries, through Agenda 21, and developed a Constitution, the Earth Charter, for its vision on a transformed global interdependent society.

In 1992 the UN formed a Commission on Global Governance charged with devising a system of future global management. Second in charge of the Commission, and lead author of its report, was Maurice Strong, with whom readers will be quite familiar by now. After several years of “extension consultation” with “world leaders, philosophers, and futurologists” the Commission produced a report entitled “Our Global Neighbourhood.”

The report contained many highly controversial recommendations. It was warmly welcomed by activists within the UN but harshly criticised by libertarians around the world who claimed that its recommendations entailed abolishing national sovereignty and suppressing the freedom of individuals. The report specifically denied it was supporting “global government” preferring the term “global governance” but its contents reveal all elements required for a genuine government. Besides, a little reflection yields the following question: How can one have global "governance" without global "government"?

The following are excerpts from Our Global Neighbourhood:\(^77\)

"...countries are having to accept that in certain fields, sovereignty has to be exercised collectively, particularly in respect of the global commons."

"...the principle of sovereignty...must be further adapted to recognize changing realities."

"...there is a need to weigh a state's right to autonomy against its people's right to security."

"It is time to begin thinking about self-determination in a new context - the emerging context of a global neighbourhood rather than the traditional context of a world of separate states."

"The concept of global security must be broadened from the traditional focus of security of states to include the security of people and the security of the planet."

"Regionalism must precede globalism. We foresee a seamless system of governance from local communities, individual states, regional unions and up through to the United Nations itself."

"The concept of national sovereignty has been immutable, indeed a sacred principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global"
One of the primary thrusts of Our Global Neighbourhood was the formation of “regional blocs or unions to enhance political, economic and environmental security”. The report proposed that “Regional Neighbourhoods” be established modelled closely on the successful example of the European Union. Recent years have seen a large number of such regional unions emerging, or being strengthened, including the African Union, The Mediterranean Neighbourhood Partnership, the Gulf States Coalition, and the proposed North American Union. The report also recommended a gradual reduction in the sovereignty of independent states, arguing strongly in favour of international “courts of accountability”, binding global agreements and significantly enhancing the legal authority of the UN. Dr Robert Muller, Assistant Secretary-General of the UN, and co-founder of UNESCO, clearly describes this UN plan for a new ‘World Union’:

“In my view, after fifty years of service in the United Nations system, I perceive the utmost urgency and absolute necessity for proper Earth government ... There is no shadow of a doubt that the present political and economic systems - if systems they are - are no longer appropriate and will lead to the end of life evolution on this planet. We must therefore absolutely and urgently look for new ways. The less we lose time, the less species and nature will be destroyed.

Since the United Nations is the only world-wide, universal organization that is presently available, since it had fifty years of valuable experience and many successes, since it paved the way to proper Earth government, instead of putting it on the defensive, unjustified attacks and criticism, reduction of resources and non-payment of obligatory contributions, governments should honestly ask themselves if a better way would not be to consider a second generation United Nations upgraded by a true quantum jump into a proper Earth preserving and human well-being and justice ensuring organization of our planet.

The continental approach to a world union remains an important avenue. One could conceive five continental unions: the European Union, an American, an African, an Asian, and an Australian Union. A World Union could be constructed as a super-structure and common political, economic and environmental instrument to achieve these objectives.”

This strategy appears to be based on the Club of Rome’s proposal to divide the earth into 10 administrative regions which they outlined in their report Mankind at the Turning Point. You can find their original map in my previous article on that topic. Interestingly the UN is currently in the process of reviewing and reforming the organization, with particular emphasis on the Security Council. Several alternative models have been proposed which will make the Council more ‘representative and democratic.’ One of these, the Italian Model, proposes replacing the current 10 seats held by individual nations with 10 seats representing ‘regional unions’, 2 seats for Europe, 3 for Asia etc. You can compare the different proposals here.

Our Global Neighbourhood concluded with 12 key recommendations which I have listed below, and it was these that caused such a furore that the rest of the report barely received a comment. The UN claimed that the report was merely a “visioning exercise” intended to generate discussion and did not represent official UN policy goals. The report was effectively shelved and the Commission was disbanded:

1. Consolidation of all international agencies under the direct oversight of the United Nations.
2. Regulation by the United Nations of all transnational organizations and financial institutions.
3. Independent source of revenue for the United Nations and taxes on aircraft and shipping fuels, and licensing the use of the global commons.
4. Eliminate the veto power and the permanent member status on the Security Council.

5. Authorize a United Nations ready reaction force.

6. Require United Nations registration of all arms and the reduction of national armies as a part of a multilateral global security system under the authority of the United Nations.


8. Activate the International Criminal Court, make the International Court of Justice compulsory for all nations, and give individuals the right to petition the courts to remedy social injustice.

9. Create a new institution to establish economic and environmental security by ensuring sustainable development.

10. Create a new international environmental court.

11. Adopt a declaration that climate change is an essential global security interest that requires the creation of a high-level action team to allocate carbon emission based on equal per-capita rights.

12. Cancellation of all debt owed by the poorest nations, global poverty reductions, and for equitable sharing of global resources as allocated by the United Nations.

One of Kofi Annan’s first actions when he became Secretary-General of the United Nations was to appoint Maurice Strong as his Senior Policy Advisor. He then tasked Strong with preparing a plan to “reform the institution of the United Nations”. In 2002 Strong produced a 95-page document, entitled Renewing the United Nations: A Programme for Reform, which was basically a step-by-step program to implement many of the recommendations of Our Global Neighbourhood. Many of these reforms have been slowly working their way through UN system. However, after Maurice Strong was indicted for his involvement in the Iraq Oil-for-Food scandal he was forced by pressure from the United States to resign from his UN roles.

The reforms he designed are being implemented by the UN but they have not been the transformation that he desired. It is the opinion of this author that the current bureaucratic and unwieldy structure of the United Nations is unlikely to ever deliver the “global interdependent society” that the Earth Charter, and the Green Movement, is fervently calling for. Most members of the general population are not motivated to change by mere words in a charter, and the majority are likely to resent further intrusion into their lives.

True fundamental change is most often born out of crisis. A common creed among the Green Agenda activists has long been “order out of chaos”. They believe that people from all nations will literally beg for their New World Order if it can promise safety and security at a time when people feel under personal imminent threat. To once again quote the famous words of David Rockefeller “A New World Order is coming … all we need is the right major crisis.” And remember, it was the Rockefeller family that donated the land on which the United Nations now stands.

Thus it seems far more likely that the UN itself will be transformed, most likely after some major international crisis which the UN is unable to prevent or respond to adequately. After all the League of Nations was born after the first truly global crisis, World War 1, and then it was “reformed” into the United Nations following World War 2. However there are some serious impediments to the implementation of the final phase of the Global Green Agenda:

1. Evangelical Christianity – True Bible-believing Christians are very likely to resist the imposition of any system of global governance, especially if it based around an earth-centred religion. Christians realise that this earth is temporary and will soon pass away. The Bible specifically warns them that humans will
eventually end up “worshipping the creature instead of the Creator.” However the leaders of certain Christian denominations seem to have no problem with the Agenda. Pope Benedict proclaimed, during Live Earth that “environmental degradation is a sin, and Global Warming is a defilement of the Divine Will.”

2. Islam – Moslems are also likely to fiercely resist any New World Order that mandates a form of earth-worship. There are more than one billion followers of Islam and they show remarkably little enthusiasm for accommodating New Age eco-theology into their doctrines. Hence it is likely that the power of Islam will have to be shattered before the Global Green Agenda can be fulfilled.

3. The United States of America – The USA once fiercely defended its national sovereignty but President Obama seems eager to sign up to a binding global climate treaty that would impoverish his country. He has filled his cabinet with a stellar cast of climate alarmists, including mega doomers John Holdren and Steven Chu.

4. Communist China – The totalitarian Communist Party of China is very unlikely to relinquish much of its power to a new transformed United Nations. However China is currently treading a precarious path. Its economic miracle is in fact a house of cards built on slave labour and artificially managed conditions. China is very vulnerable to an economic collapse which could lead to a popular revolution or bankrupt the country.

5. Authoritarian Russia – Putin has established a tight authoritarian grip on Russia and is unlikely to bow willingly to a resurrected UN. However Putin could soon be gone and a new Gorbachev could arise. Another possibility is that Russia could also once again lose its position as a global power broker through economic problems or war.

So, to quickly summarise, the activists behind the Global Green Agenda have established regulatory control in many societies through Agenda 21, they have written a Constitution for their transformed global society with the Earth Charter, and they have even described, in detail, how their new global system will be operate in Our Global Neighbourhood. However several obstacles must be removed before the final phase of the Agenda, global governance based on a system of earth-worship, can be fulfilled.

Firstly, a situation must arise where otherwise apathetic, or even hostile, members of society will beg for a new global system. They must feel so personally threatened that they will eagerly give up their personal liberty for the promise of safety and security. Our Global Neighbourhood said the surrender of liberty is “a principle that will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the imperatives of global environmental cooperation.” In my opinion Global Warming is currently being used as a conditioning tool with its fervent call for global unity to save a wounded planet.

Secondly, certain nations and religious groups are unlikely to accept a new global system that involves the loss of national sovereignty, the loss of individual liberty, and reverence for the earth as a divine being. So what events could possibly bring about the conditions that would allow the emergence of a one world government? I will briefly describe what I consider to be the most likely scenario.

Ezekiel 38 and 39 describe an attack on Israel by a coalition of Islamic nations and Russia. It seems that this conflict also involves other parts of the globe. The invading forces are completely destroyed by God’s divine intervention. The military power of Russia is annihilated, and Islam, which promised its believers a final ultimate victory, is shattered. The world economy lies in total ruin. Significant areas of the planet are devastated. People everywhere are distraught and in despair. Emergency meetings are held at the United Nations where the blueprints for a new global system are presented and quickly adopted.

“We need a new beginning” they will say, “We all must change and renounce our old destructive ways.” Amazingly everything is already in place. The Earth Charter would be endorsed as a Planetary Constitution and the Security Council replaced with some new ‘United Earth Council.’ The world would be
divided into ten administrative regions with each one represented by an Earth Councillor. The blame for
the recent conflict, and many of the world’s other problems, would be placed firmly on traditional religions.
They would be swiftly outlawed and replaced by reverence for the earth itself. “We nearly destroyed Gaia!”
they would say, “We nearly destroyed our own Mother!”

As David Rockefeller stated, “all we need is the right crisis”. Everything is now in place. They are just
building momentum and waiting for the storm they know is coming. You don’t need to look in the shadows
for the coming world government. It is standing right before your eyes. When they bring “order out of
chaos” the United Nations will be transformed and the final global empire will be born.

PRINCE CHARLES THE DRUID OAK KING

In the mother earth goddess’s aspect as Diana, “oak groves” were especially sacred to her. King David
fought the pagan giant Goliath in the valley of Elah. Elah in Hebrew (Strong’s Hebrew #425) means ‘oak.’
Oak groves in the land of Canaan were places where pagans worshipped who hated the God of Israel.
Egyptian Jewish Rabbis claim the Hebrew word alahh (Strong’s Hebrew # 426) for ‘God’ turned upside
down is the origin of the Arabic word for ‘Allah.’ The Hebrew word allah (Strong’s Hebrew # 427) means
‘oak.’ The name of a Celtic priest was ‘Druid’ and is derived from the Greek ‘drus’ meaning ‘oak.’ The old
pagan British Druid ‘oak’ sun-god deity (same as Canaanite Baal, whose phallic symbol is also the
Maypole) is the same pagan deity as the Moslem sun-god Allah, whose name, contrary to most scholarly
opinion, was not only originally taken from the Hebrew word for ‘oak,’ it is also the world’s most satanic
perversion of the O/T Hebrew name for the one and only true God. That is why the motto of Islam is:
“There is no god but Allah.”

Elah translated ‘oak’ in the KJV is significantly used in Genesis 35:4 where Jacob buried the idols of his
household under an oak tree. Oaks in the Bible represent IDOLATRY. In 2 Samuel 18:9-15, Absolom was
hanged and executed in an oak.

Isaiah 1:28-31 warns, “And the destruction of the transgressors and of the sinners shall be together, and
they that forsake the LORD shall be consumed. For they shall be ashamed of the oaks which ye have
desired, and ye shall be confounded for the gardens that ye have chosen. For ye shall be as an oak
whose leaf fadeth, and as a garden that hath no water. And the strong shall be as tow, and the maker of it
as a spark, and they shall both burn together, and none shall quench them.” Remember? – the “pale”
horse in Revelation! Chloris, the pagan goddess who once was green, but turned pale!
When Prince Charles was enthroned as Prince of Wales in 1969, he was enthroned in a Welsh Druid ceremony on a cut-stone Druid altar surrounded by resplendent red dragons.

Immediately before Dr. Rowan Williams was enthroned as Archbishop of Canterbury, he also was initiated into the Arch-Druid Gorsedd of Wales as a Druid priest.

Significantly, one of the many noble titles that Prince Charles now holds is the Grand Cross of the Order of the Oak Crown.

He is also the royal patron to many Islamic groups whose god, Allah, literally means ‘Oak.’ All of the nations and individuals who now hate Israel, and David, a type of Jesus Christ and his followers – genuine Christians – now worship a god who is symbolized by an ‘oak,’ and a consort goddess to which all oak groves are sacred. Sherwood Forest, in which the mythical “Green Man” Robin Hood is reputed to have lived with his consort Maid Marion (Maia), by the way, just happens to be an ‘oak’ forest!

Literally thousands of influential people are actively working behind the scenes around the world to implement Prince Charles’ global “green” policies. These are the “big guns” only:

**Al Gore:** Former vice-president of USA, Al Gore and the Jew, David Blood (former CEO of Goldman Sachs Asset Management), set up Generation Asset Management in London with a branch in Washington DC to push Charles’ sustainable development, environmental, and global warming goals. The pair, quite appropriately, have given their company its succinct nickname – ‘Gore and Blood.’

On 27 June, 2007, Al Gore announced that the Live Earth “Call to Action” series of commitments would be asking people across the globe to combat the climate crisis, and support a 90% reduction in CO2 emissions by 2050, with a new global treaty on climate change by 2009. During the 7th July 2007 celebration, over 6,000 Live Earth concerts and events were broadcast to over 100 nations by satellite with these goals. Live Earth is deceptively promoting 100 simple actions through which the global masses can cut CO2 emissions and fight the climate crisis, such as planting trees, changing to more efficient light-bulbs, eliminating plastic bags, encouraging more commuters onto public transport and bicycles, and so on. Live Earth is funded by, and partnered with, big City of London Corporation multi-national companies and banks. For example, Philips, the world’s leading lighting supplier, joins Live Earth as an ‘Official Partner’ to promote their new “green” eco-designed light bulbs.

Al Gore founded The Alliance for Climate Protection in 2006 to convince people around the globe of Prince Charles’ “URGENCY” in fighting the climate crisis, building on his propaganda film, An Inconvenient Truth. Live Earth is the first major public project of his for the Alliance, and has produced more than 60 short films featuring stars like Cameron Diaz and Penelope Cruz and a book, The Live Earth Global Warming Survival Handbook, released in mid 2007, written by David de Rothschild. Gore received a $1 million prize for his work from the Dan David Foundation in Israel on 19 May, 2008.

Gore has been a close friend of Maurice Strong since at least 1990. Strong has been Undersecretary General of the UN Earth Council, and a vice president of the World Wildlife Fund founded by Prince Philip and Prince Bernhard, a former Nazi. Gore’s relationship with Prince Charles started at a meeting on the royal yacht Britannia, just prior to the UN Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in June 1992. His book published in 1992 entitled, Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit was simply a reproduction of Prince Charles’ BBC film, produced before it entitled, Earth in Balance.
In the foreword to the second edition of his book published in 2000 Gore said, “None of our measures will fully succeed unless we achieve population stabilization – one of the most important environmental challenges of all. An overcrowded world is inevitably a polluted one ...” This statement he borrowed off Prince Philip, who wrote the foreword to the book, People as Animals. Prince Philip has always aligned himself with the beliefs of British Fabian Socialists like Lord Bertrand Russell who defined the world’s alleged over-population as the greatest threat to the ruling oligarchy of all. Among Prince Philip’s closest collaborators was Sir Julian Huxley, president of the Eugenics Society, who unlike Hitler, tried to promote his mass genocide and anti-human ideologies through “conservation!” – that he brought to the UN in his role as the first head of the United Nations Education, Social, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). As a result of Al Gore’s admiration of Prince Charles’ “green” policies, on 28 January, 2007, he and actress Meryl Streep presented the Prince with the Global Environmental Citizen Award from Harvard.

While not outwardly apparent, especially to most Americans, Al Gore behind the scenes is a high level “US puppet” of the Jewish banking aristocracy in New York and London who are all part of the “hidden hand” behind the power of the “king’s Jews” of the British Monarchy. (The modern situation is a “repeat” of the case which previously existed at the time of Christ when the rich “Jewish Sadducees” in Jerusalem were “Caesar’s bankers” and had monopolized the city’s gold and money supply. However, it should be remembered their actions were not “typical” of all Jews, because Jesus himself was a Jew, as were all of his twelve disciples with the possible exception of Luke).

For example, Al Gore’s eldest daughter Kareanna Aitcheson Gore Schiff (born on 6 August, 1973) is married to Dr. Andrew Schiff, a Jew, and son of Mr. and Mrs. David T. Schiff of New York. David T. Schiff is the managing partner of Kuhn, Loeb & Company in New York, (one of the most powerful banking conglomerates in the world) and is the chairman of the Wildlife Conservation Society. David T. Schiff’s wife, Lisa Schiff, is a managing director of Touchstone Records in New York and is a former director of the United States leading Jesuit university, Georgetown.

David Schiff’s forefather, Jacob Henry Schiff, born Jacob Hirsch Schiff (10 January 1847 – 25 September, 1920) was born in Frankfurt, Germany to a distinguished rabbinical family. He first started work in the Rothschild Bank in Frankfurt as an apprentice broker. After the US Civil War had ended in April, 1865, Schiff came to New York in August 1865, joining the firm of Budge, Schiff & Company in 1867. After the dissolution of this company in 1872, Schiff returned to Germany and in 1873 he became manager of the Hamburg branch of the London & Hanseatic Bank, when Commerz-und Disconto-Bank (now called Commerzbank the second biggest bank in Germany, originally founded by those who are now the London Rothschilds, Warburgs and Goldsmiths [German:Goldschmidt] today) subscribed to more than 50% of the shares of the new London branch. Commerz-und Disconto-Bank lost its holdings in London and Hanseatic Bank during World War I.

More recently, the London & Hanseatic Bank’s name was changed to London Merchant Securities owned by the late London Jewish banker, Lord Max Rayne, who died in October 2003. Lord Max Rayne’s second wife, Lady Jane Vane-Tempest-Stewart, was a sister of the 9th Marquess of Londonderry and was Maid-of Honor at Queen Elizabeth II’s Coronation.

After the death of Jacob Schiff’s father later in 1873, the Jew Abraham Kuhn of the New York banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company invited him to return to the United States and enter the firm, bringing with him the close connections he had already established with the German Jew, Sir Ernest Cassel (3 March 1852 – 21 September 1921) in London, King Edward VII’s financial advisor, treasurer and banker.

Through his close connections with Cassel, Schiff was invited to a private audience with King Edward VII in 1904, following which the early plans to allow British banks to take over the entire US banking system by creating the privately owned Federal Reserve with Paul Warburg were birthed.
Of note, Sir Ernest’s granddaughter Edwina married Lord Louis Mountbatten, who was uncle of Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, and also the cherished mentor of Prince Charles.

Sir Ernest was also close personal friends of the sons of Nathan Mayer Rothschild (16 September 1777 – 28 July 1836) who founded the powerful London Rothschild banking branch, N. M. Rothschild and Sons Ltd. Nathan M. Rothschild’s wife, Hannah Barent-Cohen (1783-1850), was daughter of Levi Barent Cohen (1747-1808) and wife Lydia Diamantschleifer, and paternal granddaughter of Barent Cohen and wife, whose other son Salomon David Barent-Cohen married Sara Brandes, great-grandparents of Karl Marx. Since the 1700’s, members of the Anglo/German Jewish banking families Rothschild, Warburg, Goldschmidt, Loeb, Oppenheim, Schroder, Schiff, Stern, etc. have often intermarried.

On 6 May, 1875, Jacob Schiff married Therese Loeb, daughter of Solomon Loeb. In 1885 Schiff became the head of Kuhn, Loeb & Company, by now one of the most powerful banks in New York, and by the early 1900’s owning most of the railways throughout the United States, American Smelting & Refining Company, Westinghouse Electric Company, Western Union Telegraph Company, Equitable Life Assurance Society, National City Bank of New York, Central Trust Company, Bond & Mortgage Guarantee Company, Wells Fargo & Company – and the list goes on and on.

During the First and Second World Wars, Kuhn, Loeb & Co controversially provided loans to France, Germany and Japan, funding both sides in the conflicts, becoming ever more wealthier in the process. In 1914, Schiff was elected a director of Wells Fargo Bank, to replace his brother-in-law, Paul Warburg, the author of the US Federal Reserve Board created in 1913, who had recently taken up an appointment on it.

Paul Warburg was also a partner in Kuhn, Loeb & Company, member of the original Federal Reserve Board of Governors 1914-18, and president of the Federal Advisory Council 1918-28. Paul Warburg’s brother, Max Warburg, was head of the German Secret Service during World War I. He represented Germany at the Peace Conference at Versailles 1918-19, and through his bank, M. Warburg & Company, he controlled much of the banking and shipping industry in Germany. Jacob Schiff’s daughter, Frieda, married Felix Warburg – Paul and Max’s brother! Another partner of note in Kuhn, Loeb and Company was Sir William Wiseman (1885-1962), the former head of the British Secret Service during World War I.

These are just a few of the key individuals behind Al Gore’s deceptive propaganda, “An Inconvenient Truth” and explain why he is thus become such a “convenient,” shining ambassador of Prince Charles’ deceptive global warming concerns!

David Rothschild: Virtually the entire Rothschild family are working with Prince Charles in one form or another, mostly in financing and banking. Both David de Rothschild and Loren Rothschild have been working on a regular basis with Al Gore also. David is the 30 year old heir to the European Rothschild banking fortune. Not only did he work closely with Al Gore and Live Earth back in July 2007, in 2008 he was finishing two new green-themed environmental TV productions due for release in late 2008-early 2009, teaming up with Nickelodeon UK to help present a multi-media project called Nick’s Big Thing, a week of environmental programming. David has written several eco-books for children and provides educational materials on his “green” web-site, Adventure Ecology.

David Miliband: The former Labour Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs in the British Government, David Miliband, has worked closely with Prince Charles’ pushing his “green” policies through the British government’s foreign affairs department.
David’s parents were both Polish Jews who moved to England during and after the Second World War. His father, Adolphe, changed his name to Ralph after moving to London during the war and in the summer of 1940, he visited Karl Marx’s grave in Highgate Cemetery where he swore an oath to him. Inspired by the writings of the Marxist Jew, Harold Laski, Miliband successfully applied to work with Laski at the Fabian Society’s London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) in 1941.

In 1949 he became Assistant Lecturer in Political Science at the LSE where he remained until 1972. By this time he had become one of the world’s leading Marxist/Fabian socialist thinkers. During the 1980’s he and others were involved in various Chesterfield Socialist Conferences out of which emerged the independent green-left magazine, Red Pepper (1994).

Today Ralph Miliband is remembered at the LSE each year through the Ralph Miliband Programme Lectures, and every three years there is a Miliband Scholarship in Political Sociology offered. The Miliband Programme Lectures for 2007-2008 were entitled, ‘Oil, Energy Security and Global Order’ Series. Lecturers for this series were; Lord John Browne former chief executive of BP, Professor Michael Klare the Five Colleges professor of Peace and World Security Studies at Hampshire College and author of Blood and Oil, the Jew, Professor Nicholas Stern professor of economics and director of the Asia Research Centre at LSE and former chief economist and senior vice-president of the World Bank from 2000 – 2003, and lastly the Rt. Hon. David Miliband himself.

The LSE is the global operation “nerve center” and think-tank of the Fabian Society, which since it was formed has directly controlled the policies of the British, Australian and New Zealand Labour parties, and indirectly just about every major socialist government in the world. Fabians were also responsible for introducing Communism to Russia and China. Many of Prince Charles’ socialist, environmental “green” policies introduced at a government level have come directly through the LSE. Although Ralph Miliband died in 1994, his son, David, is now following closely in his father’s footsteps.

Goldsmiths: The German Jewish Goldsmith family in London today are part of the “inner sanctum” of the “king’s Jews” and banking fraternity supporting Prince Charles’ “green fascism.” Like the Rothschilds, virtually all of the leading Goldsmith family members are radical environmentalists, mother earth worshippers, and exert a huge influence over the globe through implementing Charles’ policies. The founder of the powerful banking dynasty was Benedict Hayman Salomon Goldschmidt (1798-1873). He was consul to the Grand Duke of Tuscany and founded the B. H. Goldschmidt Bank.

The B. H. Goldschmidt Bank in Frankfurt AM Main and M.M. Warburg & Co banks with others founded the Commerz-und Disconto-Bank in Hamburg in 1870, today called Commerzbank, the second biggest bank in Germany. Today it handles the financing of 16% of Germany’s total trade and has ties with more than 5,000 banks around the globe.

Descendants of Benedict Hayman Salomon Goldschmidt have often intermarried with numerous members of the Rothschild, Oppenheim and Warburg families, now part of the Anglo/German aristocracy. The British Conservative Member of Parliament and financier, Frank Goldsmith (1878-1967) was a grand-son of Benedict H. S. Goldschmidt. Among Frank’s many assets other than his banking interests were 48 hotels and he was one of the founders of the well known King David Hotel in Jerusalem. He and his two sons, Edward and James, both were radical environmentalists.

Edward (Teddy) Goldsmith, born in 1928 in Paris, and recently died on 21 August 2009, aged 80, the elder brother, was the founder of The Ecologist magazine, editing it in 1969-1990 and 1997-1998. He has now been succeeded as editor by his nephew, Zac Goldsmith, the son of his brother James. Edward’s
daughter (from his first marriage), Clio Goldsmith Shand, is married to British author Mark Shand, brother of Camilla Mountbatten-Windsor, wife of Prince Charles.

Until his recent death, Edward, with his second wife Kathy, commuted between three homes, one in London, one in the south of France and one at Stanmore Bay, Auckland, New Zealand. Off and on, they lived in their New Zealand home for about 25 years. Edward married Kathy (surname; James, a New Zealander), in Auckland in 1981. They produced two children, Benedict (named after the founder of the B.H. Goldschmidt Bank), and Zeng.

Since starting The Ecologist in 1970, Edward supported Prince Charles' environmental goals, publishing a special issue of The Ecologist in 1972 entitled, A Blueprint for Survival. Reprinted in 17 languages, it was one of the primary seminal documents that created the modern global environmental and sustainable development policies of the UN.

Not only was Edward widely known for his extreme, anti-industrial, pagan, mother earth goddess, religious, tribal beliefs, he works closely with Prince Charles in calling for the urgent introduction of global conservation measures, including the introduction of organic farming, the elimination of all fertilizer, and lest we forget – the destruction of all the world’s hydro-electric dams. Yes, that’s right! He wanted to destroy every dam in the world to protect mother earth’s pristine lakes and rivers!

In 1984, he published a leading book about this madness entitled, The Social and Environmental Effects of Large Dams. He was quite open about his views and had extensive information about his destructive plans on his personal web-site, http://www.edwardgoldsmith.com

While in New Zealand he had also been a founding promoter of radical new plans to gradually eliminate hydro-electric power stations, and abolish the right to farm in geographic areas that have a major watershed catchment into streams, rivers and lakes (i.e., just about all of the prime farming land in the entire country).

One such program alone, implemented through Environment Waikato’s Project Watershed, envisages, ultimately, to completely ban dairy farming, sheep, deer, and cattle grazing on 107,000 individual, prime Waikato properties covering more than 900,000 ha. The first steps towards this destructive goal involve the gradual introduction of new environmental rates and taxes on farmers, with the elimination of the right to apply fertilizer and graze certain catchment areas. Combined with this, farmers will be required to fence off areas of their land, stands of native bush, streams, wetlands areas etc. for public conservation areas, which they must protect at their own cost, but cannot use. They will require a “Resource Consent Application” from councils or local government environmental agencies under a Resource Management Act to carry out even the most trivial of jobs such as removing or planting a tree, building a fence, or applying gravel to tracks or roads. In short, the goal is to drive the farmers off their farms and convert the land back to the “wilderness” it once was before it was cleared and brought into production.

Edward Goldsmith was on the Board of Trustees of the Foundation for Gaia UK. He was the President of the Climate Initiatives Fund, and was a board member of the International Forum on Globalization. Gaia, by the way, was the Greek, broad-breasted, mother goddess personification of the literal “earth” or “land.” Her Roman equivalent was Terra.

Edward’s brother James (1933-1997), a multi-billionaire in his own right, was also a radical environmentalist. He wrote a book published around 1995 entitled, The Trap. In Section 5 of this book entitled, Modern Agriculture and the Destruction of Society, is a frontal attack on modern agribusiness, intensive farming, industrialized food production, biotechnology, chicken farming and so on. Section 7 of his book is entitled, Why? This part of the book reveals his pagan theology in which he rejects, as he says, “the Judeo-Christian tradition (which called on man ‘to subdue the earth,’ and endorses his “Enlightenment philosophy)” (deified science and reason) which he admits is a particularly virulent form of Marxism-
Leninism. He moves from the Christian stewardship model of nature to a wholly Marxist naturalistic vision, ending with a letter attributed to the American Indian Chief Seattle.

One of Sir James’s sons, Zac Goldsmith (born 1975), is a champion of ecological issues, editor of The Ecologist magazine and works closely with Prince Charles. In 2002, The Prince’s Foundation and the Temenos Academy (‘Temenos’ was the Greek name for the sacred area on which the Temple of Zeus and Pergamon Altar sat in Pergamos – Satan’s seat mentioned in Revelation 2:12-13) published a book entitled, A Sacred Trust: Ecology & Spiritual Vision. The book covered a multi-faith, ecumenical theme, with contributions from members of the Bahai Faith, Buddhism, Christianity, Hinduism, Islam and Jainism including a special contribution from Edward Goldsmith his brother.

In July 2003, Sir James Goldsmith’s son Ben married Kate Rothschild, daughter of Amchel Rothschild. Edward Goldsmith’s son Alexander (from his first marriage) was editor of Geographical.

When the late Jesuit and former Vatican insider, Malachi Martin, wrote his controversial novel, Windswept House, soon after it was first published, Roman Catholic bishops were told to read it as fact – not as a novel. Martin writes on page 525, “Gorbachev offered with polished grace, “The world environmental crisis is the real basis for our new ecumenism.”’ Surely, that is an understatement when one looks at what Charles is doing with his Prince’s Foundation, the Temenos Academy, his May Day Network, and families like the Goldsmiths.

Sir Nicholas Stern (Baron): Another of the “king’s Jews” in London working closely with Charles on climate change and greenhouse gas emissions is Sir Nicholas Stern (Baron Stern of Brentford). Sir Nicholas’s father, Adalbert Stern, was a German Jew who suffered under Hitler’s fascist regime of terror. A committed socialist, he managed to flee Germany in the late 1930’s as a refugee to Britain. In Britain, he was classified as a security threat and was deported to Australia with 1997 Jewish men and 440 non-Jewish prisoners under Churchill after the fall of France aboard the military transport vessel Dunera. He returned to Britain after the war.

Sir Nicholas was born in April 1946. Like his father before him he is a committed socialist. He taught from 1986 to 1993 at the London School of Economics, and in June 2007 became the first holder of the I. G. Patel Chair at the LSE. From 1994 until 1999 he was the chief economist and Special Counselor to the President of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, and from 2000 – 2003 was chief economist and senior vice-president of the World Bank.

After his term at the World Bank, Stern was recruited by Gordon Brown in 2003 to become second permanent secretary at H. M. Treasury, then in 2005 he was given the job of conducting reviews on the economics of climate change and sustainable development from the Cabinet Office, and this led to the publication of the Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change. This 600-page report published on 30 October, 2006, was specifically designed to manipulate the big powers into introducing crucial climate change legislation, particularly in America, under the general terms set forth under the Kyoto protocol.

Sir Nicholas concluded his term at the Cabinet Office in 2007. On 2 July, 2007, he was appointed to the position of special adviser to the Chairman on Economic Development and Climate Change for HSBC, one of the largest banks in the world with around 10,000 offices in 82 countries. His responsibilities included overseeing a $US100 million partnership to respond to the “urgent threat” of climate change worldwide with the support of The Climate Group, Earthwatch Institute, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute and WWF. The HSBC’s Climate Partnership is now working in most of the world’s major cities to influence business leaders to implement Prince Charles’ climate change policy and practice.

Sir James Wolfensohn: Born in Sydney, Australia, on 1 December, 1933, to Jewish parents who had emigrated from England during the Great Depression, Wolfensohn became a naturalized US citizen in 1980. After graduating from Harvard Business School, Wolfensohn worked for the Swiss cement giant
Holderbank (now Holcim), J. Henry Schroders Bank in London and was managing director of Schroder's New York City office from 1970 to 1976. Later he became a senior executive at Salomon Brothers and established his own investment firm, James D. Wolfensoln Inc. along with partners including Paul A. Volcker, the former chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank. Upon his appointment as president of the World Bank by Bill Clinton in 1995, he sold his firm to Bankers Trust.

In 2005 he founded Wolfensoln & Company and the Wolfensoln Center for Development, and since 2006 he has been the chairman of the International Advisory Board of Citigroup among many other responsibilities.

Following the 1992 Rio Earth Summit, Sir James has worked closely with Prince Charles, the Earth Summit Secretary-General Maurice Strong, Al Gore, Sir Nicholas Stern and Lord Jacob Rothschild in promoting sustainable development. In 1992 he formed a joint company with Lord Jacob Rothschild called J. Rothschild Wolfensoln & Co. Baron Edmund de Rothschild and Lord Jacob Rothschild were instrumental in getting Wolfensoln appointed to the Board of Trustees of the UN's Commission on Population and Commission on Sustainable Development.

Not only has Sir James (now Baron) worked closely with the Prince of Wales in implementing sustainable development to all agencies of the UN, he has worked closely with the Prince and the World Bank in establishing ecumenical dialogue on poverty and development among people of all religions and faiths jointly with the UN.

As the result of Charles’ influence and dialogue with leaders of Islam and all faiths including apostate Christianity, the UN General Assembly proclaimed 2001 the Year of Dialogue among Civilizations and engaged a diverse ‘Group of Eminent Persons' to advance his effort globally. In 1998 Wolfensoln, then president of the World Bank, and Lord Carey, then Archbishop of Canterbury, set up the World Faiths Development Dialogue to facilitate Charles’ dreams to ultimately set up and head a one world religion and faith. Prince Charles wrote the inaugural essay of the University of Maryland, ‘Essays on the Alliance of Civilizations' written by high-level world figures who support him, to stimulate international dialogue between all nations, particularly between the Islamic countries and the West.

Maurice Strong: Born in 1929 and educated in Manitoba, Canada, Strong ran away from home in 1943 and in 1944-45 got a job working for the Hudson Bay Company. In 1947 he went to New York, and at first lived with Noah Monod then Treasurer of the United Nations, who got him a job as an assistant pass officer of the Identification Unit of the Security Section of the UN. Through his association with Monod, Strong met many influential people behind the UN including the Rockefellers.

An older cousin of Strong’s, Anna Louise Strong, from Nebraska, was a leading US Marxist and journalist who emigrated to the Soviet Union in 1921 as part of a Quaker aid committee. She later became a member of the Comintern and married the Soviet Union's wartime deputy minister of agriculture later purged by Stalin.

During the period between WWI and WWII Anna Louise traveled to China, corresponded and dined with Eleanor Roosevelt and wrote in praise of Franklin Delano Roosevelt’s New Deal. She died in China in 1970 a committed ‘Friend of the Revolution.’ It was because of her connection to Maurice Strong that the powerful families in the US establishment, including the Rockefellers, decided to “groom” him for more important positions, particularly in business associations and roles with the UN.

Over the years Maurice Strong has worked with Prince Charles, numerous members of the Rothschild family, Al Gore, Sir James Wolfensoln, James Gustave Speth (head of the Carter Administration’s Council on Environmental Quality, crafter of the Global 2000 Report, and until recently, head of the UN Development Program), Shridath Ramphal (formerly secretary-general of the British Commonwealth, now co-chairman of the Commission on Global Governance), Jonathan Lash (president of the World
Resources Institute which works closely with the World Bank, the UN Environmental Program, and the UN Development Program – and co-chairman of the President’s Council on Sustainable Development), Ingvar Carlsson (former Swedish prime minister and co-chairman of the Commission on Global Governance).

Strong was/is one of the trustees of the International Wilderness Foundation, which sponsored the 4th World Wilderness Congress in September 1987 in Denver, Colorado, on the advice of Charles and the late Baron Edmund de Rothschild, which set up the World Conservation Bank to become the final world bank (or model for it) soon to be used to swap/takeover the entire assets of the collapsed banks of the world – and deceptively issue a global electronic currency against the collateral of all the wilderness areas on the planet, on behalf of the apostate British Sovereign who is now, in the end stages of a long process, of privately attempting to physically own the entire world.

In 1994, Maurice Strong, Mikhail Gorbachev and Ruud Lubbers founded the Earth Charter initiative. Considered a chief architect of the Kyoto Accord of 1997 (because the regulations to stabilize greenhouse gas concentrations started with the Framework Convention on Climate Change at the Earth Summit in Rio in 1992 headed by him), Strong was one of the commissioners (with Steven C. Rockefeller, Mikhail Gorbachev and others) who founded the Earth Charter secretariat in Costa Rica in 1997. In 2000, the Earth Charter was formerly launched at the Peace Palace in the Hague in the presence of Queen Beatrix, a member of the women’s order of the British monarch’s Order of the Garter.

The primary mission of the Earth Charter Initiative is “to establish a sound ethical foundation for the emerging global society and to help build a sustainable world based on respect for nature, universal human rights, economic justice and a culture of peace.” In other words, its global mission is to abolish the Magna Carta, and with it, all of the laws based solely on the Bible, and replace all of the old Christian laws with a new “Earth Charter” devised by themselves and focused on the old Egyptian/Babylonian/Greek/Roman “fascist” worship of “Mother Nature.”

These are just a few of the magnificently rich and powerful, highly educated imbeciles, idiots, and henchmen lurking behind Prince Charles’ deceptive global “green fascism.”
3. THE FOUNDATIONS OF THE INTERFAITH AGENDA

In the last days Christians churches will still continue to call themselves Pentecostal, Baptist, Methodist, Presbyterian, Catholic and such like but inside the churches new age doctrines will be taught from the pulpit. The congregations won’t even know what has happened. The teachings won’t be called new age, they just will be new age.
THE TONY BLAIR FAITH FOUNDATION

Former British Prime Minister Tony Blair has stated that leaders in an interdependent world must work to end religious conflict or face “catastrophe” as he introduced a new foundation dedicated to interfaith understanding. Religion is as important in this century as political ideology was in the last, Blair said. The Tony Blair Faith Foundation will fight extremism, organize faith groups against poverty and illness, and educate people worldwide about religions other than their own, he said.

“There is a new reality. We have to come to terms with it. And it implies, at its fundamentals, peaceful coexistence or catastrophe,” said Blair in a speech before religious and business leaders at the posh Time Warner Center. “If faith becomes a countervailing force, pulling people apart, then it becomes destructive and indeed dangerous.”

Former President Bill Clinton, who became a close friend of Blair’s when both men were in office, opened the event, putting his arm around the former prime minister and calling his faith “genuine” and “deep.”

The group’s advisory council includes evangelical mega pastor Rick Warren, who with his wife, Kay, advocates for HIV/AIDS victims worldwide; Ismail Khudr Al-Shatti, an adviser to the prime minister of Kuwait; and world Baptist and Jewish leaders. Catholic Cardinal Cormac Murphy O’Connor of Westminster, England, has pledged to join the council when the pope allows him to retire.

Yale University is a partner in the project. The former prime minister plans to teach at the school next year, leading a seminar on faith and globalization.

In a brief interview, Blair said he defined extremists as “people who want to exclude the other if someone is of a different faith. Blair told the crowd that although Islamic extremism draws the most attention, “there are elements of extremism in every major faith.”

“It is important where people of good faith combat such extremism, that they are supported,” said Blair, who is an envoy for the Quartet of Mideast peacemakers — the U.S., European Union, Russia and United Nations.
Among the foundation’s many initiatives are promoting research on religion and globalization; developing interactive media and publications on religion geared for young people, businesses and political groups; and, working with the U.N. and groups such as the Malaria No More Campaign to fight the illness.

Blair said the foundation would not focus on “doctrinal inquiry” or “subsume different faiths in some universal faith of the lowest common denominator.”

Sayyid Syeed, a national leader of the Islamic Society of North America and a veteran of interfaith outreach, said it is possible to find common ground without watering down religious teachings if the work is based on sound scholarship about each faith.

“It has been done in the past and can be done again,” Syeed said.

Richard C. Levin, Yale’s president, who shared the stage with Blair and two young American Muslim leaders, said the community service programs that will be part of the foundation’s work will build a bond among young people, despite any theological differences.

“You cannot understand the modern world,” Blair said, “unless you understand the importance of religious faith.”

However the foundation has received significant hostility from the Roman Catholic Church following his demands for wholesale changes in Catholic belief and practice. He, his wife Cherie and the foundation were previously rebuffed in Rome at a meeting of the Pontifical Academy for Social Sciences where he was compared to Cromwell and his good faith was impugned.

As architect, with George Bush Junior, of the invasion of Iraq and the consequent death of more than a million Iraqis, the man unwilling to condemn the Israelis’ invasion of Lebanon in 2006 and the destruction wreaked in Gaza this year, he has lost credibility among Arabs. He is under attack for failure to achieve progress on Middle Eastern peace as representative of the UN, the EU, the US and Russia. "He is – at best – a total irrelevancy", says Jerusalem-born Dr Ghada Karmi of Exeter University.

The Tablet, a Catholic weekly, quoted Stephen Pound, Labour MP for Ealing North and himself a Catholic, correctly forecasting Blair’s hubristic attitude would damage him among Catholics, Muslim and Jews. "It is extremely counterproductive. Entrance to the Vatican is only gained through a series of iron-clad, hermetically sealed, heavily padlocked and bolted doors, and I can hear them creaking shut as we speak." Pound warned Blair against "dictating to the pope through the media".

Much worse news came from the Vatican where the couple came under sustained fire at the Pontifical Academy of Social Sciences, a group of scholars which includes the economist and Nobel Prize winner Joseph Stiglitz and Hans Tietmeyer, former head of the German central bank. The meeting convened by the pope, was organised by Roland Minnerath, once Vatican diplomat, now archbishop of Dijon.
The attack was spearheaded by Professor Michel Schooyans of the Catholic University of Louvain, a specialist in anthropology and political philosophy. Speaking uncompromisingly, Schooyans accused Blair and his wife of supporting a messianic US plan for world domination. Schooyans, an expert on bioethics and demography, said Blair wanted to remake the world's major religions and use them to expand “new rights”.

The Belgian priest argued that its goals could not be realised “except at the price of sacrificing religious freedom, of the imposition of a ‘politically correct’ interpretation of the Sacred Scriptures and of the sabotage of the natural foundations of law”. William Chapman, the foundation's former director of policy, had stepped down amid rumours of a dispute over Blair’s “lecturing” of the Pope on homosexuality.

Chapman said, however, that he could not turn down a “once-in-a-lifetime opportunity” to work for the Lord Mayor of London. The foundation says it seeks “to encourage humanitarian action based on certain values which the great faiths share”.

In an interview with a magazine for homosexuals, Blair questioned the Pope’s attitude towards homosexuality, arguing that religious leaders must start “rethinking” the issue.

He was later rebuked by the Most Rev Vincent Nichols, the new Archbishop of Westminster, who said Catholic thinking was “rather different” from the kind promoted by the former PM.”

THE INTERFAITH CENTRE IN VIENNA

King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia has been planning for years to find a way to unite the world's major religions in an effort to help foster peace, and believes a new international organization to be housed in Vienna, Austria will help make that dream a reality. As the institution was officially founded some Christians have pointed to interpretations of biblical prophecy about the emergence of a one-world religion many believe precedes the return of Jesus Christ.

According to media reports, Saudi Foreign Minister Prince Saud al-Faisal, Austrian Foreign Minister and Vice Chancellor Michael Spindelegger and Spanish Foreign Minister Trinidad Jimenez Garcia-Herrera oversaw the signing of a contract between the three nations Thursday, in which they will cooperate in the building and organization of an interfaith center in Vienna. Other high level officials from the three nations were also reportedly in attendance at the treaty signing.

The building, to be called the "King Abdullah Bin Abdulaziz International Center for Interreligious and Intercultural Dialogue," was conceived of by its namesake and mostly financed by the Saudi government. According to media reports the center will be composed of a governing body of 12 representatives, among that number will be representatives from Islam (one each Sunni and Shiite), Christians (one each Catholic, Anglican and Orthodox), a Buddhist, a Hindu and a Jewish representative.

There will also be a consulting body with 100 representatives from various faiths, as well as "academics and members of civil society," Deutsche Welle news agency reports.
“The thesis is valid that world peace cannot exist without peace between the world's major religions,” 92 Saudi Arabian Foreign Minister Prince Saud al-Faisal said during the signing ceremony in Vienna, according to Deutsche Welle.

The news agency also reports that Spindelegger said the organization’s structure has been designed to make sure no single faith has the upper hand and that politics would have no part in the center's government. Garcia-Herrera also noted that membership would be made available to other nations.

The religious center will be located at Schottenring in Vienna, according to the Austrian Independent. Dutch newspaper Die Presse reports that the project will cost millions of dollars.

“(Our) paying for the operation is to create a fund that makes the center independent from any sort of political interference,” 84 the Saudi prime minister said during the news conference.

The Deutsche Well report reveals that King Abdullah conceived the idea after meeting with Pope Benedict XVI at the Vatican in 2007. It was after emerging from that meeting that King Abdullah called on Christians and Muslims to find common ground for world peace.

The Saudi king held three interfaith meetings between 2008 and 2009, in which he held discussions with religious leaders in Mecca, Madrid and Hofburg in Vienna, which is reportedly where the final plans for the center's governing body was decided upon.

The ratification of the agreement on the interreligious center has upset politicians, local media and moderate Muslims, who wonder if the Saudi government does not have some ulterior motive, the Austrian Independent reports.

Some critics also found the Saudi initiative ironic, as Saudi Arabia is ruled by Shariah law. The CIA World Factbook describes the country's population as “100 percent” Muslim. The U.S. State Department's 2010 International Religious Freedom Report found that “freedom of religion is neither recognized nor protected under the law and is severely restricted in practice.” The 2010 Religious Freedom Report also noted that there were no public non-Muslim houses of worship, and that Christians gathered in secret to worship. Those who leave Islam risk being executed for apostasy.

When asked by reporters during Thursday's press conference about his country's lack of religious freedom, Prime Minister Saud al-Faisal reportedly insinuated that the religious center might influence Saudi Arabia to become more tolerant of other faiths within its own borders.
As for the interreligious governing body's ability to operate autonomously, Saud al-Faisal warned against "extremist minorities within every religious and cultural community ... seeking ... to propagate notions of intolerance, exclusion, racism and hatred," the Associated Press (AP) report.

He added, "These tiny minorities," he said, "are trying to hijack and disrupt the legitimate identities and aspirations of people of all cultures and faiths."

According to the AP, the religious center's "founder's document cites principles enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human rights, 'in particular, the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion.' It emphasizes 'human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion.'"

The Sun Daily reports that Cardinal Jean-Louis Tauran, head of the Vatican's department for interfaith dialogue, while critical of Saudi Arabia's lack of religious freedom, supports King Abdullah's interreligious plan. He reportedly noted that the Holy See might seek observer status. During one of his 2008 meetings, Abdullah said the purpose of the interreligious center was "to come up with ways to safeguard humanity."  

As some observers have noted, this is not the first time an attempt has been made to somehow unify or find commonality among the world's leading religions. Also, as with previous cases, the official founding of King Abdullah's interreligious center will likely be perceived by some Christians as another "sign of the times" in light of purported biblical prophecies about a one-world religion preceding Christ's return to Earth. Tim LaHaye and Jerry B. Jenkins, authors of the popular Christian end times Left Behind book series, claim that a world government, a single global currency and a one-world religion were "three signs of the end," pointing to Revelation 13, 17 and 18 for support.

A 2003 United-Nations sponsored summit of the world's religions was cast in a Christian Broadcasting Network (CBN) report as a step toward the fulfillment of biblical prophecy.

The report by CBN News' Wendy Griffith featured comments from Robert Maginnis, a former director of the Family Research Council. Maginnis described the 2003 U.N. meeting as having a hidden agenda to unite the world's citizens under one "religious umbrella."

"You're taking the Muslim community, the Christian community, the Hindus, the Confucians and all the many hundreds of religious groups, trying to identify key leaders, and you are basically trying to co-opt them into cooperating with you," he said at the time.

Now that the "King Abdullah Bin Abdulaziz International Center for Interreligious and Intercultural Dialogue" has officially been founded, it is likely the world will be watching to see which representatives
of which faith will emerge to take a place in the 12-member governing body. Christians, no doubt, will also be taking special note of who in the evangelical community will be willing to participate.

THE UMBILICAL CHORD THAT UNITES ISLAM AND CATHOLICISM

Many people may be surprised that Muslims love Mary, the mother of Jesus. In the Quran, no woman is given more attention than Mary. Mary receives the most attention of any woman mentioned in the Quran even though all the Prophets with the exception of Adam had mothers. Of the Quran's 114 chapters, she is among the eight people who have a chapter named after them. The nineteenth chapter of the Quran is named after her, Mariam. Mariam means Mary in Arabic. The third chapter in the Quran is named after her father, Imran. Chapters Mariam and Imran are among the most beautiful chapters in the Quran. Mary (peace be upon her) is the only woman specifically named in the Quran. An authentic Haddith states that the Prophet said, "The superiority of Aisha to other ladies is like the superiority of Tharid (i.e. meat and bread dish) to other meals. Many men reached the level of perfection, but no woman reached such a level except Mary, the daughter of Imran and Asia, the wife of Pharaoh." (Bukhari 4.643). Indeed, both Mary and Pharaoh's wife are an example (Quran 66:11-12). The Virgin Mary plays a very significant role in Islam. She is an example and a sign for all people.

In the Quran, Mary's story begins while she is still in her mother's womb. The mother of Mary, said: "O my Lord! I do dedicate into Thee what is in my womb for Thy special service: So accept this of me: For Thou hearest and knowest all things." (Quran 3:35).

She wanted the baby in her womb to serve only the Creator. When Mary was delivered, she said: "O my Lord! Behold! I am delivered of a female child!" (Quran 3:36). She had expected her baby to be a male child who would grow up to be a scholar or religious leader. However, God had a better plan. God is the best of planners. Quran 3:36 continues "...and God knew best what she brought forth- 'And no wise is the male like the female. I have named her Mariam, and I commend her and her offspring to Thy protection from Satan, the Rejected.'" Mariam literally means "maidservant of God."

In Quran 3:37, God states that He accepted Mary as her mother had asked. He made Mary grow in purity and beauty. She was assigned to the care of a priest named Zacharias. This is interesting considering few women were given this opportunity.

"Every time that he entered (her) chamber to see her, he found her supplied with sustenance. He said: 'O Mary! Whence (comes) this to you?' She said: 'From God. for God provides sustenance to whom He pleases without measure.'" (Quran 3:37). Upon hearing Mary's answer, "There did Zakariya pray to his Lord, saying: 'O my Lord! Grant unto me from Thee a progeny that is pure: for Thou art He that heareth prayer!'" (Quran 3:38).
Although his wife was barren and he was very old, God blesses Zacharias and his wife Elizabeth with John. John is known as “John the Baptist” in the Bible. Zacharias was skeptical after the angels announced John's birth. The response to his skepticism was "Doth God accomplish what He willeth" (Quran 3:40). John would become a noble and chaste Prophet as the angels had stated (Quran 3:39).

The Quran discusses Mary's miraculous conception as well. "Relate in the Book (the story of) Mary, when she withdrew from her family to a place in the East. She placed a screen (to screen herself) from them; then We sent her Our angel, and he appeared before her as a man in all respects." (Quran 19:16-17). After seeing the angel, she said: "I seek refuge from thee to (God) Most Gracious: (come not near) if thou dost fear God." (Quran 19:18). The angel Gabriel responded: "Nay, I am only a messenger from thy Lord, (to announce) to thee the gift of a pure son." (Quran 19:19). Her next response is expected. She asked: "How shall I have a son, seeing that no man has touched me, and I am not unchaste?" (Quran 19:20). The Angel Gabriel said: "So (it will be): thy Lord saith, 'That is easy for Me: and (We wish) to appoint him as a Sign unto men and a Mercy from Us.' It is a matter (so) decreed." (Quran 19:21). Mary then becomes pregnant.

The role of the Virgin Mary in Islam is quite notable for its practical implications as well. Because both Christianity and Islam deeply revere the Virgin Mary, members of both religions flock to Marian shrines to pray. It is quite remarkable against the current backdrop of hostility between these two religions that at certain holy sites such as the House of Mary in Ephesus and the healing pond in India, Muslims and Christians flock to both sites and pray peacefully beside each other (although not with each other). This model of peaceful surrender to God, despite significant religious, historical, and cultural divisions, remains a way out of the danger of increasing conflict and war under the guise of religion.

One of the common questions concerning a one-world religion is this: "If the coming one-world false religion is characterized by tolerance and diversity how will the Muslims integrate into this system?" Especially a system where the Vatican will be heavily influential along with other world leaders of Faiths that are absolutely incompatible with Islam such as Hinduism, and Buddhism. Additionally for thousands of years there has been an ongoing struggle between Islam and Christianity from the time of the early crusades in Jerusalem up until the twenty first century where the Holy Jihad against the "so called" Christian West and Christian Zionism shows no sign of stopping.

It is also important to note that whilst Christianity has gone through so many changes over the centuries sprouting so many denominational offshoots and Christian cults, Islam has a much more linear history in terms of deviations. Obviously there is Sunni and Shiites as well as heretical offshoots such as the Bahai faith, Shrine freemasonry and the nation of Islam but for the most part the history of Islam is much more linear than Christianity.

So the question is how can a religion which is so monotheistic and self exclusive seek common ground with Christianity which also has a foundation of monotheism and self exclusivity. How can a religion which is so monotheistic that it allows no possibility for there to be a triune Godhead or for God to have had an eternal Son ever be reconciled with Christianity.

It is important to note that whilst a Christian presence in an apostate world religion would be one which would have long deviated from Christian orthodoxy, Islam see's Christianity as the same evil whatever the deviation of Christianity that is presented.

The answer: There needs to be a common denominator between Muslims and the one-world religion. This common denominator may very well surprise you. This common denominator may very well be Mary, the mother of Jesus. The Koran exalts Mary, not Jesus. The Roman Catholics also exalts Mary. This along with the numerous alleged apparitions of Mary witnessed by both Muslims and Catholics
creates a link between the two religions. These two religions together take in a sizeable portion of the human race.

The Marian apparitions, including the ones in Egypt and Africa, have an underlying message. According to Mary, this message is, “All religions are the same. We are the ones causing division.” This message is easily identified under the third hallmark of a false religion, namely, “Provides a Broad Road to Salvation.” Mary’s message is proven invalid because it contradicts Scripture. The only way to God is through Jesus (John 14:6). Since it is clearly demonstrated to be a false message, we can conclude that Mary, the mother of Jesus, was not the one delivering the message. Mary would never contradict her Son.

According to a British-Catholic magazine, “A Marian revival is spreading throughout Africa with alleged apparitions of Mary, finding a following among the Muslims.” Although African Muslims are supposedly seeing apparitions of the Virgin Mary, they “are not required to become Christians to follow her.”

Most of the spectators who witnessed the Marian apparitions in Zeitoun, Egypt, were Muslim. This apparition of Mary appeared for several nights each week for years and was viewed by millions of Muslims.

Mary appears to be the missing link that helps answer the previous question about how the Muslims could ever integrate into a one-world religion.

Bishop Fulton Sheen, back in the 1950s, was the first Catholic on television with his own religious talk show. He wrote a book predicting that Islam would be converted to Christianity. In the same era, the 1950s, Bishop Sheen also wrote a book titled The World’s First Love. He stated in his book:

“But after the death of Fatima (Muhammad's daughter), Muhammad wrote,

‘Thou shall be the most blessed of all women in paradise after Mary.’”

This connection with the Muslims through Mary was predicted about fifty years ago. Bishop Sheen was ahead of his time, at least as it relates to the future of Islam. The Muslims will be included in the coming One World Religion, but it will not be Christianity.

The key players are: Mary, the mother of Jesus; Fatima, the daughter of Muhammad; and Pope John Paul II. The key locations include Fatima, Portugal, and St. Peter’s Square, Rome. The Portuguese Village of Fatima is named after Muhammad’s daughter. Bishop Sheen mentioned how remarkable it was that our Lady had the foresight to appear in the Portuguese Village of Fatima, named after Muhammad’s daughter, and thus became known as Our Lady of Fatima.
In October of 2000, Pope John Paul II ordered the actual statue of Our Lady of Fatima to be moved from Fatima, Portugal, to St. Peter’s Square in Rome. His purpose was to signify “his great devotion to Mary.” He credits her with saving his life in an assassination attempt on May 13, 1981. This story is recounted in chapter six of The Last Generation: Prophecy, Current World Events, and the End Times.

Also in the year 2000, Pope John Paul II gathered 1,500 bishops from around the world, the largest group to assemble since Vatican II. They were there to entrust the world and the millennium to “Our Lady of Fatima,” not to Jesus, not even to God, but to Mary.

1. There appears to be a clear connection between the Catholic Church and the Muslim faith.

2. The Doctrine of Compromise is apparent. Examples: Pope John Paul took a compromising approach to other religions, which included Shamans, witch doctors, Hindu gurus, and voodoo. This sounds very familiar to the Catholic evangelists in the early days of Christianity. And now King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia has also made progress with an interfaith agenda to seek a common denominator across all the worlds religions.

3. The Broad Road to Salvation is emphasized. Examples: The underlying message, according to Mary, i.e., through the alleged Marian apparitions, is “all religions are the same.”

4. Counterfeit Miracles, i.e., Marian apparitions are rampant. These so-called miraculous apparitions of Mary, the mother of Jesus, fail the validity test. If the visions are not really Mary, we can conclude that Satan is disguising himself as an angel of light. He has an agenda, which includes leading humanity into a One World False Religion of his making where he will be worshipped.

5. Experience-based Miracles and apparitions seem to be the motivating factor and key decision-making factor for most people.

The Shrine of Our Lady of Fatima (Portuguese: Nossa Senhora do Rosário da Fátima) is one of most famous Marian shrines in the world. Some four million people visit Fatima each year, drawn by apparitions of the Virgin Mary reported by three local shepherd children in 1917.

In November 2003 delegates attending the Vatican and United Nations (UN) inspired annual interfaith congress "The Future of God" heard how the Shrine is to be developed into a centre where all the religions of the world will gather to pay homage to their various gods. The Congress was held in the Paul VI Pastoral Centre and presided over by the Cardinal Patriarch of Lisbon José de Cruz Policarpo.

The Shrine’s rector, Monsignor Luciano Guerra, told the Congress that Fátima "will change for the better." Addressing Hindu, Muslim, Jewish, Orthodox, Buddhist and African Pagan representatives he stated: "The future of Fátima, or the adoration of God and His mother at this holy Shrine, must pass through the creation of a shrine where different religions can mingle. The inter-religious dialogue in Portugal, and in the Catholic Church, is still in an embryonic phase, but the Shrine of Fátima is not indifferent to this fact and is already open to being a universalistic place of vocation."
The Hindu representative Ansshok Ansraj, described how already in the Far East millions of Hindus are getting "positive vibrations" from visiting Marian shrines without endangering their faith.

Monsignor Guerra pointed out that the very fact that Fátima is the name of a Muslim and Mohammed's daughter, is indicative that the Shrine must be open to the co-existence of various faiths and beliefs. According to the Monsignor:

"Therefore we must assume that it was the will of the Blessed Virgin Mary that this comes about this way." Traditional Catholics opposed to the Congress were described by the Monsignor as "old fashioned, narrow minded, fanatic extremists and provocateurs." 87

One of the principle speakers, the Jesuit theologian Father Jacques Dupuis, was insistent that the religions of the world must unite. "The religion of the future will be a general converging of religions in a universal Christ that will satisfy all," he said.

The Belgium-born theologian argued: "The other religious traditions in the world are part of God’s plan for humanity, and the Holy Spirit is operating and present in Buddhist, Hindu, and other sacred writings of Christian and non-Christian faiths as well." In an impassioned plea he said: "The universality of God’s kingdom permits this, and this is nothing more than a diversified form of sharing in the same mystery of salvation. In the end, it is hoped that the Christian will become a better Christian and each Hindu a better Hindu."

An official statement put out by the Congress called for a non-proselytising approach by all religions.

"What is needed is that each religion be true to its faith integrally and treat each religion on the same footing of equality with no inferior or superiority complexes." 97

It emphasized that the secret to peace amongst all religions is admitting that contradictions exist between creeds but to concentrate on what unites them, as opposed to what separates them.

Turning Virgin Mary and Jesus from Judeans (Jews) into Palestinians is a basic part of the Palestinian Authority’s revision of history. The PA leadership has for years been attempting to create a Palestinian-Islamic history in the Land of Israel. This is not an alternative interpretation of modern history, It is rather an invented history from long before Islam was founded (610 A.D) and long before the Romans tried to sever Jewish ties to the Land of Israel/Judea by renaming it Palestine in the year 135 A.D

The following is the Fatah Communications and Education Authority 2009 statement in honor of International Women’s Day from the official Fatah website:

If we are proud of the holiness of our land, then we are proud and pride ourselves that the first and most important holy woman among the nations and peoples is from the holy land: The Virgin Mary – the woman of love and peace – is of the nation of Palestine, whose roots are grounded in the depths of history. Our movement takes note of its pride in all the women Shahidas (Martyrs), prisoners, Palestinian fighters, who have become a model of sacrifice and a school that teaches the meaning of giving and of sacrifice. 98

On 25 March 2009 was also the first “Islamic-Christian Day” in Lebanon in honour of the Virgin Mary,

The idea of making the Virgin Mary a symbol of a joint Christian-Muslim celebration came to Sheikh Mohammad Nokkari, general secretary of Dar el-Fatwa, or ‘House of Decree”, during Annunciation celebrations at Jamhour College.Emir Harès Chehab and Mohammad Sammak, who co-chair the Islamic-Christian National Dialogue Committee, welcomed the initiative as did Lebanese Prime Minister Fouad Siniora.
THE CULT OF THE BLACK VIRGIN

“What if Mary is another name for Gaia? Then her capacity for virgin birth is no miracle... it is a role of Gaia since life began... She is of this Universe and, conceivably, a part of God. On Earth, she is the source of life everlasting and is alive now; she gave birth to humankind and we are part of her.”—Sir James Lovelock

There are many icons of Mary that show black faces and hands. In France, these are called Vierge Noires—Black Virgins. Elsewhere, may be called Black Madonnas or the “other Mary.” Jung called her Isis, while others claim she is the symbolic remains of a prehistoric worship of the Earth Mother. She is generally connected with Cybele, Diana, Isis, and Venus, as well as with Kali, Inanna, and Lilith. Historically she is connected with the Crusades, the Islamic occupation of Spain, the Conquistadors, as well as the Merovingians and Knights Templars, who viewed her as Mary Magdalene.

Why are more than five hundred of the world’s Madonna images black or dark? Why is so little known about them? Black Virgins—a resurfacing of the powerful pagan goddesses of sexuality, the underworld, and earth wisdom—they are symbols of power and majesty, the other side of the traditional Madonna’s virginity or tender maternity.

Many Christians, both clergy and laity, simply accept that these shrines to the Black Virgin, and the loyal, fervent devotion they foster, are ultimately inexplicable, a mystery of the divine feminine. Some writers believe they represent a Christian form of Isis, as a mother with child. These shrines are believed to have special healing powers, among other things, and to be places where newly married brides can go for fertility blessings. There is also a strong religious folk tradition connecting the Black Virgins to the medieval Knights Templar and also with Mary Magdelene. A famous Black Virgin - la Madone des Fenestres (the Madonna of the Windows), near St-Martin-de-Vesubie (one site where many Templars were massacred) was believed by folk tradition in the area to have originally been brought to southern France by Mary Magdelene. Whether such legends spring from a kernel of truth, or are purely legendary, it is still intriguing to examine the sheer number of such place-names, legends, and beliefs about these subjects and their interconnections, at least in the popular mind. And that in itself says something.

St. Bernard of Clairvaux was born at Fontaines on the outskirts of Dijon, a place said to have had its own Black Virgin. He is said to have received three drops of milk taken from the breast of the Black Virgin of Chatillon while still a boy. He later went on to help the Templar order expand quickly and to preach the Second Crusade - from Vezelay, a centre of the cult of the Magdalene and a Black Virgin site. After his death, he was canonized on the same feast day, 20 August, as the founder of another major Black Virgin site - St. Amadour of Rocamadour.

During the first century A.D., Alexandria, Egypt was a veritable hotbed of mystical activity, a crucible in which, according to Holy Blood, Holy Grail, “Judaic, Mithraic, Zoroastrian, Pythagorean, Hermetic, and neo-Platonic doctrines suffused the air and combined with innumerable others.” (31:123) It was in the early centuries of the Christian era that the ancient worship of the Mother Goddess was introduced to Christianity by Jews who had fled Israel and embraced Alexandrian Neo-Platonism, which is just a rehash of Greek paganism.

“The Neo-Platonists are Greek philosophers who lived long enough after Plato to have lost the name of Platonists as far as modern scholars are concerned (although they were intellectual disciples of Plato and considered themselves Platonists).”

THE ANTICHRIST IDENTITY SERIES

A PRODUCTION OF REMA MARKETING AND WWW.GLOBALREPORT2010.COM
In ancient times, mankind worshipped the hosts of heaven, believing them to be gods and goddesses who ruled the world. Ancient man believed that the constellation Virgo was the Great Mother Goddess who ruled over a Golden Age called Lemuria, which preceded Atlantis. This astrological tradition was transmitted to successive pagan cultures through the ages of mankind.

"Some of the mythological representations of Virgo are Nana, Eve, Istar, Demeter, Hecate, Themis, Hera, Astraea, Diana, Cybele, Isis, Fortuna, Ergone, Sibylla and the Virgin Mother. All representations of the Great Mother in some form. She who existed before the masculine gods of ancient and classical mythology." 92

The Greek adaptation of Virgo, was Demeter, whose daughter Kore was abducted by Pluto, the god of the underworld. Kore would remain the dark lord's queen and her name would no longer be Kore, the maiden, but Persephone, "she who is to be feared". The Alexandrian Jews who worshipped the Greek goddess, Kore, managed to convert their pagan goddess worship into a theologically respectable tradition called Gnosticism by giving the goddess the trappings of Christianity. Although they worshipped her as the Holy Virgin, "virginity" has an altogether different connotation to Gnostics than it does to Christians.

"Another important feature of the Gnostic tradition of Epiphany is that it is really a feminine holiday. St. Clement of Alexandria (c. 194) mentioned that the followers of the Gnostic master Basilides feasted on the day of the Baptism and kept a long vigil before it. Epiphanius (305-402) gave us a detailed description of how the Alexandrian Gnostics celebrated the Epiphany. They did this in the sanctuary of the Maiden Goddess Kore whom they equated with the image of the Holy Virgin. At midnight they descended with torches into the crypt of the temple and brought the wooden statue of Kore forth in procession. The Maiden was represented naked and sitting, with crosses marked on her brow, her hands and her knees. The statue was carried seven times around the central shrine and was then retired to the crypt once more. The Gnostics said that on this day, Kore, the Virgin, gave birth to the divine principle known as the Christ. It is from the feminine intuitive consciousness and feeling nature that the messianic power, of individuated consciousness is born. Thus the human nature of Jesus or Everyman is transformed into divine and spiritual nature by the holy female power, the Holy Spirit, in the initiation rite of baptism." 95

It is important to note that the heretic, Basilides, was an Gnostic Jew of Alexandria, as was his contemporary, the arch heretic Valentinus.

It was in the Gnostic culture of Alexandria that the Mother Goddess evolved into Mary Magdalene. Ean Begg wrote in The Cult of the Black Virgin that,

"...many of the finest Gnostic writings are of Alexandrian inspiration or origin. Alexandria is also the main source of Gnostic works linking Jesus with Mary Magdalene. According to this tradition it was through the Magdalen, rather than through Peter and the male apostles, that Jesus transmitted his secret doctrine." 93

In their endeavors to relocate the center of Christianity in Alexandria, Egypt, the Gnostics misrepresent Mary Magdalene as a native of the Magdolum in Egypt, which they associate with Migdol:

"There is no necessity to endeavor to crowbar [Mary Magdalene] into a Galilean setting, for there are other intriguing alternatives for her place of origin: although there was no 'Magdala' in Judea in her day, there was a Magdolum in Egypt - just across the border - which was probably the Migdol mentioned in Ezekiel. There was a large and flourishing Jewish community in Egypt at that time, which was particularly centered on the great sea port of Alexandria, a seething cosmopolitan melting pot of many races, nationalities and religions and perhaps where the Holy Family had fled to escape the depredations of Herod’s men." 94
There is no mention of Migdol in the book of Ezekiel, however the prophet Jeremiah reproved the apostate Jews who took up residence in Migdol, in Egypt, for disobeying the Lord who had commanded them to go with their countrymen to Babylon. The prophet Jeremiah specifically admonished the Jews in Egypt for their worship of the Mother Goddess:

The word that came to Jeremiah concerning all the Jews which dwell in the land of Egypt, which dwell at Migdol, and at Tahtapanhes, and at Noph, and in the country of Pathros, saying, Thus saith the LORD of hosts, the God of Israel; Ye have seen all the evil that I have brought upon Jerusalem, and upon all the cities of Judah; and, behold, this day they are a desolation, and no man dwelleth therein. Because of their wickedness which they have committed to provoke me to anger, in that they went to burn incense, and to serve other gods, whom they knew not, neither they, ye, nor your fathers...

Then all the men which knew that their wives had burned incense unto other gods, and all the women that stood by, a great multitude, even all the people that dwelt in the land of Egypt, in Pathros, answered Jeremiah, saying, As for the word that thou hast spoken unto us in the name of the LORD, we will not hearken unto thee. But we will certainly do whatsoever thing goeth forth out of our own mouth, to burn incense unto the queen of heaven, and to pour out drink offerings unto her, as we have done, we, and our fathers, our kings, and our princes, in the cities of Judah, and in the streets of Jerusalem: for then had we plenty of victuals, and were well, and saw no evil. But since we left off to burn incense to the queen of heaven, and to pour out drink offerings unto her, we have wanted all things, and have been consumed by the sword and by the famine. And when we burned incense to the queen of heaven, and poured out drink offerings unto her, did we make her cakes to worship her, and pour out drink offerings unto her, without our men? - Jer. 44:1-3,15-19

Here it is apparent that the worship of the Mother Goddess, Virgo, under the appellation of Isis, spread from Egypt into Israel and from Israel to the centers of the Roman Empire:

"The cult of Isis was widespread in the Egypt of the dynastic period. From Egypt it spread northwards to Phoenicia, Syria and Palestine; to Asia Minor; to Cyprus, Rhodes, Crete, Samos and other islands in the Aegean; to many parts of mainland Greece - Corinth, Argos and Thessaly amongst them; to Malta and Sicily; and, finally, to Rome. In the first century BC, Isis was perhaps the most popular goddess in the Eternal City, from which her cult spread to the furthest limits of the Roman Empire, including Britain: her only rival was Mithras." 96

In fact, the worship of the Virgin Mary in the Roman Catholic Church, along with the entire body of Catholic tradition, can be traced to the worship of Isis in Egypt. (See: Mystery Babylon the Great: Catholic or Jewish?)

"Immaculate is our Lady Isis...the very terms applied afterwards to that personage (the Virgin Mary) who succeeded to her form, titles, symbols, rites, and ceremonies... Thus, her devotees carried into the new priesthood the former badges of their profession, the obligation to celibacy, the tonsure, and the surplice, omitting, unfortunately, the frequent ablutions prescribed by the ancient creed. The 'Black Virgins', so highly reverenced in certain French cathedrals...proved, when at last critically examined, basalt figures of Isis!" 97

In 412 A.D., Cyril of Alexandria became the Bishop of Alexandria. In Isis Unveiled, H.P. Blavatsky wrote:

"...Cyril, the Bishop of Alexandria, had openly embraced the cause of Isis, the Egyptian goddess, and had anthropomorphized her into Mary, the mother of God..." 98

During his bishopric, Cyril wrote passionately and voluminously against the Nestorian heresy, and was largely instrumental in having it condemned at the Council of Ephesus in 431.
The Third Ecumenical Council was held at Ephesus in Greece, whose philosophy and culture were, like Egypt, derived from the occult traditions of the pre-Flood civilization. The Council of Ephesus condemned the Nestorian heresy but approved the veneration of the Virgin Mary as "Theotokos" ---the God-Bearer or Mother of God. Nestorius and the Nestorians were exiled to the Persian Empire and become the Assyrian Orthodox Church of the East. However, in what appears to have been a classic dialectical operation, the path had been cleared for Mary to be transformed from the "Mother of God" to a deity in the image of the Mother Goddess, Isis, who shared in the divinity of her son, Horus.

In her article on Mariology, Keri Mills posited that the issue driving the great Nestorian controversy was really the doctrine of Mary rather than the doctrine of Christ:

“Catholics claim that no serious question was raised about Mary’s sinlessness after the Council of Ephesus in AD 431 which declared Mary to be ‘God bearer, Mother of God’. What is not explained is the reason why no questions (according to the RCC) were raised after the council. It is clearly documented that Nestorius, bishop of Constantinople, was declared a heretic and exiled because he rejected the popular title given to Mary (Mother of God). The church would claim that Nestorius made it appear, in his emphasis on the reality of the humanity of Jesus, that Christ was two persons or ‘two sons’ the son of Mary and the son of God. Interestingly, the writings of Ignatius, a disciple of the apostle John and bishop of Antioch, declared a similar thought, ‘Mary is presented as Mother of Christ according to his human nature, as the heavenly Father is his Father according to his divine nature (To the Ephesians)’, with the same purpose to refute heretical thinking, and yet he is not declared a heretic. Nestorius was declared a heretic based on power politics and his denial of Mary as God-bearer, not on Christology.

Nestorius was refuting heretical ideas that Christ was not flesh, only deity. In his autobiography, he insisted that he did not oppose the title ‘God-bearer’ because he denied the Godhead of Christ, but rather to distinguish that Jesus was a genuine human being born with a body and soul. He insisted that calling Mary ‘God-bearer, Mother of God’ was to declare that God could be born of a woman. Parents pre-exist their children, yet Mary a mortal woman could not pre-exist God; in fact the paradox remains clear to this day that Jesus the son of Mary pre-existed Mary. Yet with the reign of Constantine, the church had gained power, and so no serious questions would be raised outwardly, without the backing of the majority, once that power had been exercised on Nestorius.”

Even the trappings of monasticism that found a home in Roman Catholicism originated in the asceticism that was prevalent in Egypt, dating back to Hermes Trismegestis. Hermes or Thoth, a demi-god from the pre-flood civilization of Atlantis, became the prototype of the ‘hermit’ monk. The Council of Nicea in 321 A.D. may have been another dialectical operation. There St. Athanasius (296-373 AD), as Bishop of Alexandria, valiantly combated the Arian heresy which challenged the divinity of Jesus Christ. However, Athanasius also used that council as an opportunity to incorporate in the canons of the Church the requirement of celibacy for Christian leaders. Following his victory over the heresiarch Arius and the Arians, Athanasius traveled throughout Europe promoting monasticism and asceticism. He is credited with introducing monasticism specifically to the Romans and Germans.

In the Gnostic theology of Athanasius, only ascetics were considered the Bride of Christ, a pernicious teaching which influenced many devout Christians to seek Christ in monasteries and convents. Although the religious orders had the outward appearance of spiritual piety, they were often, however, fronts for occult as well as homosexual/lesbian activity. That asceticism, enforced celibacy and monastic life have borne evil fruit throughout the centuries is evident in the rampant sexual perversion of the Roman Catholic priesthood and hierarchy.

It was through the establishment of monasteries that the Merovingian Jews, whose forefathers were the Alexandrian Gnostics, began to infiltrate and mold the theology and practices of the Roman Catholic Church. Many of the popes were Merovingian monks who forced masses of European Jews to convert to Catholicism.
Roman Catholicism, with the result that the Church incorporated traditions from both Judaism, the Talmud and the Kabbalah. (See: Mystery Babylon the Great: Catholic or Jewish?) We believe it will be from the monastic movement that the False Prophet, a Merovingian, will rise to prominence and power as the Antichrist’s right hand man.

The pretext for the First Crusade supported by the Roman Catholic Church - recovery of the Temple treasures and sacred sites—concealed the real motive of the Merovingians, which was to rebuild the ancient Temple of Solomon. In Chapter XXX, “Knight Kadosh” of Morals and Dogma, Albert Pike wrote of the Crusader Knights of the Temple:

“In 1118, nine Knights Crusaders in the East, among whom were Geoffroi de Saint-Omer and Hugues de Payens, consecrated themselves to religion, and took an oath between the hands of the Patriarch of Constantinople, a See always secretly or openly hostile to that of Rome from the time of Photius. The avowed objective of the Templars was to protect the Christians who came to visit the Holy Places: their secret object was the re-building of the Temple of Solomon on the model prophesied by Ezekiel.

“This re-building, formally predicted by the Judaizing Mystics of the earlier ages, had become the secret dream of the Patriarchs of the Orient. The Temple of Solomon, re-built and consecrated to the Catholic worship would become, in effect, the Metropolis of the Universe; the East would prevail over the West, and the Patriarchs of Constantinople would possess themselves of the Papal power.

“The Templars, or Poor Fellow-Soldiery of the Holy House of the Temple intended to be rebuilt, took as their models, in the Bible, the Warrior-Masons of Zorobabel, who worked, holding the sword in one hand and the trowel in the other. Therefore it was that the Sword and the Trowell were the insignia of the Templars, who subsequently, as will be seen, concealed themselves under the name of Brethren Masons. [This name, Freres Macons in the French, adopted by way of secret reference to the Builders of the Second Temple, was corrupted in English into Free-Masons, as Pythagore de Crotone was into Peter Gower of Groton in England. Khairum or Khur-um, (a name mis-rendered into Hiram) from an artificer in brass and other metals, became the Chief Builder of the Haikal Kadosh, the Holy House, of the Temple,... and the words Bonai and Banaim yet appear in the Masonic Degrees, meaning Builder and Builders.]

“The trowel of the Templars is quadruple, and the triangular plates of it are arranged in the form of a cross, making the Kabalistic pantacle known by the name of the Cross of the East. The Knight of the East, and the Knight of the East and West, have in their titles secret allusions of whom they were at first the successors.”

A secondary objective of the Knights Templar may have been to deposit the Merovingian “Holy Grail” cache at Jerusalem. These artifacts will probably be "discovered" in the future as "proof" of Merovingian claims of their "divine" blood. In The Second Messiah, authors Christopher Knight and Robert Lomas identify the Merovingian families which conspired with the Merovingian pope—truly a Jewish fifth column within the Catholic Church—to recapture the Holy Land:

“The picture that was emerging was of a group of European noble families, descended from the Jewish lines of David and Aaron, who had escaped from Jerusalem shortly before, or possible even just after, the fall of the Temple. They had passed down the knowledge of the artifacts concealed within the Temple to a chosen son...of each family. Some of the families involved were the Counts of Champagne, Lords of Gisors, Lords of Payen, Counts of Fontaine, Counts of Anjou, de Bouillon, St. Clairs of Roslin, Brienne, Joinville, Chaumont, St Clair de Gisor, St Clair de Neg and the Hapsburgs...

“By 1095, the members of the Rex Deus families group were almost certainly fully Christianised, yet each of them must have had at least one male member who held the traditional history of their high-born Jewish roots close to his heart. No doubt they saw themselves as 'super-Christians', descendants of the...
very first Church, and privy to the greatest secret this side of heaven. They were a silent elite — ‘the kings of God’.

"Indeed, the history books record that [Pope Urban II’s] leadership marked the papacy’s assumption of the leadership of Western Christendom...

"If the Rex Deus group did exist, it is easy to see how the First Crusade provided these families with a ‘God-given’ opportunity to return to their Holy Temple to recover the treasure that was their birthright - and it would be done at exactly the same time that the Jewish writer of the Gospel of John the Divine had predicted! The Rex Deus families were at the forefront of the First, and every Crusade. Medieval scholars have long wondered why it should be that the same families drove all of the Crusades for their entire duration, and now we had a possible answer.

"Once the Christian armies had secured Jerusalem, the non-Rex Deus leaders were quickly removed and the families infiltrated the Jerusalem monarchy and the Church, to ensure that they would not be blocked in the holy endeavor of the 'Kings of God' to regain what their ancestors had left for them...

"...in 1114...[the Rex Deus group] told Baldwin [King of Jerusalem] that they wanted to post a small contingent of knights in Jerusalem to carry out some exploratory digging under the pretext of being...guardians of the highways for pilgrims... Unfortunately, the king was not persuaded... In 1118, Baldwin I died at the age of sixty (presumably of natural causes) and his cousin was rapidly crowned King Baldwin II of Jerusalem. Within weeks, nine French knights were camped on the site of Herod’s stables... The world was told their mission was to save the Christian pilgrims from the evil Muslim bandits, but their true mission was to locate and rescue the scrolls and treasures of the Jerusalem Church."

In his book Behold a Pale Horse, William Cooper stated that the real treasure the Templars absconded with was not the Temple artifacts, but relics pertaining to Jesus Christ, including his bones! We wondered for some time how Cooper knew this. Not only did William Cooper claim to know what the relics of Jesus are, but where they are hidden. Underlying Cooper’s belief in this heresy is his rejection of the death, resurrection and ascension of Jesus Christ:

"One of the greatest secrets of the ages is the true story of the Holy Grail, the robe of Jesus, the remains of the Cross of Crucifixion, and whether Jesus actually died or if he survived and produced a child. Many myths surround the Knights Templar concerning these relics, and most myths throughout history have at least some basis in fact. If my sources are correct, the Knights Templar survive today as a branch of the Illuminati and guard the relics, which are hidden in a location known only to them...

"According to members of the intelligence community, when the New World Order is solidified the relics will be taken out, will be united with the Spear of Destiny, and will, according to legend, give the world’s ruler absolute power. This may confirm beliefs passed down through the ages that describe the significance of these relics when united in the hands of one man. It may also explain Hitler’s desperate search for their hiding place during World War II. Again I must remind you that it makes not one iota of difference what you believe. If they believe, you will be affected.

"The Knights Templar were founded sometime during the 11th century in Jerusalem by the Prieuré de Sion for the express purpose of guarding the remaining relics of Jesus and to provide military protection for the religious travelers during their pilgrimage to the Holy Land.

"The Prieuré de Sion was a religious order founded upon Mount Sion in Jerusalem. The Order set for itself the goal of preserving and recording the bloodline of Jesus and the House of David. Through every means available to them, the Prieuré de Sion had found and retrieved the remaining relics. I am amazed at the authors of Holy Blood, Holy Grail and the information that they have unearthed. Most of all I am
amazed at their inability to put the puzzle together. The treasure hidden in France is not the treasure of the Temple of Jerusalem. It is the Holy Grail itself, the robe of Jesus, the last remaining pieces of the Cross of Crucifixion, and, according to my sources, someone’s bones. I can tell you that the reality of the bones will shake the world to its very foundations if I have been told the truth. The relics are hidden in France. I know the location and so do the authors of Holy Blood, Holy Grail, but they do not know that they know—or do they?”

Behold a Pale Horse, Holy Blood, Holy Grail and the multitude of Grail publications all claim that the future revelation of the treasure of Rennes le Chateau, the French village where the Prieuré de Sion deposited the Templars’ Grail cache, will be the undoing of Christianity. The Prieuré obviously plans to produce, i.e. manufacture, relics which would disprove the divinity of Jesus Christ. A French website maintains that this is the famed secret of Rennes le Chateau:

Meanwhile, back in Europe,

"The legendary origin of so many statuettes in the baggage trains of returning crusaders, especially when they happened to be Templars, [was] no guarantee of the orthodoxy of their cult. That many legends of the period connect the twelfth-century Black Virgins with an earlier miraculous origin dating from the Merovingian period raises potentially disquieting religio-political questions."

During medieval times, the Knights Templar were involved in the financing and otherwise lending support to the building of over 1,000 churches and cathedrals throughout Europe, many in honor of the Black Virgin. Following the dissolution of the Templars in 1307, the Prieuré de Sion carried on quietly mainstreaming the Black Virgin, particularly in France. Laurence Gardner wrote in Bloodline of the Holy Grail of the astrological configuration of the French cathedrals: "In accordance with the Hermetic principle of ‘As above, so below’, the combined ground-plan of the Notre Dame cathedrals replicates the Virgo constellation. The ‘Notre Dame’ cathedrals...were mainly the work of the ‘Children of Solomon’—a guild of masons instructed by St. Bernard’s Cistercian Order."

"The nine Knights were sent out by Bernard the Cistercian; gothic was born at Citeaux. The whole gothic formula derives from the Cistercians and the ‘Conipagnons des Devoirs’—heirs of the builders of gothic cathedrals—make no mystery that they derive their characteristic ‘feature’, their descriptive geometry, indispensable for the erection of gothic monuments, from the Cistercian Order.

"1020. This was a notable epoch in Romanesque architecture; the epoch during which Christianity decked itself with ‘a display of white churches’; for this was the time when after a labour lasting five centuries the Benedictine abbeys succeeded in constituting a corps, a lay brotherhood of builders, affiliated to the Order, to which they could appeal for protection if necessary.

"After the abbeys, where they trained their workmen, the Benedictines were able to put lay builders at the disposal of the secular church, often under the direction of monastic master-craftsmen...who came to build and teach in Normandy...

"...beneath the aisles and choir of [Chartres Cathedral] called, wrongly, the crypt; a more exact designation would be ‘the lower church’. There is a tradition that the builders’ guilds, at least ‘Children of Solomon’, held ceremonies of initiation in this crypt."

The Black Virgin was, in reality, Virgo, the Great Mother Goddess known to the Egyptians as Isis, who was christened in Alexandria as Mary Magdalene. According to The Cult of the Black Virgin, the full name of the Prieuré is the Order of the Prieuré Notre Dame de Sion. Former Grand Master of the Prieuré, Pierre Plantard de Saint Clair, has identified Notre Dame as Our Lady of the Light, i.e. Lucifer, the Light-Bearer.
'THE MOTHER PRECEDES THE SON'

...the constellation Virgo, which in fact rises just before the star Arcturus. (Virgo)

And there appeared a great wonder in heaven; a woman clothed with the sun, and the moon under her feet, and upon her head a crown of twelve stars: And she being with child cried, travailing in birth, and pained to be delivered. - Rev. 12:1,2

“Thus, in conclusion, we greet Mary as the great sign in the heavens which precedes the coming of her Son, because the mother always precedes the son. We greet her as the one who at Fatima wanted to bring peace to the world if we fulfilled the demands of her maternal heart... We greet her as the Victor in all the battles of God, and as the Mother of the Church who will bring the Good Friday of the Church to an end and give it a new Easter.”

During the Renaissance, that medieval period when the occult traditions flooded Europe from the Mideast, Chartres Cathedral was built on a sacred site in France where ancient Druids worshipped the Mother Goddess. A World Heritage Site famous for its Gothic architecture—and, to the initiated, its thoroughly pagan symbolism—Chartres Cathedral is known to occultists as the ‘Golden Book’ that reinstated the philosophy of classical Greece in the mainstream of Christian Europe. The cathedral expresses through ‘sacred geometry’ and astrological symbolism the Gnostic belief that “Man can compass his salvation by means of knowledge”, referring to gnosis. Within its massive structure was housed a mystery school which operated as a theological academy for over two centuries. In the underground crypt of the cathedral, initiates worshipped a replica of a Druidic statue known as the ‘Virgini Pariturae’ or ‘the virgin about to give birth’. The Templar Chronicles calls the Virgini Pariturae "the Virgo who must give birth”.

The statue in the underground chapel was also called Our Lady Under the Earth because the crypt, where initiations took place, was believed to be connected to telluric powers, i.e. the underworld. On the west front of Chartres Cathedral is featured in the tympanum of the right portal—the Gate of Birth—a statue identical to the Virgini Pariturae in the underground chamber. The exterior icon was and still is venerated by the profane masses of Catholics as Mary, the 'Mother of God'. Exoterically the white virgin on the west facade is the Blessed Virgin Mary who gave birth two thousand years ago; but esoterically, she is the black virgin who was still pregnant with child.

“The Druid’s love of inner journeys and their visions inspired their prophesies about the woman that would bring a saviour into the world. This pregnant woman is the black madonna we often find in
medieval shrines throughout Europe. If the white virgin is the one who has already given birth, the black virgin is the pregnant woman of the druid’s vision."

The occult symbolism of this most famous of the medieval cathedrals is discussed in the Masonic book, Rosslyn: Guardian of the Secrets of the Holy Grail. According to authors Tim Wallace-Murphy and Marilyn Hopkins, the seven mystical cathedrals of Europe were deliberately founded on Druidic sites dedicated to planetary oracles. There is a prophecy that, when the planets align in the same configuration as the cathedrals, the time of transition into the New Age will have arrived. The sacred site upon which Chartres Cathedral was erected was dedicated by the Druids to the Sun.

"The Druids, like most initiatory tribal cultures, worshipped the principle of the Eternal Feminine as the source of all fertility. Julius Caesar describes, in de Bello Gallico, the veneration accorded to a fire-blackened, female figurine which was worshipped at a Druidic sacred grotto at Carnutum, now the modern city of Chartres...—a fertility symbol he called Virgini Pariturae, the virgin about to give birth... It was at Chartres that the Church adopted the veneration of the fire-blackened, Druidic figurine Virgini Pariturae in the guise of the Black Madonna. A medieval replica of the Druidic figure is found in the crypt, which the official guide states categorically was used as an initiation chamber."

The Virgin portrayed in the west facade of Chartres Cathedral is called the 'Virgini Pariturae', which means "the virgin about to give birth". This statue does not represent Mary or the Biblical concept of womanhood, but the archetypal goddesses of fertility and the 'feminine principle'. Since Chartres was dedicated to the Sun-God, the child of the sculpture is Horus, not Jesus Christ. The following excerpt from Rosslyn reveals how Gnostic occultism engulfed Western Christendom through the occult infiltration of the Roman Church.

"The revelations of the ancient mysteries brought about a deep insight into the laws of all natural phenomena, revealing the very unity in nature which is the mainspring of creation, and the importance of the principle of the eternal feminine. To commemorate this heretical and pagan concept, they carved a replica of the Druidic statue 'Virgini Pariturae', the virgin about to give birth, which had been a focus of worship at Chartres in pre-Christian times. To avoid persecution and to enable this powerful symbol to exert its influence the statue was carved in the guise of Mary the Mother of God with the infant Jesus seated on her lap. This symbolic representation of fertility and the archetypal feminine rests in the crypt of Chartres to this day. It is known as Notre-Dame de Sous-Terre—Our Lady Under the Earth.

A replica of this figurine was then carved in the place of honour above the main portal of the cathedral. After many years of theological dispute with the Orthodox Church in the East, Roman Catholicism had at long last stumbled across the perfect answer to the problems posed by the ambiguities inherent in the title 'Mother of God'. In emphasizing Mary’s role, the Church was fulfilling a deep and long-felt need among its adherents by importing the pagan principle of the eternal feminine into the previously male-dominated and patriarchal realm of the Holy Trinity. Early Christians had taken up the theology of St. Paul which described Jesus as the 'Second Adam'.

They then fell into the theological trap by calling Mary the 'Second Eve', so reviving the old pagan concept of the divine son/spouse relationship as found in the Ishtar and Tammuz cult of ancient Babylonia and in the worship of Isis and Osiris in Pharaonic Egypt. This form of veneration also incorporated the concept of Sophia the goddess of Wisdom. The Church attempted to exert control over this Marian cult in its traditional manner by taking over pre-Christian forms of worship and sacred sites dedicated to various goddesses, renaming them in honour of the Holy Virgin. The symbolism, prayers and litanies associated with Demeter, Cybele, Ishtar and Isis were adopted and given a Christian veneer. (266:87-89)
Wallace-Murphy and Ms. Hopkins credit the Merovingian infiltrators for the stunning success of Mariolotry in Roman Catholicism: "It is ironic that Mariolotry, one of the major and most pervasive aspects of modern Catholicism, should have been further strengthened by the spiritual understanding of hidden initiates in the Middle Ages." (266:89)

The pagan principle of the eternal feminine asserts that the Mother Goddess ruled over the first age of earth, corresponding to Lemuria, which supposedly achieved the highest level of civilization ever known to man. It is the same Gnostic principle which Bishop Graber and the present Pope fundamentally espouse, viz., that the divine feminine, masquerading as Mary, chronologically preceded and therefore gave divine life to her Son. As previously stated, one significant outcome of the Nestorian heresy was to give priority to the Mother Goddess, the pagan feminine archetype, over her Son. There are, however, esoteric connotations in Bishop Graber’s statement, which only the initiated fully understand.

"...we greet Mary as the great sign in the heavens which precedes the coming of her Son..."

Bishop Graber’s prediction is generally construed as an apparition of Mary, the Mother of God. Pope John Paul II is expecting a manifestation of Mary similar to the ‘Miracle of the Sun’ at Fatima, Portugal on October 13, 1917. On this occasion, the sun appearing as,

"a solar disc...spun upon itself in a mad whirl...and whirling wildly, seemed all at once to loosen itself from the firmament and, blood red, advance threateningly upon the earth as if to crush us with its huge and fiery weight."

Catholics believe that a recurrence of this miracle would vindicate the Catholic Church as the ‘one true Church’.

Although Roman Catholics perceive this apparition as a visitation of Mary, the mother of Jesus, New Agers recognize the many occult phenomena which attended the solar event at Fatima. According to a published eye-witness account of the Fatima apparition, the sun took on the appearance of a solar disk, an ancient occult symbol of the sun. On October 13, 1917, the sun would have risen in the sign of Virgo; also the Moon was in Virgo. Bishop Graber has predicted that a sign will precede the coming of Christ. And not just a sign, but a great sign! Was the Bishop referring to Virgo, the Great Mother Goddess?

On Friday, October 13 in the year 1307 A.D., the Knights Templars were arrested by King Philip IV of France in collusion with Pope Clement V. Might the Fatima vision in 1917 have been a sign of the coming vengeance determined upon Rome by the Templars? Bishop Graber, whose associations include Knight of Malta, Peter Beyerhaus, may be sending an esoteric message that Virgo will be the sign in the heavens which will precede the coming of Horus, the pagan messiah who will avenge the Knights Templars by destroying the Roman Catholic Church.

Should another Fatima-type apparition occur in the sign of Virgo, perhaps at Medjugorje, such an event would hardly vindicate Roman Catholicism as the ‘one true Church.’ To the contrary, another such apparition displaying overtly occult phenomena would probably provide the death warrant needed to overthrow this Harlot Church which has, in recent times, scandalized the world with reports of homosexuality and pedophilia in its priesthood.

TRINITY BROADCASTING NETWORK POSITION ON OVERT APOLOGETICS

One could spend hundreds of pages on the controversy over Trinity Broadcasting Network (TBN) and their directors, Paul and Sandra Crouch. In the assessment of the world largest Christian TV stations there needs to be balance when conducting an assessment. One can clearly see evidence of how the TV station had contributed significantly to the spread of the gospel over the decades, however unfortunately one can also see that the organization has not been without controversy whether it be the
heavy promoting of the prosperity gospel, psychological techniques to influence the watcher to send in donations, tax issues, sex scandals involving Paul Crouch as well as statements made by Paul Crouch. That said, the objectives of this section is not so much to address the scandals of TBN but rather to address TBN'S stance when it comes to exposing false doctrine based on their reaction to two ministries which have been with them for decades.

In January 2006, Hal Lindsey, considered the best-selling non-fiction writer alive today, notified the Trinity Broadcasting Network that he would not return to his twice-weekly Christian commentary program, because of what he considered to be efforts by TBN to muzzle his opinions about radical Islam.

"I also seek to show that radical Islam poses the greatest danger ever faced by the United States," he added. "I believe that God called me as 'a watchman on the wall' to warn America and I must obey – although it is sometimes unpopular." 57

John Casoria, TBN's General Legal Counsel stated

Lindsey contends that "the extremists are the ones most literally following the Quran and the Hadith, which are the most holy and authoritative books of the Muslim religion. This is why violence has erupted from Muslims in virtually every century since its birth."

Casoria said he could not recall specific examples from Lindsey's programs that were anti-Arab or anti-Muslim, but he expressed the network's concern about how Muslims are portrayed.

"TBN is a worldwide ministry; we have an entire channel that airs 24 hours a day, seven days a week in Arabic," he said. "We are trying to reach the Islamic world and open a dialogue with them regarding Christ and Christianity." 57

Casoria explained, "We do not feel that the best witness of Christ is to bash them but rather to show them the nature of Christ – the way Christ said to present himself – and that is through love, understanding and the presentation of the gospel to them."

Paul Crouch, TBN founder and president, responded with an online communique of his own, saying Lindsey's program clashed with the network’s efforts to evangelize Muslim nations via a gentle presentation of the Christian message since Lindsey's shows on Islam were on air for December 2005.

Lindsey argued, however, his program is not shown in the Middle East.

"My show is produced for the Western world and for Christians who are at the most risk from radical Islam," he said. 57
After insisting the pre-emption of Hal Lindsey's television show had nothing to do with content, a Trinity Broadcasting Network spokesman retracted his statement, admitting officials were concerned, at least secondarily, about offending Arabs and Muslims.

Lindsey said that in the show that was cancelled he made a clear distinction between Muslims seeking jihad and those who want only a job and normal life for their families.

"I cast radical Muslims in a bad light," he said, "showing how they are intimidating and persecuting moderate Muslims in the Middle East."  

Casoria said he believes the extremists are not Muslims at all but have "hijacked the religion."  

As a Christian, he sees Islam as a false religion – believing there is only one way to God, through Jesus Christ – but he says Islam basically is peaceful.

"That's where we violently disagree," Lindsey said. "Islam is not a peaceful religion; although there may be moderate Muslims, it's because they have not become followers of the Quran."  

Lindsey said in a message to supporters on his website that TBN's decision is "confusing to me because my message is the same as it has been for the entire 12 years of presenting the IIB program. It has not changed one iota."

Asked to respond, Casoria said, speaking personally, "Hal Lindsey's program has clearly changed over the last 12 years, as has Hal Lindsey."  

"If you look at his earlier writings and you look at what he writes today, there is definitely a hard edge," he said. "That's my personal feeling."  

Lindsey responded, "I doubt if he's read anything I've ever written."

Lindsey and TBN remained at an impasse until one year later, when the network's Tustin broadcasting center installed a device that could block individual shows from its Middle East satellite feed.

Although the passage of time also helped heal the spat with Lindsey, it was the new technology that "sealed the deal," said Paul Crouch Jr., TBN's vice president of administration.

Lindsey, who describes himself as "politically incorrect, prophetically correct," called the arrangement “an amicable solution for both of us” in an e-mail to The Times.

He returned to TBN's line up Jan. 5 2007 with “The Hal Lindsey Report,” a weekly program airing Fridays at 5:30 p.m. One notable difference: Lindsey must now foot the bill for production costs and air time, which were previously financed by TBN.
“The show is enjoying very good ratings”, Crouch Jr. said, but hasn’t generated a lot of viewer comments. “We’ve had a few letters or e-mails thanking us for putting Hal back on, but not near the amount as when he went off…. It’s human nature that when people are upset, they let you know, big-time! But when you please them, less than 1% will say anything.”

The show examines current events as they relate to Lindsey’s interpretation of biblical prophecies about the end of the world and Christ’s return. So far, Lindsey’s shows have been mild enough that TBN officials haven’t blocked them from Middle Eastern countries. But a key tenet of evangelical end-times theology is support of Israel and opposition to Israel’s enemies. That doctrine has proved thorny in recent years as Christian missionaries have stepped up their presence in the Middle East, said Melani McAllister, a George Washington University professor who specializes in religion, media and international affairs.

However whilst Lindsey was allowed to return the permanent removal from TBN of Jack Van Impe Presents in June 2011 caused shockwaves. Jack Van Impe, a popular End Times broadcaster, had ended his decades-long run on Trinity Broadcasting Network after a dispute over naming ministers that he accuses of mixing Christian and Muslim beliefs.

Van Impe had named California megachurch founders Rick Warren and Robert H. Schuller as proponents of “Chrislam,” which he defined as “a uniting of Christianity with Islam.” TBN pulled the episode before a repeat broadcast could air. Michigan-based Jack Van Impe Ministries said its board of directors decided unanimously to no longer work with TBN.

“We would not be able to minister effectively if we had to look over our shoulder wondering if a program was going to be censored because of mentioning a name,” said Ken Vancil, executive director of the ministry, in a statement.

TBN president and founder Paul Crouch expressed disappointment with the ministry’s decision.

“Although I understand, and actually agree with, your position that you will not allow anyone to tell me what I can and cannot preach,” I trust you understand that TBN takes the same position with its broadcast air time as well,” Crouch wrote in a letter to Van Impe.


Van Impe and his co-host wife, Rexella, also claimed Warren said churches can attract new believers by taking crosses down from inside and outside their buildings.

In a June 8 tweet, Warren said just the opposite: “If you remove the cross from the church, it’s no longer the church. Just a social club.”

Such incidents call into question the position of TBN and deviant Christian teachings.
Joel Osteen who also features on TBN had a recent interview with Sally Quinn of The Washington Post in October 2011 in which he revealed some interesting things. Joel clearly conveyed a biblical position on whether or not he would officiate a same-sex wedding. He said he could not in "good faith" do such a thing. The Bible repeatedly identifies adultery, homosexual behavior, and sex before marriage as sinful practices.

In this same interview however, Joel was not so quick to draw a line in the sand regarding the religion of Mormonism. He said he doesn't know if it's "the purest form of Christianity." For a minister heading America's largest congregation to say he doesn't know if Mormonism is the purest form of Christianity begs the question as to why an unwillingness on his part to use the opportunity to address the distinction between Christianity and the kingdom of the cults.

It is clear that there is something at play within the Christian world in which the ability to confront false teaching is becoming sidelined as a plan of the enemy to bring division and hurt within the body of Christ. Yet in the bible there are many references regarding being on guard against false teaching. II John 9-11 makes reference to the doctrine of Christ as being the matter that divides true Christianity from false Christianity.

The issue of a one world religion is complex because we truly cannot fully understand how it will emerge because of the complexity of the religious world however the dumbing down of Christianity by making Christianity silent when it should be a clear voice or the ongoing scandals (whether true or false) with TV evangelist only serves to weaken the effectiveness of Christianity and make the ground more approachable for great apostasy.

Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son. If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed: For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds.

II John 1:9-11
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